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The $\tau$ lepton is the only lepton which is heavy enough ($M_\tau \simeq 1.777$ GeV) to decay into hadrons. The interest to this process is primarily due to

- Precise experimental data
- Tests of QCD and Standard Model
- No need in phenomenological models
- Probes infrared hadron dynamics
The experimentally measurable quantity here is

\[ R_\tau = \frac{\Gamma(\tau^- \to \text{hadrons}^- \nu_\tau)}{\Gamma(\tau^- \to e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\tau)} = R_{\tau,V} + R_{\tau,A} + R_{\tau,S} = 3.642 \pm 0.012, \]

\[ R_{\tau,V} = R_{\tau,V}^{J=0} + R_{\tau,V}^{J=1} = 1.787 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.007, \]

\[ R_{\tau,A} = R_{\tau,A}^{J=0} + R_{\tau,A}^{J=1} = 1.695 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.007. \]

The theoretical prediction for the quantities on hand reads

\[ R^{J=1}_{\tau,V/A} = \frac{N_c}{2} |V_{ud}|^2 S_{EW} \left( \Delta_{QCD}^{V/A} + \delta'_{EW} \right). \]

In this equation \( N_c = 3 \), \( |V_{ud}| = 0.9738 \pm 0.0005 \), \( \delta'_{EW} = 0.0010 \), \( S_{EW} = 1.0194 \pm 0.0050 \), \( M_\tau = 1.777 \text{ GeV} \), and

\[ \Delta_{QCD}^{V/A} = 2 \int_0^{M_\tau^2} f\left(\frac{s}{M_\tau^2}\right) R^{V/A}(s) \frac{ds}{M_\tau^2}, \]

where \( f(x) = (1 - x)^2 (1 + 2x) \),

\[ R^{V/A}(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} \left[ \Pi^{V/A}(s+i\varepsilon) - \Pi^{V/A}(s-i\varepsilon) \right] = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} \Pi^{V/A}(s+i\varepsilon). \]

It is convenient to perform the theoretical analysis of $\tau$ lepton hadronic decay in terms of the Adler function

$$D(Q^2) = -\frac{d \Pi(-Q^2)}{d \ln Q^2}, \quad Q^2 = -q^2 = -s.$$  


Its $\ell$–loop perturbative approximation reads

$$D_{\text{pert}}^{(\ell)}(Q^2) \simeq 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} d_j \left[ \alpha_s^{(\ell)}(Q^2) \right]^j, \quad Q^2 \to \infty,$$

where $\alpha_s^{(\ell)}(Q^2)$ is the $\ell$–loop perturbative running coupling;  

$$\alpha_s^{(1)}(Q^2) = 4\pi / \left[ \beta_0 \ln(Q^2/\Lambda^2) \right], \quad d_1 = 1/\pi.$$  


For functions $D(Q^2)$ and $R(s)$ the following relations hold:

$$D(Q^2) = Q^2 \int_{m^2}^{\infty} \frac{R(s)}{(s + Q^2)^2} ds, \quad R(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \int_{s-i\epsilon}^{s-i\epsilon} D(-\zeta) \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta}.$$
Method I: Use of definitions of $D(Q^2)$ and $R(s)$ only

In what follows it is convenient to handle the “tree–level” terms separately from the strong corrections:

$$D(Q^2) = d^{(0)}(Q^2) + d^{(1)}(Q^2), \quad R(s) = r^{(0)}(s) + r^{(1)}(s).$$

The quantities $\Delta_{\text{QCD}}$ can be represented as follows:

$$\Delta_{\text{QCD}} = g(1) R(M_T^2) + \int_0^{M_T^2} g\left(\frac{s}{M_T^2}\right) \rho(s) \frac{ds}{s},$$

where $g(x) = x(2 - 2x^2 + x^3)$ and

$$\rho(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \left[ D(-s - i\varepsilon) - D(-s + i\varepsilon) \right]$$

is the so–called spectral density.
Method II: Additional use of Cauchy theorem

Similarly to previous case, $\Delta_{\text{QCD}}$ can be rewritten as the sum of two integrals along the edges of physical cut of $\Pi(q^2)$:

$$
\Delta_{\text{QCD}} = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{0+} M^2 \tau + i\epsilon f \left( \frac{\zeta}{M^2} \right) \Pi(\zeta) \frac{d\zeta}{M^2} + \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{M^2 - i\epsilon}^{0-} f \left( \frac{\zeta}{M^2} \right) \Pi(\zeta) \frac{d\zeta}{M^2}.
$$

