Table A. Characteristics of Respondents that completed the survey

Characteristic	Weigl	hted	Un-weighted		
Category	Number	%	Number	%	
Age		'	,	'	
18 years old	748	8.7	277	8.8	
19 years old	1,414	16.4	526	16.8	
20 years old	1,468	17.0	533	17.0	
21 years old	1,475	17.1	527	16.8	
22 years old	1,039	12.0	387	12.4	
23 years old	380	4.4	135	4.3	
24 years old	311	3.6	111	3.5	
25 years or older	1,804	20.9	637	20.3	
Student Affiliation	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Undergraduate	6,183	71.6	2,259	72.1	
Graduate or Professional	2,455	28.4	874	27.9	
Year in school/program	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Freshman	1,538	17.8	580	18.5	
Sophomore	1,574	18.2	562	17.9	
Junior	1,401	16.2	480	15.3	
Senior	1,670	19.3	637	20.3	
Graduate or Prof 1st year	793	9.2	278	8.9	
Graduate or Prof 2nd year	537	6.2	184	5.9	
Graduate or Prof 3rd year	406	4.7	150	4.8	
Graduate or Prof 4th year or higher	719	8.3	262	8.4	
Year first enrolled in the college or university	'		1		
2010 or earlier	838	9.7	303	9.7	
2011	1,726	20.0	653	20.8	
2012	1,685	19.5	587	18.7	
2013	2,086	24.1	741	23.7	
2014 or 2015	2,303	26.7	849	27.1	
Hispanic or Latino?					
Yes	946	10.9	313	10.0	
No	7,692	89.1	2,820	90.0	

Table A. Characteristics of Respondents that completed the survey (continued)

Characteristic	Wei	ghted	Un-we	Un-weighted		
Category	Number	%	Number	%		
Race						
White only	4,863	56.3	1,970	62.9		
Black only	666	7.7	202	6.4		
Asian only	2,235	25.9	691	22.1		
Other/Multi race	873	10.1	270	8.6		
Gender Identity						
Female	4,303	49.8	1,789	57.1		
Male	4,209	48.7	1,298	41.4		
Other	126	1.5	46	1.5		
Sexual Orientation						
Heterosexual	7,020	82.5	2,549	82.6		
Non-Heterosexual	1,486	17.5	536	17.4		
Since enrolled in college or university, hav	e you been in a partr	nered relationship?				
Yes	6,444	74.6	2,375	75.8		
No	2,184	25.3	754	24.1		
Did not answer the question	11	0.1	4	0.1		
Have a disability registered with the unive	ersity?					
Yes	517	6.0	197	6.3		
No	8,110	93.9	2,931	93.6		
Did not answer the question	11	0.1	5	0.2		

Table 1.1. Perceptions of Responses to Reporting Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct to anOfficial by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

				Female (n=1,789)			Male (n	=1,298)	
Survey Item	Total		Graduate orUndergraduateProfessionalTotal(n=1,306)(n=483)		Undergraduate (n=914)		Graduate or Professional (n=384)			
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
If someone were	to report s	exual assa	ult or sexue	al miscondu	uct to an o	fficial, how	likely is it i	that		
Students would s	upport the	e person mo	iking the re	eport.						
Not at all	0.9	0.1	0.8	0.2	1.6	0.5	0.8	0.2	0.7	0.3
A little	4.8	0.4	4.9	0.5	5.9	1.0	4.1	0.6	4.1	0.8
Somewhat	24.0	0.6	25.2	1.1	30.3	1.5	19.8	1.2	23.4	1.7
Very	48.1	0.7	47.1	1.3	48.4	1.7	48.5	1.3	51.1	1.9
Extremely	22.2	0.5	21.9	1.0	13.8	1.4	26.9	1.0	20.7	1.5
The alleged offer	nder(s) or t	heir associa	ates would	retaliate a	gainst the	person ma	king the re	port.		1
Not at all	7.6	0.4	3.3	0.4	3.1	0.6	12.3	0.9	11.8	1.5
A little	26.0	0.6	19.7	0.9	20.4	1.5	34.2	1.2	29.3	2.0
Somewhat	38.2	0.7	39.2	1.2	45.1	2.0	33.4	1.1	39.3	2.1
Very	22.0	0.6	29.4	0.9	25.5	1.5	14.9	1.0	16.4	1.5
Extremely	6.2	0.4	8.4	0.7	5.9	0.9	5.2	0.6	3.2	0.7
Campus officials	would take	e the report	t seriously.		1	•				1
Not at all	3.6	0.3	3.8	0.4	3.0	0.6	3.6	0.5	2.5	0.6
A little	13.8	0.5	19.2	1.0	12.3	1.1	11.4	0.8	7.1	0.9
Somewhat	32.1	0.6	39.1	1.1	32.8	1.5	26.3	1.1	27.2	2.2
Very	33.7	0.7	29.8	1.3	37.2	1.8	36.3	1.3	35.3	2.0
Extremely	16.8	0.5	8.2	0.6	14.7	1.3	22.4	1.1	27.9	1.8

Table 1.1. Perceptions of Responses to Reporting Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct to an Official by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

				Female (r	n=1,789)			Male (n:	=1,298)	
Survey Item	τα	otal		raduate ,306)	Profes	ate or ssional 483)		raduate 914)	Profes	ate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Campus officials	would pro	otect the so	ofety of the	person ma	king the re	port.				
Not at all	6.4	0.4	8.9	0.6	5.5	0.8	5.2	0.5	3.4	0.8
A little	16.1	0.6	22.7	0.9	14.9	1.3	12.4	0.9	6.6	1.1
Somewhat	33.0	0.8	36.3	1.2	41.7	1.8	27.0	1.3	31.1	1.9
Very	30.7	0.8	25.1	1.0	31.7	1.7	35.5	1.4	33.9	1.9
Extremely	13.9	0.5	6.9	0.6	6.2	0.8	19.9	1.1	25.1	1.8
Campus officials	would co	nduct a fai	r investigat	ion.						
Not at all	12.2	0.5	14.8	0.8	7.6	0.9	13.3	0.8	5.8	1.0
A little	21.4	0.7	27.3	1.2	18.6	1.8	20.3	1.1	11.7	1.5
Somewhat	40.8	0.7	42.9	1.1	40.6	1.6	39.0	1.2	40.4	2.2
Very	19.6	0.6	12.7	0.7	29.1	1.7	19.8	1.0	28.0	2.0
Extremely	6.0	0.4	2.3	0.4	4.1	0.8	7.5	0.7	14.1	1.4
Campus officials	would tal	ke action a	gainst the c	offender(s).						
Not at all	9.1	0.4	12.9	0.8	7.4	1.1	7.2	0.8	4.1	1.0
A little	28.6	0.8	37.6	1.3	29.6	1.7	24.0	1.3	15.2	1.7
Somewhat	37.5	0.7	37.6	1.1	39.4	1.6	37.7	1.4	36.4	1.8
Very	18.0	0.6	10.1	0.7	20.8	1.4	19.8	1.0	31.6	2.2
Extremely	6.8	0.4	1.8	0.3	2.8	0.6	11.2	0.9	12.6	1.3
Campus officials	would tal	ke action to	o address fa	ictors that i	nay have l	ed to the s	exual assau	ilt or sexual	miscondu	ct.
Not at all	10.4	0.6	12.3	0.9	9.8	0.9	11.0	1.0	3.5	0.8
A little	22.8	0.7	28.8	1.0	24.5	1.8	19.3	1.2	13.7	1.5
Somewhat	36.0	0.8	37.5	1.2	34.1	1.6	35.8	1.3	35.7	2.4
Very	23.6	0.7	18.3	1.0	27.5	1.4	24.4	1.1	32.4	2.6
Extremely	7.1	0.4	3.2	0.4	4.0	0.8	9.5	0.8	14.7	1.5

Table 1.1. Perceptions of Responses to Reporting Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct to anOfficial by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

