# EN234: Computational methods in Structural and Solid Mechanics 

Homework 7: Nonlinear problems
Due Wednesday Nov 20, 2013
Division of Engineering
Brown University

1. This problem illustrates the Newton-Raphson method for solving nonlinear equilibrium equations with a very simple example. Consider the truss structure shown in the figure - the two members both have stiffness $k$. When undeformed, the two members have lengths $L$. Loads $F_{x}, F_{y}$ act on the joint at A, inducing displacements $u_{x}, u_{y}$.

a. Write down the total potential energy of the system. Do not assume small deflections.
b. By minimizing the potential energy derive two nonlinear equilibrium equations for $u_{x}, u_{y}$. Your equations should have the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{x}\left(u_{x}, u_{y}\right)-F_{x}=0 \\
& R_{y}\left(u_{x}, u_{y}\right)-F_{y}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R_{x}, R_{y}$ are two (pretty messy) functions to be determined
c. The equilibrium equations can be solved for $u_{x}, u_{y}$ by means of the Newton-Raphson method. To do this, we start with some initial guess $w_{x}, w_{y}$ for the solution, and then repeatedly correct it by solving

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial R_{x}}{\partial u_{x}} d w_{x}+\frac{\partial R_{x}}{\partial u_{y}} d w_{y}=-R_{x}+F_{x} \\
& \frac{\partial R_{y}}{\partial u_{x}} d w_{x}+\frac{\partial R_{y}}{\partial u_{y}} d w_{y}=-R_{y}+F_{y}
\end{aligned}
$$

and then correcting the solution so that $w_{x}+d w_{x} \quad w_{y}+d w_{y}$ (hopefully) approaches the solution. Implement this procedure in a simple MATLAB code.
d. Test your code by plotting the deformed structure for a few representative values of $F_{x}, F_{y}$ (you should be able to make the structure exhibit 'snap through' buckling)
2. Implement an element in FEACHEAP that will solve boundary value problems involving a rate independent, power-law isotropic hardening elastic-plastic solid, with incremental stress-strain relations

$$
\Delta \varepsilon_{i j}=\Delta \varepsilon_{i j}^{e}+\Delta \varepsilon_{i j}^{p}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Delta \varepsilon_{i j}^{e}=\frac{1+v}{E}\left(\Delta \sigma_{i j}-\frac{v}{1+v} \Delta \sigma_{k k} \delta_{i j}\right) & \Delta \varepsilon_{i j}^{p}=\Delta \varepsilon_{e} \frac{3}{2} \frac{S_{i j}}{\sigma_{e}} \\
S_{i j}=\sigma_{i j}-\sigma_{k k} \delta_{i j} / 3 & \sigma_{e}=\sqrt{\frac{3}{2} S_{i j} S_{i j}}
\end{array} \quad \Delta \varepsilon_{e}=\sqrt{\frac{2}{3} \Delta \varepsilon_{i j}^{p} \Delta \varepsilon_{i j}^{p}}
$$

and a yield criterion

$$
\sigma_{e}-Y_{0}\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon_{e}}{\varepsilon_{0}}\right)^{1 / n}=0
$$

Your solution should include the following steps:
2.1 Devise a method for calculating the stress $\sigma_{i j}^{(n+1)}$ at the end of a load increment. Use a fully implicit computation, in which the yield criterion is exactly satisfied at the end of the load increment. Your derivation should follow closely the procedure discussed in class, except that
a. After computing the elastic predictor for the stress, you should check and see if the stresses are below yield (use the yield criterion). If so, the elastic predictor is the correct stress.
b. If the elastic predictor exceeds yield, the relationship between $\sigma_{e}^{(n+1)}$ and $\Delta \varepsilon_{e}$ must be calculated using the yield criterion, i.e. you should calculate $\Delta \varepsilon_{e}$ such that

$$
\sigma_{e}^{n+1}-Y_{0}\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon_{e}+\Delta \varepsilon_{e}}{\varepsilon_{0}}\right)^{1 / n}=0
$$