$$
\Delta_{\text{QCD}} = \frac{i}{\pi} \left[ \int_{C_0} f \left( \frac{\zeta}{M^2} \right) \Pi(\zeta) \frac{d\zeta}{M^2} + \int_{C_M} f \left( \frac{\zeta}{M^2} \right) \Pi(\zeta) \frac{d\zeta}{M^2} \right]
$$

$$
= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi - \epsilon} D \left( M^2 \tau e^{i\theta} \right) \left( 1 + 2e^{i\theta} - 2e^{3i\theta} - e^{4i\theta} \right) d\theta.
$$
All the mentioned above is valid for the massless limit of “true physical” functions $\Pi_{\text{phys}}(q^2)$ and $D_{\text{phys}}(Q^2)$. However, one has to deal with their perturbative approximations, which are inconsistent with dispersion relations for these functions.

**THE PERTURBATIVE RESULTS NEED TO BE MERGED WITH RELEVANT DISPERSION RELATIONS**

Hadronic decay of $\tau$ lepton: the only available option here is to directly use in the obtained expressions for $\Delta_{\text{QCD}}$ perturbative approximations $\Pi_{\text{pert}}(q^2)$ and $D_{\text{pert}}(Q^2)$. 
Method I + one–loop QCD:

\[ \Delta_{\text{QCD}} = 1 + \frac{4}{\beta_0} \int_0^\infty h \left( \frac{\sigma}{M_{T}^2} \right) \rho_{\text{pert}}^{(1)}(\sigma) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma}, \]

\[ h(x) = g(x) \theta(1-x) + g(1) \theta(x-1), \]

\[ \rho_{\text{pert}}^{(1)}(\sigma) = \left[ \ln^2(\sigma/\Lambda^2) + \pi^2 \right]^{-1}. \]

Method II + one–loop QCD:

\[ \Delta_{\text{QCD}} = 1 + \frac{4}{\beta_0} \int_0^\pi a_0 A_1(\theta) + \theta A_2(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{\pi(a_0^2 + \theta^2)}, \]

\[ A_1(\theta) = 1 + 2 \cos(\theta) - 2 \cos(3\theta) - \cos(4\theta), \]

\[ A_2(\theta) = 2 \sin(\theta) - 2 \sin(3\theta) - \sin(4\theta), \]

\[ a_0 = 4\pi/\left[ \beta_0 \alpha_{\text{pert}}^{(1)}(M_{T}^2) \right]. \]
Unknown “true physical” Adler function $D_{\text{phys}}(Q^2)$: The use of any of two integration contours would have led to the same result.

One–loop perturbative Adler function $D_{\text{pert}}^{(1)}(Q^2)$: The integration contours used within methods I and II are not equivalent.
Residue term in method II:

\[ \Delta_{\text{res}} = \frac{4}{\beta_0} h_1 \left( \frac{\Lambda^2}{M_{\tau}^2} \right), \text{ where} \]

\[ h_1(x) = h_2(x) \theta(1-x) + h_2(1) \theta(x-1), \]

\[ h_2(x) = x(2 - 2x^2 - x^3). \]
Method I: One solution for V-channel, none for A-channel

\[ \Lambda = \left(989^{+1242}_{-527}\right) \text{MeV} \]

From ALEPH data: \( \Delta^V_{\exp} = 1.221 \pm 0.057, \Delta^A_{\exp} = 0.748 \pm 0.032 \).

In the framework of perturbative approach vector and axial–vector channels are indistinguishable: \( \Delta^V_{\text{pert}} \equiv \Delta^A_{\text{pert}} \).
Method II: Two solutions for V-channel, none for A-channel

\[
\Lambda = (458 \pm 147) \text{ MeV} \\
\Lambda = (1644 \pm 27) \text{ MeV}
\]
The dispersion relation imposes a number of stringent nonperturbative constraints on Adler function:

\[ D(Q^2) = Q^2 \int_{m^2}^{\infty} \frac{R(s)}{(s + Q^2)^2} ds \]

- Since \( R(s) \) assumes finite values and \( R(s) \rightarrow \text{const} \) when \( s \rightarrow \infty \), then \( D(Q^2) = 0 \) at \( Q^2 = 0 \) (valid for \( m \neq 0 \) only)
- Adler function possesses the only cut \( Q^2 \leq -m^2 \) along the negative semiaxis of real \( Q^2 \)

