



School of Engineering
Brown University

EN2210: Continuum Mechanics

Homework 6: Constitutive Equations, Fluid Mechanics Solutions

1. Show that a fluid with constitutive equation of the form

$$\sigma_{ij} = -\pi_{eq}(\rho, \theta)\delta_{ij} + \tau_{ij}^0 + 2\mu D_{ij}$$

with τ_{ij}^0 a nonzero constant, violates the second law of thermodynamics.

The second law requires

$$\sigma_{ij}D_{ij} - \frac{1}{\theta}q_i \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y_i} - \rho \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \rho} \dot{\rho} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} \dot{\theta} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial D_{ij}} \dot{D}_{ij} + s \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \right) \geq 0$$

For all processes. We can consider a process with constant density and temperature, which gives

$$\sigma_{ij}D_{ij} \geq 0$$

$$\sigma_{ij}D_{ij} = -\pi_{eq}(\rho, \theta)D_{kk} + \tau_{ij}^0 D_{ij} + 2\mu D_{ij}D_{ij}$$

But $D_{kk} = 0$ for a constant density process. We can choose $D_{ij} = -\lambda(\tau_{ij}^0 - \tau_{kk}^0 \delta_{ij} / 3)$ ($\lambda > 0$) (we need to make **D** volume preserving) in which case

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{ij}D_{ij} &= -\tau_{ij}^0 \lambda (\tau_{ij}^0 - \tau_{kk}^0 \delta_{ij} / 3) + 2\mu \lambda^2 (\tau_{ij}^0 - \tau_{kk}^0 \delta_{ij} / 3)(\tau_{ij}^0 - \tau_{nn}^0 \delta_{ij} / 3) \\ &= -\lambda \tau_{ij}^0 \tau_{ij}^0 + \lambda \tau_{kk}^0 \tau_{nn}^0 / 3 + 2\mu \lambda^2 \tau_{ij}^0 \tau_{ij}^0 - 2\mu \lambda^2 \tau_{kk}^0 \tau_{nn}^0 / 3 \\ &= -\left(\lambda - 2\mu \lambda^2 \right) \left(\tau_{ij}^0 \tau_{ij}^0 - \tau_{kk}^0 \tau_{nn}^0 / 3 \right) \end{aligned}$$

If $\tau_{ij}^0 \tau_{ij}^0 - \tau_{kk}^0 \tau_{nn}^0 / 3 > 0$ this is negative for any $\lambda < \frac{1}{2\mu}$. If $\tau_{ij}^0 \tau_{ij}^0 - \tau_{kk}^0 \tau_{nn}^0 / 3 < 0$ it is negative for any

$\lambda > 1/2\mu$. Hence there is always some **D** for which the second law is violated.

[3 POINTS]

2. Suppose that the internal energy of a continuum is expressed as a function of density and entropy, as $\varepsilon(\rho, s)$. Show that the dissipation inequality requires that

$$\sigma_{ij} D_{ij} - \frac{1}{\theta} q_i \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y_i} - \rho \left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial t} - \theta \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \right) \geq 0$$

The standard dissipation inequality is

$$\sigma_{ij} D_{ij} - \frac{1}{\theta} q_i \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y_i} - \rho \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + s \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \right) \geq 0$$

Substituting $\psi = \varepsilon - \theta s$ gives the required result.

[3 POINTS]

3. Consider an inviscid van der Waals fluid with specific heat capacity $c_v(\theta)$ an arbitrary function of temperature (but independent of density), and pressure related to temperature and density by

$$\hat{\pi}_{eq} = \frac{\rho R \theta}{1 - b\rho} - a\rho^2$$

3.1 Show that the dissipation inequality (use problem 2) requires that

$$\frac{d\varepsilon}{dt} = \hat{\pi}_{eq} \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{d\rho}{dt} + \theta \frac{ds}{dt}$$

For this case the dissipation inequality reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} &= -\pi_{eq} D_{kk} - \frac{1}{\theta} q_i \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y_i} - \rho \left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial t} - \theta \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \right) \geq 0 \\ \Rightarrow &\pi_{eq} \frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\theta} q_i \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y_i} - \rho \left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial t} - \theta \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \right) \geq 0 \\ \Rightarrow &\pi_{eq} \frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho} - \rho \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \rho} \dot{\rho} - \rho \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial s} \dot{s} + \theta \rho \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{\theta} q_i \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y_i} \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