You can use the approach discussed in class (show that $S_{i j}^{n+1}=S_{i j}^{*}-\Delta \varepsilon_{e} \frac{E}{1+v} \frac{3}{2} \frac{S_{i j}^{n+1}}{\sigma_{e}^{n+1}}$, set $S_{i j}^{n+1}=\beta S_{i j}^{*}$ ) to obtain a nonlinear equation that can be solved for $\Delta \varepsilon_{e}$ using NewtonRaphson iteration.
2.2 Calculate the tangent stiffness $\partial \sigma_{i j}^{(n+1)} / \partial \Delta \varepsilon_{k l}$ for the rate independent solid, by differentiating the result of 1 . This is pretty horrible, but simpler than the rate dependent case. Make sure your expression is symmetric.
2.3 Implement the results of 1 and 2 in your code. It is simplest to do this in the fully integrated element, but you can use your B-bar element as well if you prefer. If you do this, it is important to use the correct element residual vector - instead of

$$
R_{i}^{a}=\int_{V} \sigma_{i j} \frac{\partial N^{a}}{\partial x_{j}} d V
$$

in a standard element, you need to use

$$
R_{i}^{a}=\int_{V} \sigma_{i j} \frac{\partial N^{a}}{\partial x_{j}}+\sigma_{k k}\left(B_{i a}^{\text {vol }}-\frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial N^{a}}{\partial x_{i}}\right) d V \quad B_{i a}^{\text {vol }}=\frac{1}{3 V} \int_{V} \frac{\partial N^{a}}{\partial x_{i}} d V
$$

(the residual vector is not used for a linear elasticity computation - it is zero - so this was not necessary in the linear elasticity code unless you used the nonlinear solver).
You will also need to modify your input file to activate the nonlinear equation solver. It is a good idea to apply the load in a series of steps - for example, to ramp the load up from zero to 10 in 5 steps, you can use the following key words:

```
% The HISTORY key defines a time history that can be applied to DOFs or
%distributed loads. The numbers in the table are time,load value, and are
%interpolated linearly by the code
        HISTORY, dload_history
            0.d0, 0.d0
            1.d0, 10.d0
% Syntax here is element set, face #, history name, nx,ny,(nz) (time dependent
%pressure to element face in direction (nx,ny,nz))
    DISTRIBUTED LOADS
        end_element, 4, dload_history, 1.d0,0.d0,0.d0
            END DISTRIBUTED LOADS
        The STATIC STEP key initializes a static load step
    STATIC STEP
    The TIME STEP key defines values of parameters controlling time stepping.
        These parameters are passed to subroutine staticstep.
        The parameters must be entered in the correct order
            TIME STEP
        Initial time step value
            0.2d0
        Max and min time step (making the max 0.2 will ensure at least 5 steps)
            0.2d0, 0.001d0
        Max no. time steps (should stop after 5 steps when t=1 unless there is
%a cutback in time step caused by poor convergence)
                15
% Stop time
                1.d0
        Time interval between state prints and no. steps between state prints
                1000.d0, 1
% Time interval between user prints and no. steps between user prints
                1000.d0, 1000
% Syntax here is solver type, nonlinear equations, NR tolerance, max iterations.
        SOLVER, FACTOR, NONLINEAR, 0.00001, 20
It is also really helpful to run the CHECK STIFFNESS on your code to make sure that the residual and stiffness are consistent - if not, the Newton-Raphson iterations are unlikely to converge. You can test your element by comparing its predictions to the MATLAB version...
```

2.4 Test your code by using it to calculate the stress-strain relation for the viscoplastic material under uniaxial tension. Model the specimen using a single 8 noded brick, and use material properties $E=10000, \quad v=0.3 \quad Y=18, \varepsilon_{0}=0.5 \quad n=10$.
3. Optional Implement a finite-strain F-bar hyperelastic element in FEACHEAP. For simplicity, consider a compressible Neo-Hookean material with stress-strain relation

$$
\sigma_{i j}=\frac{\mu_{1}}{J^{5 / 3}}\left(B_{i j}-\frac{1}{3} B_{k k} \delta_{i j}\right)+K_{1}(J-1) \delta_{i j}
$$

The tangent stiffness for this material is

$$
C^{e}{ }_{i j k l}=\frac{\mu_{1}}{J^{2 / 3}}\left(\delta_{i k} B_{j l}+B_{i l} \delta_{j k}-\frac{2}{3}\left\{B_{i j} \delta_{k l}+B_{k l} \delta_{i j}\right\}+\frac{2}{3} \frac{B_{q q}}{3} \delta_{i j} \delta_{k l}\right)+K_{1}(2 J-1) J \delta_{i j} \delta_{k l}
$$