**BASIC IDEA**: merge perturbative approximation for Adler function with these nonperturbative constraints.
\[ D(Q^2) = d^{(0)}(Q^2) + \frac{Q^2}{Q^2 + m^2} \int_{m^2}^{\infty} \rho(\sigma) \frac{\sigma - m^2}{\sigma + Q^2} \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma}, \]

\[ R(s) = r^{(0)}(s) + \theta(s - m^2) \int_{s}^{\infty} \rho(\sigma) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma}. \]


In the limit \( m = 0 \) these expressions become identical to those of the so–called Analytic perturbation theory:

- Shirkov, Solovtsov, PRL79(1997); TMP150(2007).

Nonperturbative model for the spectral density:

\[ \rho(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\ln^2(\sigma/\Lambda^2) + \pi^2} + 2 \frac{\Lambda^2}{\sigma}. \]

Unknown “true physical” Adler function $D_{\text{phys}}(Q^2)$: The use of any of two integration contours would have led to the same result.

One–loop perturbative Adler function $D_{\text{pert}}^{(1)}(Q^2)$: Method I is compatible with perturbative input, whereas method II is not.
Here, the parton model prediction for $R(s)$ is approximated by the step–function:
\[
r^{(0)}_{V/A}(s) = \theta \left( 1 - \frac{m^2_{V/A}}{s} \right)
\]
\[
d^{(0)}_{V/A}(Q^2) = \frac{Q^2}{Q^2 + m^2_{V/A}}.
\]

Feynman (1972).

Eventually this leads to the following expression for $\Delta_{QCD}^{V/A}$:
\[
\Delta_{QCD}^{V/A} = 1 - \zeta_{V/A} \left( 2 - 2\zeta_{V/A}^2 + \zeta_{V/A}^3 \right) + \int_{m^2_{V/A}}^{\infty} H \left( \frac{\sigma}{M^2_T} \right) \rho(\sigma) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma},
\]
where $\zeta_{V/A} = m^2_{V/A}/M^2_T$ and
\[
H(x) = g(x) \theta(1-x) + g(1) \theta(x-1) - g(\zeta_{V/A}).
\]

Result: one solution for V-channel, none for A-channel

\[ \Lambda = (304 \pm 51) \text{ MeV} \]  

no solution
In this case the parton model prediction for $R(s)$ reads

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{VA}^{(0)}(s) &= \left(1 - \frac{m_{VA}^2}{s}\right)^{3/2} & d_{VA}^{(0)}(Q^2) &= 1 + \frac{3}{z} + \frac{3u(z)}{2z} \ln\left[1 + 2z(1 - u(z))\right],
\end{align*}
$$

where $u(z) = \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{z}}$, $z = Q^2/m_{VA}^2$.  

**Feynman PR76(1949).**

In turn, the prediction for $\Delta_{QCD}^{VA}$ takes the following form:

$$
\Delta_{QCD}^{VA} = \sqrt{1 - \zeta_{VA}} \left(1 + 6\zeta_{VA} - \frac{5}{8}\zeta_{VA}^2 + \frac{3}{16}\zeta_{VA}^3\right) + \int_{m_{VA}^2}^{\infty} H\left(\frac{\sigma}{M_T^2}\right) \rho(\sigma) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma}
$$

$$
-3\zeta_{VA} \left(1 + \frac{1}{8}\zeta_{VA}^2 - \frac{1}{32}\zeta_{VA}^3\right) \ln\left[\frac{2}{\zeta_{VA}} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \zeta_{VA}}\right) - 1\right].
$$

**Nesterenko (2011).**
This results in nearly identical solutions for both channels:

\[ \Lambda = (449 \pm 38) \text{ MeV} \]

\[ \Lambda = (484 \pm 36) \text{ MeV} \]
The obtained solutions agree with perturbative one:

Dispersive approach, V-channel: $\Lambda = (449 \pm 38) \text{ MeV}$

Dispersive approach, A-channel: $\Lambda = (484 \pm 36) \text{ MeV}$

Perturbative approach, V-channel: $\Lambda = (458 \pm 147) \text{ MeV}$
SUMMARY

- Theoretical description of $\tau$ lepton hadronic decay is performed in the framework of Dispersive approach to QCD
- The significance of effects due to hadronization is demonstrated
- The developed approach provides nearly identical values of $\Lambda_{QCD}$ in vector and axial–vector channels