		TGQN	(n=46)			
Survey Item		raduate 39)	Graduate or Professional (n=7)			
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
If someone were to report	sexual assault or sexual	misconduct to an officia	l, how likely is it that			
Students would support th	e person making the rep	ort.				
Not at all	S	S	-	-		
A little	13.2	4.4	S	S		
Somewhat	33.7	6.8	62.9	16.1		
Very	37.7	6.4	-	-		
Extremely	12.3	4.0	S	S		
The alleged offender(s) or	their associates would re	etaliate against the perso	on making the report.			
Not at all	-	-	S	S		
A little	12.5	5.0	-	-		
Somewhat	47.5	6.9	49.5	16.7		
Very	29.6	6.4	38.3	16.3		
Extremely	10.4	4.1	-	-		
Campus officials would tak	e the report seriously.		1			
Not at all	13.3	5.4	S	S		
A little	16.9	5.5	S	S		
Somewhat	44.1	7.9	S	S		
Very	23.8	7.1	S	S		
Extremely	S	S	S	S		

Table 1.1. Perceptions of Responses to Reporting Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct to an Official by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

	TGQN (n=46)								
Survey Item	Undergr (n=3		Graduate or (n:						
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr					
Campus official would pr	otect the safety of the p	erson making the report	t.						
Not at all	9.3	3.5	-	-					
A little	43.7	7.3	41.0	17.8					
Somewhat	25.5	6.2	S	S					
Very	15.1	4.6	S	S					
Extremely	S	S	S	S					
Campus officials would c	onduct a fair investigatio	on.							
Not at all	27.4	6.4	S	S					
A little	26.8	6.7	S	S					
Somewhat	33.7	6.5	40.3	17.7					
Very	12.1	5.2	S	S					
Extremely	-	-	-	-					
Campus officials would to	ake action against the of	ffender(s).							
Not at all	31.0	6.1	S	S					
A little	43.0	6.9	42.9	18.1					
Somewhat	22.1	5.5	S	S					
Very	S	S	-	-					
Extremely	-	-	S	S					
Campus officials would to	ake action to address fac	ctors that may have led	to the sexual assault or se	xual misconduct.					
Not at all	26.8	5.7	S	S					
A little	33.0	6.8	S	S					
Somewhat	28.3	7.2	S	S					
Very	11.9	4.6	S	S					
Extremely	-	-	S	S					

¹Per 100 students.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 1.2. Bystander Intervention Upon Witnessing Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

				Female (n	=1,789)			Male (n=	1,298)	
Survey Item	Тс	Total		Undergraduate (n=1,306)		Graduate or Professional (n=483)		Undergraduate (n=914)		uate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Have you been in any of the following situations? If so,	what did y	ou do?		,					,	
Suspected a friend was sexually assaulted		1		1	1	1		1	1	1
Yes	24.8	0.6	35.2	0.9	14.2	1.3	23.2	1.1	8.6	1.2
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	11.8	0.8	13.2	1.6	10.4	3.4	9.6	1.6	20.7	6.3
Did nothing for another reason	20.8	1.1	19.1	1.6	30.4	5.6	22.4	2.2	21.1	5.9
Spoke to my friend or someone else to seek help	59.3	1.7	60.7	2.1	55.6	6.4	58.0	2.6	49.3	7.4
Took action in another way	8.1	0.8	7.0	1.0	S	S	10.0	1.7	8.9	3.9
No	75.2	0.6	64.8	0.9	85.8	1.3	76.8	1.1	91.4	1.2
Witnessed drunk person heading for sexual encounter	1						1			
Yes	54.1	0.7	65.0	1.0	30.9	2.0	62.5	1.4	30.1	2.1
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	24.5	0.9	27.9	1.3	31.5	2.9	22.1	1.5	14.8	2.9
Did nothing for another reason	52.6	1.0	42.1	1.6	48.5	3.6	60.6	1.8	74.4	3.7
Directly intervened to stop it	8.0	0.6	11.1	1.0	3.9	1.2	5.0	0.8	6.8	2.0
Spoke to someone else to seek help	6.4	0.4	9.1	0.7	3.2	1.1	5.2	0.7	s	S
Took action in another way	8.5	0.5	9.8	0.9	12.9	2.4	7.1	0.8	3.3	1.3
No	45.9	0.7	35.0	1.0	69.1	2.0	37.5	1.4	69.9	2.1

Table 1.2. Bystander Intervention Upon Witnessing Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

		Total		Female (n=1,789)				Male (n=1,298)			
Survey Item	Тс			Undergraduate (n=1,306)		Graduate or Professional (n=483)		Undergraduate (n=914)		uate or ssional 384)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Witnessed someone acting in sexually violent or harassing	g manner										
Yes	24.2	0.7	31.4	1.0	20.8	1.4	23.7	1.2	9.3	1.4	
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	25.7	1.0	28.0	1.9	26.4	4.5	21.8	2.6	28.5	5.4	
Did nothing for another reason	29.8	1.5	28.9	2.0	27.2	4.4	30.9	2.4	36.5	7.2	
Directly intervened to stop it	15.9	1.1	13.6	1.4	16.8	3.2	19.6	2.2	9.9	3.7	
Spoke to someone else to seek help	13.8	1.0	16.3	1.6	9.3	2.4	13.9	1.8	s	S	
Took action in another way	14.8	1.1	13.2	1.4	20.3	3.1	13.9	1.9	19.2	5.8	
No	75.8	0.7	68.6	1.0	79.2	1.4	76.3	1.2	90.7	1.4	

Table 1.2. Bystander Intervention Upon Witnessing Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

		TGQN	(n=46)		
Survey Item		graduate =39)	Graduate or Professional (n=7)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Have you been in any of the following situations? If so, what di	d you do?				
Suspected a friend was sexually assaulted					
Yes	70.2	6.1	72.9	15.2	
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	S	S	-	-	
Did nothing for another reason	S	S	S	S	
Spoke to my friend or someone else to seek help	77.5	7.7	S	S	
Took action in another way	S	S	S	S	
No	29.8	6.1	S	S	
Witnessed drunk person heading for sexual encounter	1	•	I		
Yes	49.6	6.7	70.9	16.8	
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	S	S	-	-	
Did nothing for another reason	43.0	9.2	70.5	27.4	
Directly intervened to stop it	24.5	8.2	S	S	
Spoke to someone else to seek help	S	S	-	-	
Took action in another way	18.7	8.4	-	-	
No	50.4	6.7	S	S	

Table 1.2. Bystander Intervention Upon Witnessing Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

	TGQN (n=46)									
Survey Item		raduate 39)	Graduate or Professional (n=7)							
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr						
Witnessed someone acting in sexually violent or harassing manner										
Yes	40.7	6.7	70.9	16.8						
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	33.1	12.1	-	-						
Did nothing for another reason	34.9	11.0	S	S						
Directly intervened to stop it	S	S	S	S						
Spoke to someone else to seek help	S	S	S	S						
Took action in another way	18.3	8.5	S	S						
No	59.3	6.7	S	S						

¹Per 100 students.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 1.3. Perceptions Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender andEnrollment Status^{1,2}

				Female (n=1,789)			Male (n	=1,298)	
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=1,306)		Graduate or Professional (n=483)		Undergraduate (n=914)		Graduate or Professional (n=384)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Sexual assault or	sexual mi	sconduct a	problem a	t university						
Not at all	7.5	0.4	1.0	0.2	13.8	1.1	7.0	0.7	19.9	1.5
A little	19.5	0.5	12.4	0.7	20.6	1.5	22.9	1.0	30.5	1.9
Somewhat	38.4	0.7	38.8	1.1	40.6	1.6	40.3	1.1	33.1	2.3
Very	25.3	0.6	34.8	1.2	17.6	1.3	22.9	1.2	11.3	1.4
Extremely	9.3	0.4	13.0	0.7	7.4	1.0	6.8	0.7	5.2	0.9
Likelihood of exp	eriencing	sexual asso	ult or sexu	al miscond	uct on cam	pus	- -		·	
Not at all	44.9	0.6	16.5	0.7	34.9	1.8	65.1	1.3	80.5	1.6
A little	31.3	0.6	36.9	0.9	44.4	2.0	27.3	1.3	15.2	1.6
Somewhat	17.3	0.5	33.2	1.0	16.5	1.4	6.0	0.6	3.0	0.7
Very	4.7	0.3	9.9	0.6	2.4	0.6	1.0	0.3	1.0	0.4
Extremely	1.8	0.2	3.4	0.4	1.8	0.5	0.7	0.2	S	S
Likelihood of exp	eriencing	sexual asso	ult or sexu	al miscond	uct off car	npus at uni	versity-spo	nsored ever	nts	
Not at all	40.9	0.6	12.4	0.7	31.4	1.7	61.6	1.2	74.8	1.9
A little	32.6	0.6	38.6	1.0	44.7	1.7	27.1	1.3	19.0	1.8
Somewhat	20.9	0.5	38.3	1.0	20.0	1.6	9.5	0.7	4.6	0.8
Very	4.4	0.3	8.9	0.7	3.1	0.6	0.9	0.2	1.6	0.6
Extremely	1.2	0.1	1.9	0.3	0.7	0.3	1.0	0.2	-	-