To implement the F-bar element, we re-write the virtual work equation as

$$
\int_{V_{0}} \tau_{i j}\left[\bar{F}_{k l}\right] \delta \bar{L}_{i j} d V_{0}-\int_{V_{0}} \rho_{0} b_{i} \delta v_{i} d V_{0}-\int_{\partial_{2} V_{0}} t_{i}^{*} \delta v_{i} \eta d A_{0}=0
$$

Where $\overline{\mathbf{F}}, \delta \overline{\mathbf{L}}$ are modified deformation and velocity gradients, computed as

$$
\bar{F}_{i j}=F_{i j}(\eta / J)^{1 / n}, \delta \bar{L}_{i j}=\delta L_{i j}+\delta_{i j}\left(\delta \dot{\eta} / \eta-\delta L_{k k}\right) / n
$$

where $n=2$ for a 2D problem and $n=3$ for a 3D problem, while $J=\operatorname{det}(\mathbf{F})$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{i j}=\delta_{i j}+\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}=\delta_{i j}+\sum_{a=1}^{n} \frac{\partial N^{a}}{\partial x_{j}} u_{i}^{a} \\
\delta L_{i j}=\frac{\partial \delta v_{i}}{\partial y_{j}}=\frac{\partial \delta v_{i}}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial x_{k}}{\partial y_{j}}=\frac{\partial \delta v_{i}}{\partial x_{k}} F_{k j}^{-1}=\sum_{a=1}^{n} \frac{\partial N^{a}}{\partial x_{k}} F_{k j}^{-1} \delta v_{i}^{a} \\
\eta=\frac{1}{V_{0 e l}} \int_{V_{0 e l}} \operatorname{det}(\mathbf{F}) d V \quad \dot{\eta}=\frac{1}{V_{0 e l}} \int_{V_{0 e l}} J F_{j i}^{-1} \dot{F}_{i j} d V=\frac{1}{V_{0 e l}} \int_{V_{0 e l}} J L_{k k} d V
\end{gathered}
$$

The modified virtual work equation must be solved for the unknown nodal displacements by NewtonRaphson iteration. As usual, the Newton-Raphson procedure involves repeatedly solving the following system of linear equations for corrections to the displacement field $d w_{k}^{b}$

$$
K_{a i b k} d w_{k}^{b}=-R_{i}^{a}+F_{i}^{a}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{i}^{a}=\int_{V_{0}} \rho_{0} b_{i} N^{a} d V_{0}+\int_{\partial_{2} V_{0}} t_{i}^{*} N^{a} \hat{\eta} d A_{0} \\
& R_{i}^{a}=\int_{V_{0}} \tau_{i j}\left[\bar{F}_{k l}\right] \frac{\partial N^{a}}{\partial y_{j}}+\frac{\tau_{p p}\left[\bar{F}_{k l}\right]}{n}\left(\frac{1}{\eta} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial u_{i}^{a}}-\frac{\partial N^{a}}{\partial y_{i}}\right) d V_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\tau_{i j}=\eta \sigma_{i j}$ is the Kirchhoff stress, calculated from the constitutive equation using $\overline{\mathbf{F}}$ to determine the strain measures. The consistent tangents follow from linearizing the virtual work equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{a i b k} & =\int_{V_{0}} \frac{\partial \tau_{m j}\left[\bar{F}_{k l}\right]}{\partial \bar{F}_{p q}} \frac{\partial \bar{F}_{p q}}{\partial u_{k}^{b}}\left(\delta_{i m} \frac{\partial N^{a}}{\partial y_{j}}+\frac{\delta_{m j}}{n}\left(\frac{1}{\eta} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial u_{i}^{a}}-\frac{\partial N^{a}}{\partial y_{i}}\right)\right) d V_{0} \\
& +\int_{V_{0}} \tau_{m j}\left[\bar{F}_{k l}\right] \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{k}^{b}}\left(\delta_{i m} \frac{\partial N^{a}}{\partial y_{j}}+\frac{\delta_{m j}}{n}\left(\frac{1}{\eta} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial u_{i}^{a}}-\frac{\partial N^{a}}{\partial y_{i}}\right)\right) d V_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Where

$$
\frac{\partial N^{a}}{\partial y_{j}}=\frac{\partial N^{a}}{\partial x_{k}} F_{k j}^{-1}
$$