This must hold for all $\dot{s}, \dot{\rho}$ which implies that

$$\hat{\pi}_{eq} = \rho^2 \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \rho} \quad \theta = \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial s}$$

This now shows that

$$\pi_{eq} \frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho} - \rho \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \rho} \dot{\rho} - \rho \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial s} \dot{s} + \theta \rho \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} = 0$$

And hence

$$\frac{d\varepsilon}{dt} = \hat{\pi}_{eq} \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{d\rho}{dt} + \theta \frac{ds}{dt}$$

[3 Points]

3.2 Hence conclude that

$$\frac{d(\varepsilon + a\rho)}{dt} = \theta \frac{d}{dt} \left(R \log \frac{\rho}{1 - b\rho} + s \right)$$

for all $\dot{\rho}, \dot{\theta}$

Substitute for $\hat{\pi}_{eq}$

$$\frac{d\varepsilon}{dt} = \left(\frac{\rho R \theta}{1 - b\rho} - a\rho^2 \right) \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{d\rho}{dt} + \theta \frac{ds}{dt}$$

Rearranging this result gives the required expression.

[3 POINTS]

3.3 Hence show that

$$s = \int \frac{c_v(\theta)}{\theta} d\theta - R \log \frac{\rho}{1 - b\rho} + const$$

$$\varepsilon = \theta \int \frac{c_v(\theta)}{\theta} d\theta - a\rho + const$$

Let $\left(R \log \frac{\rho}{1 - b\rho} + s \right) = \phi$, then the preceding problem shows that

$$\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \rho} \dot{\rho} + \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \theta} \dot{\theta} = \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \rho} \dot{\rho} + c_v \dot{\theta} = \theta \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \rho} \dot{\rho} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \theta} \dot{\theta} \right)$$

This must hold for all $\dot{\theta}, \dot{\rho}$ so

$$\frac{c_v}{\theta} \dot{\theta} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \theta} \dot{\theta}$$

Integrating this expression gives

$$\phi = \int \frac{c_v(\theta)}{\theta} d\theta + const$$

which gives the first result.

For the second one note that

$$\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \rho} + a = \theta \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \rho} \Rightarrow \varepsilon = \theta \phi(\theta) - a\rho + g(\theta)$$

But

$$\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \theta} = c_v \Rightarrow \varepsilon = \theta \phi(\theta) + g(\theta) = \theta \int \frac{c_v}{\theta} d\theta$$

[3 POINTS]

4. The deformation of a viscoelastic material is modeled by representing the deformation gradient $\mathbf{F}$ of a material element as a sequence of an irreversible deformation $\mathbf{F}^P$, followed by a reversible (elastic) deformation $\mathbf{F}^e$, so that $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}^e \mathbf{F}^P$. The Helmholtz free energy $\psi(\mathbf{F}^e, \theta)$ of the material is assumed to be a function of $\mathbf{F}^e$ and temperature θ only.

4.1 Show that the velocity gradient $\mathbf{L}$ can be decomposed into elastic and plastic parts as

$$\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L}^e + \mathbf{L}^P \quad \mathbf{L}^e = \frac{d\mathbf{F}^e}{dt} \mathbf{F}^{e-1} \quad \mathbf{L}^P = \mathbf{F}^e \frac{d\mathbf{F}^P}{dt} \mathbf{F}^{P-1} \mathbf{F}^{e-1}$$

We have that $\mathbf{L} = \frac{d\mathbf{F}}{dt} \mathbf{F}^{-1} = \frac{d(\mathbf{F}^e \mathbf{F}^P)}{dt} \mathbf{F}^{P-1} \mathbf{F}^{e-1}$, and expanding the time derivative using the product rule and simplifying gives the required solution.