Table 1.3. Perceptions Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

	TGQN (n=46)									
Survey Item	Undergr (n=5		Graduate or Professional (n=7)							
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr						
Sexual assault or sexual r	misconduct a problem at university	/								
Not at all	-	-	S	S						
A little	-	-	-	-						
Somewhat	12.3	4.5	S	S						
Very	51.5	7.0	54.4	18.1						
Extremely	36.2	6.7	-	-						
Likelihood of experiencin	g sexual assault or sexual miscond	luct on campus								
Not at all	14.0	5.3	S	S						
A little	32.2	6.3	S	S						
Somewhat	35.9	6.4	S	S						
Very	13.3	4.5	S	S						
Extremely	S	S	-	-						
Likelihood of experiencin	g sexual assault or sexual miscond	luct off campus at university-spor	asored events							
Not at all	10.0	4.5	S	S						
A little	38.5	6.3	S	S						
Somewhat	34.5	6.5	S	S						
Very	14.0	4.4	S	S						
Extremely	S	S	-	-						

¹Per 100 students.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 2.1. Knowledge and Perceptions About Resources Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status¹

				Female (n	=1,789)			Male (n=	1,298)		
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,306)	Profe	uate or ssional 483)		graduate Profe		uate or essional =384)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Awareness of services											
Aware of services provided by Sexual Assault Response Line	59.5	0.8	69.3	0.9	40.1	1.9	65.2	1.3	38.3	1.7	
Aware of services provided by Coordinator of Sexual Assault Prevention and Advocacy	22.0	0.5	25.2	1.0	15.5	1.5	24.7	1.0	11.9	1.2	
Aware of services provided by Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS)	81.1	0.6	92.3	0.7	71.5	1.7	82.2	1.2	58.2	1.8	
Aware of services provided by Department of Public Safety	83.3	0.6	90.1	0.7	77.9	1.6	83.6	1.1	71.2	2.0	
Aware of services provided by Graduate School Deputy Title IX officer	10.9	0.4	5.9	0.5	18.1	1.3	9.6	0.8	18.5	1.6	
Aware of services provided by Health Services	88.4	0.5	93.9	0.5	83.5	1.6	89.1	0.9	77.3	1.6	
Aware of services provided by Institutional Diversity/Title IX office at Brown	16.2	0.4	15.2	0.7	11.8	1.1	20.2	0.9	12.2	1.6	
Aware of services provided by Medical School Deputy Title IX officer	4.9	0.3	3.1	0.4	4.2	0.6	6.2	0.6	6.0	1.0	
Aware of services provided by Office of Student Life	59.4	0.7	62.9	1.0	48.8	1.9	64.3	1.4	49.1	2.0	
Aware of services provided by Sarah Doyle Women's Center	60.3	0.7	77.3	0.9	58.1	1.8	51.9	1.3	37.6	2.1	
Aware of services provided by Other Brown resource not listed above	16.4	0.5	18.5	0.7	7.7	1.0	19.8	1.2	9.7	1.4	
Aware of services provided by Non-Brown community resource	15.3	0.4	17.9	0.8	13.5	1.2	14.0	0.9	10.6	1.3	

Table 2.1. Knowledge and Perceptions About Resources Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status¹ (continued)

				Female (n=1,789)			Male (r	ו=1,298)	
Survey Item	Total			raduate ,306)	Graduate or Professional (n=483)		Undergraduate (n=914)		Profe	uate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Knowledgeable about how	v sexual assau	lt and sexual	misconduct a	lefined at univ	versity					
Not at all	12.7	0.5	9.7	0.7	24.8	1.9	9.5	0.9	17.0	1.4
A little bit	29.6	0.6	28.5	1.1	32.7	1.9	26.9	1.2	36.2	1.9
Somewhat	39.3	0.8	41.7	1.4	33.1	1.8	41.6	1.3	34.6	1.8
Very	14.5	0.5	15.5	1.0	8.2	1.0	17.0	1.0	10.8	1.3
Extremely	3.9	0.3	4.6	0.5	1.2	0.4	5.0	0.7	1.4	0.5
Knowledgeable about whe	ere to get help	at university	if student or	friend experie	ence sexual as	sault or sexu	al misconduc	t		
Not at all	6.7	0.4	4.5	0.5	10.3	1.1	6.2	0.7	10.3	1.3
A little bit	23.1	0.6	18.6	0.9	33.2	1.7	21.1	1.0	30.3	1.8
Somewhat	40.4	0.7	41.4	1.0	37.9	1.7	40.0	1.1	41.5	2.0
Very	23.7	0.6	28.0	1.0	16.5	1.2	25.7	1.2	14.4	1.4
Extremely	6.1	0.4	7.5	0.6	2.1	0.5	6.9	0.6	S	S
Knowledgeable about whe	ere to make a	report if stude	ent or friend e	experience se.	xual assault c	r sexual misc	conduct at uni	versity	1	
Not at all	18.0	0.5	17.7	0.9	23.4	1.4	15.0	0.9	20.9	1.7
A little bit	25.8	0.6	25.2	1.0	31.5	1.7	23.8	1.0	27.7	1.7
Somewhat	36.0	0.7	37.6	1.0	32.9	1.6	36.6	1.3	33.7	1.6
Very	15.1	0.5	15.0	0.7	9.8	1.1	18.2	1.0	12.5	1.5
Extremely	5.0	0.3	4.5	0.4	2.3	0.6	6.4	0.6	5.2	0.9

Table 2.1. Knowledge and Perceptions About Resources Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status¹ (continued)

				Female (n=1,789)			Male (n	i=1,298)	
Survey Item	Total			raduate ,306)	Graduate or Professional (n=483)		Undergraduate (n=914)		Profe	iate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Knowledgeable about what happens when	a student rep	oorts sexual	assault or s	exual misco	onduct at ur	niversity				
Not at all	26.2	0.5	24.2	0.9	39.3	1.9	21.6	1.1	31.1	1.7
A little bit	32.8	0.9	33.6	1.1	29.4	2.1	32.6	1.5	34.9	2.2
Somewhat	29.5	0.7	31.4	1.1	25.5	1.7	30.6	1.3	25.0	2.0
Very	8.6	0.4	7.8	0.7	4.8	0.7	11.3	0.9	6.7	1.0
Extremely	2.9	0.3	3.0	0.4	1.0	0.4	3.8	0.6	2.2	0.6
Initial university orientation included inform	ation about	sexual assa	ult or sexua	l miscondu	ct				1	
Yes	69.4	1.2	84.0	1.4	29.4	3.9	85.3	1.8	35.3	3.8
Not at all	7.0	0.9	6.3	1.2	11.6	5.9	7.3	1.4	s	s
A little	21.7	1.5	24.8	2.4	31.7	6.7	17.5	2.0	19.1	4.9
Somewhat	43.9	1.8	41.9	2.7	42.4	6.7	44.4	2.8	55.9	7.0
Very	24.3	1.8	24.9	2.6	14.3	4.9	26.7	2.7	18.8	5.8
Extremely	3.1	0.5	2.2	0.7	-	-	4.2	1.1	S	S
No	8.7	0.8	4.8	0.9	20.6	3.1	4.6	1.1	15.1	2.8
I didn't attend orientation	4.7	0.6	2.6	0.7	13.1	2.1	2.0	0.8	8.3	2.6
I don't remember	17.2	1.1	8.5	1.1	36.9	3.8	8.1	1.3	41.3	3.8