Some tedious algebra shows that the integrands can be reduced to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \tau_{m j}\left[\bar{F}_{k l}\right]}{\partial \bar{F}_{p q}} \frac{\partial \bar{F}_{p q}}{\partial u_{k}^{b}}\left(\delta_{i m} \frac{\partial N^{a}}{\partial y_{j}}+\frac{\delta_{m j}}{n}\left(\frac{1}{\eta} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial u_{i}^{a}}-\frac{\partial N^{a}}{\partial y_{i}}\right)\right) \\
& =\bar{C}_{m j p r}^{e}\left(\frac{\partial N^{b}}{\partial \bar{y}_{r}} \delta_{p k}+\frac{\delta_{p r}}{n}\left(\frac{1}{\eta} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial w_{k}^{b}}-\frac{\partial N^{b}}{\partial y_{k}}\right)\right)\left(\delta_{i m} \frac{\partial N^{a}}{\partial y_{j}}+\frac{\delta_{m j}}{n}\left(\frac{1}{\eta} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial u_{i}^{a}}-\frac{\partial N^{a}}{\partial y_{i}}\right)\right) \\
& =\bar{C}_{i j k l}^{e} \frac{\partial N^{b}}{\partial \bar{y}_{l}} \frac{\partial N^{a}}{\partial y_{j}}+\bar{C}_{i j p p}^{e} \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{1}{\eta} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial w_{k}^{b}}-\frac{\partial N^{b}}{\partial y_{k}}\right) \frac{\partial N^{a}}{\partial y_{j}}+\bar{C}_{j j k l}^{e} \frac{\partial N^{b}}{\partial \bar{y}_{l}} \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{1}{\eta} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial u_{i}^{a}}-\frac{\partial N^{a}}{\partial y_{i}}\right) \\
& \quad+\bar{C}_{j j p p}^{e} \frac{1}{n^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\eta} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial w_{k}^{b}}-\frac{\partial N^{b}}{\partial y_{k}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\eta} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial u_{i}^{a}}-\frac{\partial N^{a}}{\partial y_{i}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $\overline{\mathbf{C}}^{e}$ is the tangent stiffness, but again computed using $\overline{\mathbf{F}}$, and

$$
\frac{\partial N^{a}}{\partial \bar{y}_{j}}==\frac{\partial N^{a}}{\partial x_{k}} \bar{F}_{k j}^{-1} \quad \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial u_{i}^{a}}=\frac{1}{V_{0 e l}} \int_{V_{0 e l}} \operatorname{det}(\mathbf{F}) \frac{\partial N^{a}}{\partial y_{i}} d V
$$

In addition

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{k}^{b}}\left(\delta_{i m} \frac{\partial N^{a}}{\partial y_{j}}+\frac{\delta_{m j}}{n}\left(\frac{1}{\eta} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial u_{i}^{a}}-\frac{\partial N^{a}}{\partial y_{i}}\right)\right)=-\delta_{i m} \frac{\partial N^{a}}{\partial y_{k}} \frac{\partial N^{b}}{\partial y_{j}}+\frac{\delta_{m j}}{n}\left(\frac{1}{\eta} \frac{\partial^{2} \eta}{\partial u_{i}^{a} \partial u_{k}^{b}}-\frac{1}{\eta^{2}} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial u_{i}^{a}} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial u_{k}^{b}}+\frac{\partial N^{a}}{\partial y_{k}} \frac{\partial N^{b}}{\partial y_{i}}\right)
$$

with

$$
\frac{\partial N^{a}}{\partial \bar{y}_{j}}=\frac{\partial N^{a}}{\partial x_{k}} \bar{F}_{k j}^{-1} \quad \frac{\partial^{2} \eta}{\partial u_{i}^{a} \partial u_{k}^{b}}=\frac{1}{V_{0 e l}} \int_{V_{\text {0el }}} \operatorname{det}(\mathbf{F})\left(\frac{\partial N^{b}}{\partial y_{k}} \frac{\partial N^{a}}{\partial y_{i}}-\frac{\partial N^{b}}{\partial y_{i}} \frac{\partial N^{a}}{\partial y_{k}}\right) d V
$$

You could check this element by modeling a near incompressible pressurized hyperelastic cylinder, and comparing the numerical solution to the analytical one.

