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\[\text{Economics of Aggregation} \]
The equilibrium will settle at the level of the multiplex. The conditions under which the equilibrium is reached are as follows:

\[ g'v = 1 - d \frac{m}{m_0} \]

where \( g' \) and \( v \) are the parameters of the model, and \( m \) and \( m_0 \) are the levels of output and input, respectively.

The two-region case is considered in Section 9.2.2, and the equilibrium under different conditions is analyzed in Section 9.2.3.
The modern sector is characterized by a higher marginal propensity to consume with respect to the aggregate demand, so that the aggregate demand is greater than the aggregate supply.

\[
\frac{\eta}{\eta - 1} \left( \frac{c}{c - 1} \right) = \gamma \eta = \frac{c}{c - 1} \left( \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} \right) = \gamma
\]

The equilibrium real wage in region A is given by

\[
(w - 1) = g_A + v_A = \tilde{w}
\]

In this case, the excess demand of the economy is given by

\[
\frac{c_t}{c} = \tilde{g}_A \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{c_t}{c} + \frac{c_t - 1}{c} = \tilde{v}_A
\]

The regional nonlinear form is a function of the regional marginal propensity to consume, the marginal propensity to save, and the marginal propensity to invest.
The symmetric structure is a special equilibrium for all $1 < T < \infty$ because the common structure is a stable equilibrium of all $1 < T < \infty$ such that the common property structure is a stable equilibrium of all $1 < T < \infty$.

Proposition 1. Consider a two-region economy.

In this case, the common zero-profit wage prevailing at the symmetric commodity is: $w = \frac{1}{T}$. The common competitive equilibrium with transaction costs is also found to be stable, although it is not as stable as the competitive equilibrium without transaction costs, as discussed in Section 3.6. This is because the transaction costs are high enough to prevent this equilibrium from being stable. The transaction costs are sufficiently high to prevent this equilibrium from being stable.

Therefore, the symmetric structure is a stable equilibrium of all $1 < T < \infty$ such that the common property structure is a stable equilibrium of all $1 < T < \infty$. Thus, this structure is a stable equilibrium of all $1 < T < \infty$. This is because the transaction costs are high enough to prevent this equilibrium from being stable.