Table 3.1a. Percent of Undergraduate Female Students Experiencing NonconsensualPenetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, CurrentYear vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item	Current	: School	Year	Since Er	itering Co	ollege
Response	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
Total Involving physical force or incapacitation	309	9.9	0.6	783	25.0	0.7
Penetration	70	2.2	0.4	318	10.1	0.6
Physical force only	33	1.1	0.3	170	5.4	0.5
Completed	21	0.7	0.2	90	2.9	0.4
Attempted	22	0.7	0.2	119	3.8	0.4
Incapacitation only	36	1.1	0.2	148	4.7	0.4
Both physical force and incapacitation	S	s	S	27	0.9	0.2
Sexual Touching	272	8.7	0.6	611	19.5	0.7
Physical force only	190	6.1	0.5	455	14.5	0.7
Incapacitation only	97	3.1	0.4	198	6.3	0.5
Both physical force and incapacitation	11	0.4	0.1	42	1.3	0.2

Table 3.1b. Percent of Graduate Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetrationor Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, Current Year vs.Since Entering College and Enrollment Status1

Survey Item	Current	School	Year	Since En	tering C	ollege
Response	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
Total Involving physical force or incapacitation	26	2.2	0.5	93	8.0	1.0
Penetration	S	s	S	33	2.8	0.5
Physical force only	S	S	S	16	1.4	0.4
Completed	S	S	S	7	0.6	0.3
Attempted	-	-	-	12	1.0	0.4
Incapacitation only	S	S	S	14	1.2	0.4
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	S	s	S
Sexual Touching	21	1.8	0.5	80	6.8	0.9
Physical force only	18	1.5	0.4	60	5.2	0.9
Incapacitation only	S	s	S	22	1.9	0.5
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	S	s	S

Table 3.1c. Percent of Undergraduate Male Students Experiencing NonconsensualPenetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, CurrentYear vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status1

Survey Item	Current	School	Year	Since En	tering C	ollege
Response	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
Total Involving physical force or incapacitation	92	3.1	0.4	199	6.8	0.6
Penetration	18	0.6	0.3	78	2.7	0.4
Physical force only	S	S	S	27	0.9	0.3
Completed	-	-	-	S	S	S
Attempted	S	S	S	20	0.7	0.2
Incapacitation only	16	0.5	0.2	46	1.6	0.3
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	S	s	S
Sexual Touching	79	2.7	0.4	147	5.0	0.5
Physical force only	39	1.3	0.3	83	2.8	0.4
Incapacitation only	45	1.5	0.3	69	2.4	0.4
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	9	0.3	0.1

Table 3.1d. Percent of Graduate Male Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item	Current	School	Year	Since Ent	ering C	ollege
Response	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
Total Involving physical force or incapacitation	S	s	S	34	2.7	0.6
Penetration	-	-	-	12	1.0	0.4
Physical force only	-	-	-	S	s	S
Completed	-	-	-	-	-	-
Attempted	-	-	-	S	s	S
Incapacitation only	-	-	-	10	0.8	0.4
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	S	s	S
Sexual Touching	S	s	S	25	1.9	0.5
Physical force only	S	S	S	18	1.5	0.4
Incapacitation only	-	-	-	9	0.7	0.3
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	S	s	S

Table 3.1e. Percent of Undergraduate Students of Other Gender ExperiencingNonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation byTactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status1

Survey Item	Curren	t School	Year	Since Er	tering Co	ollege
Response	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
Total Involving physical force or incapacitation	12	11.1	5.3	27	25.7	6.3
Penetration	S	S	S	14	13.2	5.0
Physical force only	S	S	S	10	9.2	3.6
Completed	S	S	S	S	S	S
Attempted	-	-	-	2	1.7	1.3
Incapacitation only	S	s	S	S	s	S
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	S	s	S
Sexual Touching	8	7.9	3.6	18	17.1	5.2
Physical force only	S	s	s	13	12.0	4.7
Incapacitation only	S	s	s	9	8.6	3.9
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 3.1f. Percent of Graduate Students of Other Gender Experiencing NonconsensualPenetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, CurrentYear vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item	Current	Schoo	l Year	Since Ent	tering C	ollege
Response	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
Total Involving physical force or incapacitation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Penetration	-	-	-	-	-	-
Physical force only	-	-	-	-	-	-
Completed	-	-	-	-	-	-
Attempted	-	-	-	-	-	-
Incapacitation only	-	-	-	-	-	-
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sexual Touching	-	-	-	-	-	-
Physical force only	-	-	-	-	-	-
Incapacitation only	-	-	-	-	-	-
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 3.2. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3}

				Female (n	=1,789)			Male (n=1,298)			
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,306)			Undergraduate (n=914)		Graduate or Professional (n=384)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Sexual orientation											
Heterosexual	11.2	0.5	23.0	0.9	5.6	0.9	4.6	0.7	3.0	0.7	
Non-Heterosexual	22.7	1.4	34.7	2.3	26.3	5.0	16.5	2.1	s	s	
Ethnicity											
Hispanic	14.9	1.3	29.9	2.9	s	S	4.8	1.5	s	s	
Not Hispanic	13.0	0.4	24.3	0.8	8.3	1.0	7.1	0.7	2.6	0.6	
Race					1				1		
American Indian or Alaska Native	11.7	3.4	20.6	5.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Asian	10.0	0.9	17.7	1.5	6.7	1.6	5.5	1.3	2.5	1.1	
Black or African American	19.2	2.2	28.2	3.4	15.2	5.0	9.6	2.9	-	-	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	28.7	7.0	37.5	10.0	-	-	S	S	-	-	
White	14.7	0.5	29.2	1.1	8.1	1.1	7.1	0.7	2.9	0.8	
Disability											
Yes	26.0	2.5	37.5	3.9	25.7	7.2	7.3	3.4	-	-	
No	12.3	0.4	23.8	0.7	7.3	1.0	6.8	0.6	2.8	0.6	
Marital status											
Never married	6.0	0.8	-	-	9.9	1.4	-	-	3.0	0.8	
Not married but living with a partner	5.7	1.4	-	-	8.3	2.3	-	-	S	S	
Married	2.7	1.1	-	-	3.5	1.6	-	-	s	s	
Divorced or separated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Table 3.2. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

				Female (n:	=1,789)			Male (n=:	1,298)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,306)	Profes	iate or ssional 483)		raduate 914)	Profes	iate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Year in School and Tir	ning of	incidents								
Undergraduate										
Current year										
Freshman	8.1	0.8	11.7	1.3	-	-	4.3	1.2	-	-
Sophomore	7.1	0.9	10.8	1.2	-	-	2.4	0.8	-	-
Junior	6.0	1.0	9.5	1.8	-	-	2.2	1.1	-	-
Senior	5.6	0.7	7.7	1.3	-	-	3.4	1.0	-	-
Since entering co	llege									
Freshman	8.2	0.8	12.0	1.3	-	-	4.3	1.2	-	-
Sophomore	14.7	1.2	21.6	1.8	-	-	6.2	1.3	-	-
Junior	22.3	1.7	33.1	2.5	-	-	9.1	1.9	-	-
Senior	20.5	1.0	33.0	1.7	-	-	8.0	1.2	-	-

Table 3.2. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

				Female (n	=1,789)			Male (n=:	1,298)		
Survey Item	1	otal		raduate ,306)	Profes	iate or ssional 483)		raduate Profe		raduate or ofessional (n=384)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Graduate/Professional											
Current year											
1st year	1.3	0.5	-	-	2.6	1.0	-	-	-	-	
2nd year	s	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-	
3rd year	s	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-	
4th year	s	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	s	
5th year	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-	
6th year or higher	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Since entering college											
1st year	4.1	0.9	-	-	6.9	1.4	-	-	S	S	
2nd year	4.3	1.1	-	-	5.1	1.8	-	-	3.5	1.5	
3rd year	7.8	1.7	-	-	14.9	3.2	-	-	S	S	
4th year	2.0	0.9	-	-	S	S	-	-	S	S	
5th year	8.1	2.4	-	-	9.7	4.0	-	-	6.7	3.2	
6th year or higher	8.2	3.0	-	-	10.8	4.3	-	-	S	S	

¹ Since enrolled in the college. Per 100 students.