[3 POINTS]

4.2 Show that the dissipation inequality

$$\sigma_{ij} D_{ij} - \frac{1}{\theta} q_i \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y_i} - \rho \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + s \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \right) \geq 0$$

requires that the Cauchy stress is related to the free energy by

$$J F_{kj}^{e-1} \sigma_{ji} = \rho_0 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial F_{ik}^e}$$

(where ρ_0 is the mass per unit reference volume) and that the plastic part of the velocity gradient must satisfy

$$\sigma_{ij} L_{ij}^P \geq 0$$

Noting that $\sigma_{ij} D_{ij} = \sigma_{ij} L_{ij}$ from the symmetry of the Cauchy stress, and taking the time derivative of the free energy gives

$$\sigma_{ij} \frac{dF_{jk}^e}{dt} F_{ki}^{e-1} + \sigma_{ij} L_{ij}^P - \frac{1}{\theta} q_i \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y_i} - \frac{\rho_0}{J} \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial F_{ij}^e} \frac{dF_{ij}^e}{dt} + s \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \right) \geq 0$$

This must hold for all $\dot{F}_{ij}^e, \dot{F}_{ij}^P$, which shows that

$$\sigma_{ij} \frac{dF_{jk}^e}{dt} F_{ki}^{e-1} - \frac{\rho_0}{J} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial F_{ij}^e} \frac{dF_{ij}^e}{dt} \geq 0 \Rightarrow \left(F_{ki}^{e-1} \sigma_{ij} - \frac{\rho_0}{J} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial F_{jk}^e} \right) \frac{dF_{jk}^e}{dt} \Rightarrow J F_{ki}^{e-1} \sigma_{ij} = \rho_0 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial F_{jk}^e}$$

and $\sigma_{ij} L_{ij}^P \geq 0$ follows directly

[3 POINTS]

4.3 Assume that $\mathbf{F}^e$ and $\mathbf{F}^P$ transform under a change of observer according to $\mathbf{F}^{e*} = \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{F}^e$ $\mathbf{F}^{P*} = \mathbf{F}^P$. Verify that the transformation is consistent with the transformation of deformation gradient $\mathbf{F}$ under an observer change, and determine expressions for $\mathbf{L}^{e*}, \mathbf{L}^{P*}$ in terms of $\mathbf{Q}$ and $\dot{\mathbf{Q}} = \dot{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}^T$.

The deformation gradient should transform as $\mathbf{F}^* = \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{F}$. For the transformations given we have

$$\mathbf{F}^* = \mathbf{F}^{e*} \mathbf{F}^{p*} = \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{F}^e \mathbf{F}^p = \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{F}$$

$$\text{We also have that } \mathbf{L}^{e*} = \dot{\mathbf{F}}^{e*} \mathbf{F}^{e*-1} = \left(\dot{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{F}^e + \mathbf{Q} \dot{\mathbf{F}}^e \right) \mathbf{F}^{e-1} \mathbf{Q}^T = \boldsymbol{\Omega} + \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{L}^e \mathbf{Q}^T \quad \mathbf{L}^{p*} = \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{L}^p \mathbf{Q}^T$$

[3 POINTS]

4.4 Consider a constitutive relation in which the plastic velocity gradient is given by

$$L_{ij}^p = \eta \left(\sigma_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} \sigma_{kk} \delta_{ij} \right)$$

Show that if $\det(\mathbf{F}^p) = 1$ at time $t=0$, then $\det(\mathbf{F}^p) = 1$ for all $t > 0$. (Hint: consider L_{kk}^p)

In the usual way,

$$\frac{dJ_p}{dt} = J_p \frac{dF_{kn}^p}{dt} F_{nk}^{p-1}$$

Note also that

$$L_{kk}^p = F_{ik}^e \frac{dF_{kn}^p}{dt} F_{nm}^{p-1} F_{mi}^{e-1} = \frac{dF_{kn}^p}{dt} F_{nk}^{p-1}$$

Finally the constitutive equation shows that $L_{kk}^p = 0$.

[3 POINTS]

4.5 Show that the constitutive relation in 3.4 satisfies both frame indifference and the dissipation inequality (assume $\eta > 0$).

Note that $\sigma_{ij} L_{ij}^p = \eta \sigma_{ij} \left(\sigma_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} \sigma_{kk} \delta_{ij} \right) = \eta \left(\sigma_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} \sigma_{kk} \delta_{ij} \right) \left(\sigma_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} \sigma_{kk} \delta_{ij} \right)$. This is a perfect square.

The constitutive equation satisfies

$$\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{L}^p \mathbf{Q}^T = \eta \left(\mathbf{Q} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{Q}^T - \frac{1}{3} \text{tr}(\mathbf{Q} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{Q}^T) \mathbf{I} \right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{L}^{p*} = \eta \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^* - \frac{1}{3} \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^*) \mathbf{I} \right)$$

[3 POINTS]