²Includes contact involving: 1) penetration by physical force or threat of physical force, 2) attempted, but not completed, penetration by physical force or threat of physical force; 3) penetration by incapacitation, 4) sexual touching by physical force or threat of physical force; 5) sexual touching by incapacitation.

Table 3.3. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Type of Behavior, Victim Characteristics and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3}

				Penetra	ation			Sexual To	uching		
Survey Item	т	otal	Underg	Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional		Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Sexual orientation											
Heterosexual	18.0	0.8	9.0	0.7	2.3	0.6	17.8	0.9	4.5	0.8	
Non-Heterosexual	33.1	2.2	15.5	1.8	7.6	2.9	28.0	2.4	24.8	5.2	
Ethnicity											
Hispanic	25.8	2.6	15.1	2.3	S	S	22.8	2.5	-	-	
Not Hispanic	19.8	0.7	9.5	0.6	2.7	0.6	19.1	0.9	7.3	1.0	
Race											
American Indian or Alaska Native	18.5	5.1	S	S	-	-	20.6	5.7	-	-	
Asian	14.7	1.3	6.2	1.1	2.9	1.1	15.4	1.4	5.9	1.6	
Black or African American	25.7	3.0	9.2	s	s	3.1	21.8	3.2	12.6	4.7	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	37.5	10.0	S	S	-	-	37.5	10.0	-	-	
White	23.5	0.9	13.0	0.9	2.3	0.6	22.0	1.1	7.3	1.1	
Disability									1		
Yes	35.8	3.4	16.4	2.9	14.1	6.0	30.6	3.6	S	s	
No	19.1	0.6	9.5	0.6	2.3	0.5	18.4	0.7	6.6	1.0	
Marital status					1				1		
Never married	9.9	1.4	-	-	s	S	-	-	8.3	1.3	
Not married but living with a partner	8.3	2.3	-	-	2.3	1.3	-	-	7.0	2.2	
Married	3.5	1.6	-	-	S	S	-	-	3.5	1.6	
Divorced or separated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Table 3.3. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Type of Behavior, Victim Characteristics and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

				Penetra	ation			Sexual To	uching	
Survey Item	т	otal	Underg	raduate		iate or ssional	Underg	raduate		uate or ssional
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Year in School and Ti	ming of	Incidents								
Undergraduate										
Current year										
Freshman	11.7	1.3	2.6	0.8	-	-	10.8	1.2	-	-
Sophomore	10.8	1.2	2.3	0.6	-	-	9.5	1.2	-	-
Junior	9.5	1.8	1.8	0.6	-	-	8.2	1.6	-	-
Senior	7.7	1.3	2.2	0.7	-	-	6.4	1.2	-	-
Since entering co	ollege									
Freshman	12.0	1.3	2.9	0.8	-	-	10.8	1.2	-	-
Sophomore	21.6	1.8	7.7	1.3	-	-	17.0	1.7	-	-
Junior	33.1	2.5	16.5	1.6	-	-	23.1	2.2	-	-
Senior	33.0	1.7	13.4	1.5	-	-	26.7	1.8	-	-
Graduate/Profess	ional									
Current year										
1st year	2.6	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.6	1.0
2nd year	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
3rd year	S	S	-	-	S	S	_	-	s	S
4th year	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5th year	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	s	S
6th year	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	s	S

Table 3.3. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Type of Behavior, Victim Characteristics and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

				Penetration				Sexual Touching			
Survey Item	т	otal	Underg	raduate		ate or ssional	Underg	raduate		ate or sional	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Since entering	Since entering college										
1st year	6.9	1.4	-	-	1.8	0.8	-	-	5.7	1.3	
2nd year	5.1	1.8	-	-	S	S	-	-	4.3	1.7	
3rd year	14.9	3.2	-	-	9.0	2.4	-	-	11.1	3.1	
4th year	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	s	
5th year	9.7	4.0	-	-	S	S	-	-	9.7	4.0	
6th year	10.8	4.3	-	-	S	S	-	-	10.8	4.3	

¹Since enrolled in the college. Per 100 students.

²Includes contact involving: 1) penetration by physical force or threat of physical force, 2) attempted, but not completed, penetration by physical force or threat of physical force; 3) penetration by incapacitation, 4) Sexual touching by physical force or threat of physical force; 5) Sexual touching by incapacitation.

Table 3.4a. Number of Times Females Experienced Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Enrollment Status for the Current year and Since Entering College^{1, 2}

Survey Item	Total			raduate ,306)	Graduate or Professional (n=483)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Current school year						
Number of times						
0 times	98.3	0.3	97.8	0.4	99.6	0.3
1 time	1.2	0.2	1.5	0.3	S	S
2 times	0.4	0.1	0.5	0.2	-	-
4 or more times	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	-	-
Since entering college			'			
Number of times						
0 times	91.8	0.5	89.9	0.6	97.2	0.5
1 time	5.4	0.4	6.9	0.6	1.3	0.4
2 times	1.5	0.2	1.8	0.3	0.8	0.3
3 times	0.5	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.7	0.3
4 or more times	0.7	0.2	1.0	0.2	-	-

¹Per 100 students.

²Includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force or c) by incapacitation.

Table 3.4b. Number of Times Students Reported Nonconsensual Sexual Touching by Physical Force or Incapacitation by Current School Year or Since Entering Current University by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

				Female (n	=1,789)			Male (n=	1,298)			
Survey Item	т	Total				Undergraduate (n=1,306)		Graduate or Professional (n=483)		Undergraduate (n=914)		iate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Current school year												
Number of times												
0 times	95.6	0.3	91.3	0.6	98.2	0.5	97.3	0.4	99.8	0.1		
1 time	2.5	0.2	5.0	0.5	0.7	0.3	1.5	0.3	-	-		
2 times	1.1	0.2	2.1	0.3	S	S	0.8	0.3	-	-		
3 times	0.5	0.1	0.9	0.2	S	S	S	S	S	S		
4 or more times	0.3	0.1	0.7	0.2	-	-	S	S	-	-		
Since entering college												
Number of times												
0 times	89.8	0.4	80.5	0.7	93.2	0.9	95.0	0.5	98.1	0.5		
1 time	4.8	0.3	8.2	0.5	3.8	0.7	2.9	0.4	1.3	0.4		
2 times	2.9	0.2	6.3	0.5	1.4	0.5	0.9	0.2	S	s		
3 times	1.1	0.2	1.9	0.3	1.2	0.5	0.7	0.2	-	-		
4 or more times	1.4	0.1	3.1	0.3	S	S	0.6	0.2	S	s		

¹Per 100 students.

²Includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force or b) by incapacitation.

Table 3.5a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Forceor Incapacitation by Time Occurred During Year, Location of Incident and by Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item	By	Force	By Incapacitation		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Time - occurred during academic break					
No incidents occurred during academic break	74.5	4.0	85.4	3.4	
Some incidents occurred during academic break	6.9	2.9	S	S	
All incidents occurred during academic break	18.6	3.6	11.3	3.1	
Location					
Did it occur on campus or affiliated property?					
Yes	74.7	3.7	70.0	5.3	
No	25.3	3.7	30.0	5.3	
On university property	'	·			
University residence hall/dorm	83.9	4.1	80.6	4.9	
Fraternity or Sorority house	22.3	4.5	22.2	4.7	
Other space used by single-sex org	-	-	-	-	
Other residential housing	12.8	3.5	S	S	
Non-residential building	12.1	3.6	S	S	
Other property (e.g., outdoors)	5.9	2.7	S	S	
Not on university property					
Private residence	56.1	8.8	76.8	8.6	
Fraternity or Sorority house	S	S	S	S	
Other space used by single-sex org	-	-	-	-	
Restaurant, bar, or club	S	S	S	S	
Other social venue	-	-	-	-	
Outdoor or recreational space	S	S	-	-	
Some other place	23.6	7.2	18.8	8.2	

¹Per 100 victims.

²Nonconsensual penetration by force includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; or b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.5b. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Time Occurred During Year, Location of Incident and by Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item	By I	Force	By Incapacitation		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Time - occurred during academic break					
No incidents occurred during academic break	87.8	2.1	80.7	3.4	
Some incidents occurred during academic break	8.2	1.8	9.2	2.9	
All incidents occurred during academic break	4.0	1.0	10.0	2.7	
Location			·		
Did it occur on campus or affiliated property?					
Yes	74.1	2.7	74.8	4.4	
No	25.9	2.7	25.2	4.4	
On university property					
University residence hall/dorm	61.4	2.9	61.4	5.2	
Fraternity or Sorority house	32.9	3.0	28.8	5.2	
Other space used by single-sex org	S	S	-	-	
Other residential housing	11.9	2.1	14.6	4.3	
Non-residential building	23.5	2.7	6.9	3.9	
Other property (e.g., outdoors)	17.2	2.6	15.3	3.7	
Not on university property				·	
Private residence	40.3	5.6	57.1	8.9	
Fraternity or Sorority house	7.0	2.7	S	S	
Other space used by single-sex org	-	-	-	-	
Restaurant, bar, or club	31.7	4.9	21.8	10.6	
Other social venue	8.5	2.9	S	S	
Outdoor or recreational space	6.1	2.7	S	S	
Some other place	16.4	3.9	19.2	8.1	

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force. ³Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.5c. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Time Occurred During Year, Location of Incident and by Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item	By I	orce	By Incapacitation		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Time - occurred during academic break				·	
No incidents occurred during academic break	82.4	5.7	86.3	6.3	
Some incidents occurred during academic break	10.6	4.7	S	S	
All incidents occurred during academic break	S	S	S	S	
Location					
Did it occur on campus or affiliated property?					
Yes	70.4	7.7	75.1	8.8	
No	29.6	7.7	24.9	8.8	
On university property					
University residence hall/dorm	66.0	9.8	67.1	12.1	
Fraternity or Sorority house	19.9	7.2	20.8	9.4	
Other space used by single-sex org	-	-	-	-	
Other residential housing	-	-	29.3	10.3	
Non-residential building	19.6	6.9	-	-	
Other property (e.g., outdoors)	18.0	7.0	-	-	
Not on university property					
Private residence	39.5	16.1	100	0.0	
Fraternity or Sorority house	-	-	-	-	
Other space used by single-sex org	S	S	-	-	
Restaurant, bar, or club	36.1	13.5	-	-	
Other social venue	S	S	-	-	
Outdoor or recreational space	S	S	-	-	
Some other place	S	S	-	-	

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force. ³Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.6a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Offender Characteristics and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Offender characteristics					
Gender					
Female	-	-	S	S	
Male	98.8	0.9	100	0.0	
Other gender identity	S	S	-	-	
Number of offenders					
1 offender	71.5	3.8	79.2	4.0	
2 offenders	21.2	3.7	12.8	3.4	
3 or more offenders	7.3	2.6	7.9	2.8	
University affiliation			1		
Student	87.4	3.3	85.7	4.2	
Faculty or instructor	S	S	-	-	
Coach or trainer	-	-	-	-	
Other staff or administrator	S	S	-	-	
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	-	-	S	S	
The person was not affiliated with university	S	S	S	S	
Don't know association with university	19.3	4.0	14.0	4.2	
Relationship to victim					
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	19.5	3.8	24.7	4.7	
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	17.1	3.5	13.8	3.4	
Teacher or advisor	S	S	-	-	
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	-	-	S	S	
Friend or acquaintance	56.7	4.9	54.1	5.4	
Stranger	30.6	4.4	32.5	4.8	
Other	S	S	S	S	
Don't Know	s	S	S	S	

¹Per 100 victims.

²Nonconsensual penetration by force includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; or b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.6b. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving PhysicalForce or Incapacitation by Offender Characteristics and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Offender characteristics					
Gender					
Female	4.5	1.3	S	S	
Male	97.5	0.9	96.9	1.7	
Other gender identity	S	S	-	-	
Number of offenders			1		
1 offender	64.3	2.8	67.9	4.2	
2 offenders	25.3	2.3	17.7	4.0	
3 or more offenders	10.3	1.7	14.4	3.1	
University affiliation					
Student	88.0	1.4	85.2	3.6	
Faculty or instructor	1.7	0.8	-	-	
Coach or trainer	-	-	-	-	
Other staff or administrator	S	S	-	-	
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	S	S	-	-	
The person was not affiliated with university	6.3	1.2	6.3	2.3	
Don't know association with university	10.2	1.5	17.2	3.6	
Relationship to victim	· · · ·				
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	7.9	1.5	17.7	3.8	
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	9.4	1.7	20.0	3.5	
Teacher or advisor	S	S	-	-	
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	S	S	-	-	
Friend or acquaintance	52.7	2.5	57.9	5.3	
Stranger	50.5	2.5	27.5	4.7	
Other	1.4	0.7	S	S	
Don't Know	-	-	5.2	2.2	

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.6c. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving PhysicalForce or Incapacitation by Offender Characteristics and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Offender characteristics					
Gender					
Female	51.7	7.1	62.0	9.2	
Male	62.3	6.9	39.5	9.5	
Other gender identity	-	-	-	-	
Number of offenders			1		
1 offender	79.0	6.2	85.9	6.3	
2 offenders	17.5	5.6	14.1	6.3	
3 or more offenders	S	S	-	-	
University affiliation			1		
Student	82.0	5.7	91.1	4.8	
Faculty or instructor	-	-	-	-	
Coach or trainer	-	-	-	-	
Other staff or administrator	-	-	-	-	
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	-	-	-	-	
The person was not affiliated with university	S	S	-	-	
Don't know association with university	17.3	5.4	S	S	
Relationship to victim			1	-	
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	12.6	4.6	s	S	
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	18.4	6.5	S	S	
Teacher or advisor	-	-	-	-	
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	-	-	s	S	
Friend or acquaintance	50.7	7.3	64.6	9.0	
Stranger	36.9	6.7	30.5	7.8	
Other	-	-	-	-	
Don't Know	s	S	-	-	

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.7a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Involvement of Substances and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

	Ву	Force	By Incar	pacitation
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Involvement of Substances				
Offender drinking alcohol				
Yes	59.7	4.0	73.4	5.3
No	21.5	3.8	6.9	2.5
Don't know	18.8	3.4	19.8	4.1
Offender using drugs	I	1	1	1
Yes	10.3	2.9	14.3	3.3
No	35.7	4.6	34.0	4.9
Don't know	54.0	4.8	51.7	4.8
Victim voluntarily drinking alcohol	1		1	1
Yes	60.5	4.1	89.8	3.5
No	39.5	4.1	10.2	3.5
Victim voluntarily using drugs		•	1	
Yes	7.5	2.7	14.4	3.4
No	92.5	2.7	85.6	3.4
Victim given alcohol or drugs without kn	owledge or consent	•	1	
Yes, I am certain	S	S	S	S
I suspect, but I am not certain	4.4	1.7	12.1	3.0
No	86.7	2.9	80.9	4.0
Don't know	7.9	2.7	5.7	2.8
Victim passed out for all or part of incide	ent			
Yes	13.9	3.8	24.6	4.5
No	73.0	5.1	47.9	5.3
Not sure	13.1	4.1	27.5	4.7

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Nonconsensual penetration by force includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; or b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.7b. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Involvement of Substances and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation		
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Involvement of Substances					
Offender drinking alcohol					
Yes	61.6	2.1	74.3	4.8	
No	11.9	2.0	13.9	3.0	
Don't know	26.5	2.1	11.8	3.5	
Offender using drugs	''				
Yes	5.1	1.2	4.8	2.3	
No	37.6	2.6	48.9	4.8	
Don't know	57.3	2.9	46.3	4.9	
Victim voluntarily drinking alcohol	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·		
Yes	61.5	2.6	81.2	3.3	
No	38.5	2.6	18.8	3.3	
Victim voluntarily using drugs					
Yes	2.9	0.9	9.3	3.0	
No	97.1	0.9	90.7	3.0	
Victim given alcohol or drugs without kn	owledge or consent	·	·		
Yes, I am certain	S	S	-	-	
I suspect, but I am not certain	S	S	S	S	
No	95.0	1.2	96.1	1.8	
Don't know	3.6	1.0	S	S	
Victim passed out for all or part of incide	ent				
Yes	3.4	1.3	7.4	3.0	
No	93.1	1.6	83.1	4.6	
Not sure	3.6	1.2	9.5	3.0	

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.7c. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving PhysicalForce or Incapacitation by Involvement of Substances and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

	Ву	Force	By Incar	By Incapacitation		
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Involvement of Substances						
Offender drinking alcohol						
Yes	75.5	6.2	79.2	7.8		
No	16.5	5.7	S	S		
Don't know	S	S	16.1	7.1		
Offender using drugs			1	1		
Yes	S	S	S	S		
No	46.5	8.7	58.5	9.5		
Don't know	46.0	8.6	29.5	9.5		
Victim voluntarily drinking alcohol				1		
Yes	70.3	7.6	95.3	3.8		
No	29.7	7.6	S	S		
Victim voluntarily using drugs	1					
Yes	S	S	S	S		
No	92.6	4.1	90.2	5.8		
Victim given alcohol or drugs without kno	owledge or consent			1		
Yes, I am certain	-	-	-	-		
I suspect, but I am not certain	S	S	-	-		
No	96.8	2.6	95.0	4.0		
Don't know	-	-	S	S		
Victim passed out for all or part of incide	nt		1	1		
Yes	S	S	21.6	8.3		
No	85.3	6.5	54.7	10.8		
Not sure	S	S	23.8	8.5		

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.8a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Physical and Emotional Consequences and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

	By F	orce	By Incapacitation	
<i>Survey Item</i> Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Consequences				
Physical				
Physical injuries	6.3	2.2	7.0	2.2
Bruises, black-eye, cuts, scratches, or swelling	100.0	0.0	81.8	15.9
Chipped or knocked out teeth	-	-	-	-
Broken bones	-	-	-	-
Internal injury from the sexual contact (e.g., vaginal or anal tearing)	S	S	S	S
Other injuries	-	-	-	-
Contract a sexually transmitted disease	4.6	2.3	-	-
Become pregnant from the experience	-	-	-	-
None of the above	86.5	3.2	93.0	2.2
Emotional				
Difficulty concentrating on studies, assignments or exams	56.3	4.7	48.4	5.0
Fearfulness or being concerned about safety	34.6	4.8	18.1	4.1
Loss of interest in daily activities, or feelings of helplessness and hopelessness	40.5	5.3	22.0	4.7
Nightmares or trouble sleeping	44.7	5.5	17.7	3.9
Feeling numb or detached	46.9	5.0	38.9	5.5
Headaches or stomach aches	19.6	3.2	7.6	2.7
Eating problems or disorders	28.0	3.9	14.3	3.6
Increased drug or alcohol use	23.1	4.1	20.3	3.8
None of the above	26.1	3.8	28.3	4.9

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Penetration by force includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; or b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.8b. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving PhysicalForce or Incapacitation by Physical and Emotional Consequences and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

	By I	orce	By Incapacitation		
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Consequences		1			
Physical					
Physical injuries	S	s	-	-	
Bruises, black-eye, cuts, scratches, or swelling	S	s	-	-	
Chipped or knocked out teeth	-	-	-	-	
Broken bones	-	-	-	-	
Internal injury from the sexual contact (e.g., vaginal or anal tearing)	-	-	-	-	
Other injuries	-	-	-	-	
Contract a sexually transmitted disease	-	-	-	-	
Become pregnant from the experience	-	-	-	-	
None of the above	97.3	0.9	97.0	1.7	
Emotional					
Difficulty concentrating on studies, assignments or exams	23.8	2.4	21.0	4.6	
Fearfulness or being concerned about safety	21.8	2.0	19.1	3.6	
Loss of interest in daily activities, or feelings of helplessness and hopelessness	13.3	1.7	16.4	3.9	
Nightmares or trouble sleeping	8.5	1.6	12.6	3.2	
Feeling numb or detached	16.5	2.0	11.1	3.0	
Headaches or stomach aches	4.0	1.0	10.2	3.0	
Eating problems or disorders	7.1	1.4	8.3	2.7	
Increased drug or alcohol use	5.4	1.5	8.8	2.9	
None of the above	60.2	2.7	54.6	4.9	

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.8c. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving PhysicalForce or Incapacitation by Physical and Emotional Consequences and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

	By F	orce	By Incapacitation	
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Consequences				
Physical				
Physical injuries	-	-	-	-
Bruises, black-eye, cuts, scratches, or swelling	-	-	-	-
Chipped or knocked out teeth	-	-	-	-
Broken bones	-	-	-	-
Internal injury from the sexual contact (e.g., vaginal or anal tearing)	-	-	-	-
Other injuries	-	-	-	-
Contract a sexually transmitted disease	-	-	-	-
Become pregnant from the experience	-	-	-	-
None of the above	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
Emotional				
Difficulty concentrating on studies, assignments or exams	22.1	6.8	16.9	7.8
Fearfulness or being concerned about safety	13.0	5.1	14.8	6.0
Loss of interest in daily activities, or feelings of helplessness and hopelessness	10.3	4.8	S	S
Nightmares or trouble sleeping	10.9	5.1	-	-
Feeling numb or detached	15.7	5.6	S	S
Headaches or stomach aches	13.7	5.5	-	-
Eating problems or disorders	S	S	S	S
Increased drug or alcohol use	13.1	5.2	17.9	8.0
None of the above	49.5	7.9	64.3	9.3

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.9a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Behavior and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

		Pen	etration			Sexual	l Touchin	g
	By Force		By Incapacitation		By Force		By Incapacitation	
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program								
Reported to a Program								
Yes	39.5	4.7	22.9	4.7	16.0	2.0	10.4	4.1
No	60.5	4.7	77.1	4.7	84.0	2.0	89.6	4.1
Did not know where to go or who to tell Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	17.5 36.7	4.9 6.0	13.6 34.0	4.5 5.3	6.0 12.4	1.5 2.1	12.7 12.7	3.4 3.4
	36.7	6.0	34.0	5.3	12.4	2.1	12.7	3.4
I did not think anyone would believe me	12.6	4.5	S	s	4.6	1.3	5.3	2.4
I did not think it was serious enough to report	70.5	6.1	84.1	4.5	73.7	3.2	81.4	4.1
I did not want the person to get into trouble	23.3	5.7	42.3	5.2	11.8	2.2	27.0	4.1
I feared negative social consequences	35.3	7.2	24.4	4.6	10.7	2.2	14.8	3.7
I did not think anything would be done	47.9	7.1	34.6	5.5	26.3	2.7	25.3	4.7
I feared it would not be kept confidential	16.1	4.6	21.6	4.5	2.3	0.9	10.9	3.7
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	S	S	S	S	2.3	0.9	5.1	2.5
Incident did not occur while attending school	S	S	8.2	4.7	8.3	1.9	6.6	2.5
Other Reason	21.8	6.1	9.4	3.3	10.1	1.8	10.4	2.8

		Per	netration		Sexual Touching				
	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation		By Force		By Incapacitation		
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Report to others									
Friend	86.1	3.1	75.3	4.3	83.5	2.0	78.6	3.7	
Family member	27.7	3.7	10.6	3.0	17.8	2.3	5.2	2.0	
Faculty	12.5	2.8	S	s	4.0	1.1	-	-	
Someone else	14.8	3.1	7.1	3.0	4.6	1.2	3.5	1.6	
I didn't tell anyone else	15.5	3.2	24.8	4.3	14.1	2.0	18.2	3.1	

¹ Per 100 victims.

²Includes contact involving: 1) penetration by physical force or threat of physical force, 2) attempted, but not completed, penetration by physical force or threat of physical force; 3) penetration by incapacitation, 4) sexual touching by physical force or threat of physical force, 5) sexual touching by incapacitation.

Table 3.9b. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation Reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Report of incident to a Program					
Reported to a program					
Inapplicable	-	-	-	-	
No	89.7	4.8	95.0	4.0	
Yes	10.3	4.8	S	S	
Did not contact a program		·		·	
Did not know where to go or who to tell	18.3	6.1	-	-	
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	14.7	5.9	14.8	5.6	
I did not think anyone would believe me	11.7	5.6	S	S	
I did not think anything would be done	23.1	7.1	18.4	8.2	
I did not think it was serious enough to report	72.9	7.6	63.9	9.5	
I did not want the person to get into trouble	26.8	7.0	50.6	10.9	
l feared it would not be kept confidential	11.6	5.4	17.8	7.8	
I feared negative social consequences	16.6	6.1	17.6	7.7	
Incident did not occur while attending school	14.9	5.1	4.8	3.9	
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	S	S	-	-	
Other Reason	22.4	5.9	S	S	
Report to others		· ,		·	
Friend	68.9	7.0	76.4	7.5	
Family member	S	S	S	S	
Faculty	-	-	-	-	
Someone else	9.0	4.0	-	-	
I didn't tell anyone else	28.6	6.5	23.6	7.5	

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force. ³Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

	Sexual Assault Response Line			f Sexual Assault and Advocacy	Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS)	
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	-	-	18.9	3.3	56.1	4.1
When contacted			·		·	
Fall of 2014 - present	-	-	48.6	11.1	60.3	5.2
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	41.8	11.4	19.2	4.5
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	S	S	9.3	3.2
Prior to Fall 2012	-	-	-	-	11.2	3.4
How useful			1		1	
Not at all	-	-	-	-	S	S
A little	-	-	-	-	17.8	6.1
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	50.4	7.5
Very	-	-	39.4	22.1	12.9	4.6
Extremely	-	-	60.6	22.1	13.7	4.7
Evaluation of Contact made since fall of 2014	1		1		1	
Pressure from university on whether to proceed						
Yes	-	-	-	-	S	S
To proceed	-	-	-	-	S	S
Not to proceed	-	-	-	-	-	-
No	-	-	100.0	0.0	94.7	3.0

	Sexual Assault	Sexual Assault Response Line		Sexual Assault nd Advocacy	Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS)	
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	-	-	18.9	3.3	56.1	4.1
Program showed respect towards victim						
Excellent	-	-	89.1	9.3	40.2	7.2
Very good	-	-	S	S	25.0	6.3
Good	-	-	-	-	24.5	6.4
Fair	-	-	-	-	10.3	4.7
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-
Helped to understand options	1	1	1		1	
Excellent	-	-	76.3	11.7	18.3	5.6
Very good	-	-	S	S	35.5	7.3
Good	-	-	S	S	12.9	4.7
Fair	-	-	-	-	30.9	6.6
Poor	-	-	-	-	S	S

	Department o	of Public Safety	Health	Services	Office of Student Life	
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	3.7	1.4	26.4	4.3	18.2	3.1
When contacted	•		•			
Fall of 2014 - present	100.0	0.0	28.1	6.7	57.9	9.4
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	23.1	7.4	S	S
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	32.6	9.9	15.3	6.7
Prior to Fall 2012	-	-	16.2	6.1	14.6	6.5
How useful	•		•			
Not at all	S	S	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	S	S	S	S
Somewhat	S	S	S	S	45.0	15.7
Very	S	S	50.1	19.8	S	S
Extremely	-	-	S	S	S	S
Evaluation of Contact made since fall of 2014	,		,			
Pressure from university on whether to proceed						
Yes	S	S	S	S	38.8	15.9
To proceed	S	S	S	S	100.0	0.0
Not to proceed	-	-	-	-	-	-
No	76.3	21.9	86.5	11.5	61.2	15.9

	Department o	of Public Safety	Health	Health Services		Student Life
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	3.7	1.4	26.4	4.3	18.2	3.1
Program showed respect towards victim	•		'			
Excellent	-	-	S	S	27.2	12.9
Very good	S	S	63.4	12.9	S	S
Good	73.8	23.5	S	S	S	S
Fair	-	-	-	-	S	S
Poor	-	-	-	-	S	S
Helped to understand options			'			·
Excellent	-	-	S	S	27.2	12.9
Very good	-	-	51.4	13.4	S	S
Good	76.3	21.9	S	S	36.2	15.4
Fair	S	S	S	S	S	S
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-

	Provider	nce Police	medical scho	graduate school, ol, Institutional ersity)	Other Brown resource not liste above		
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Report of incident to a Program	S	S	6.0	1.9	20.3	3.3	
When contacted							
Fall of 2014 - present	-	-	-	-	45.2	10.8	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	18.6	8.5	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	S	S	16.3	7.3	
Prior to Fall 2012	-	-	-	-	19.9	8.7	
How useful	1				1		
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	47.9	18.6	
Very	-	-	-	-	S	S	
Extremely	-	-	-	-	41.4	18.2	
Evaluation of Contact made since fall of 2014	1			-	1	1	
Pressure from university on whether to proceed							
Yes	-	-	-	-	42.9	18.1	
To proceed	-	-	-	-	100.0	0.0	
Not to proceed	-	-	-	-	-	-	
No	-	-	-	-	57.1	18.1	

	Providen	ice Police	medical schoo	graduate school, II, Institutional rsity)	Other Brown resource not liste above	
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	S	S	6.0	1.9	20.3	3.3
Program showed respect towards victim						
Excellent	-	-	-	-	39.5	18.1
Very good	-	-	-	-	60.5	18.1
Good	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fair	-	-	-	-	-	-
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-
Helped to understand options	·				·	
Excellent	-	-	-	-	S	S
Very good	-	-	-	-	S	S
Good	-	-	-	-	S	S
Fair	-	-	-	-	S	S
Poor	-	-	-	-	S	S

	Non-Brown community r	esource not listed above
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	32.8	3.9
When contacted		
Fall of 2014 - present	57.7	7.9
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	30.0	6.9
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	15.1	5.6
Prior to Fall 2012	-	-
How useful		
Not at all	S	S
A little	S	S
Somewhat	S	S
Very	39.0	12.0
Extremely	37.0	10.5
Evaluation of Contact made since fall of 2014		
Pressure from university on whether to proceed		
Yes	-	-
To proceed	-	-
Not to proceed	-	-
No	100.0	0.0

	Non-Brown community	resource not listed above
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	32.8	3.9
Program showed respect towards victim		
Excellent	66.7	10.3
Very good	21.7	9.5
Good	S	S
Fair	S	S
Poor	-	-
Helped to understand options		
Excellent	30.6	10.0
Very good	27.8	9.5
Good	25.0	10.0
Fair	S	S
Poor	S	S

¹ Per 100 victims.

²Includes contact involving: 1) penetration by physical force or threat of physical force, 2) attempted, but not completed, penetration by physical force or threat of physical force; 3) penetration by incapacitation, 4) sexual touching by physical force or threat of physical force, 5) sexual touching by incapacitation.

Table 4.1 Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

	ool year0.10.1ationssstouching0.1of affirmative consent4.2ation1.7touching3.0touching0.2			Female (n:	=1,789)		Male (n=1,298)				
Survey Item		Total		Undergraduate (n=1,306)		Graduate or Professional (n=483)		raduate 914)	Profe	uate or ssional 384)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Current school year						,				1	
Coercion	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	S	s	S	S	-	-	
Penetration	s	S	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Sexual touching	0.1	0.0	s	s	S	s	S	s	-	-	
Absence of affirmative consent	4.2	0.3	8.4	0.7	1.9	0.5	1.8	0.4	S	s	
Penetration	1.7	0.2	3.8	0.4	S	s	0.7	0.2	S	s	
Sexual touching	3.0	0.2	6.1	0.6	1.6	0.5	1.2	0.3	s	S	
Since entering college			1				1		1		
Coercion	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.1	S	s	0.3	0.1	-	-	
Penetration	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	-	-	S	S	-	-	
Sexual touching	0.2	0.1	S	s	s	S	0.3	0.1	-	-	
Absence of affirmative consent	9.2	0.4	17.8	0.8	7.0	0.9	3.6	0.5	1.4	0.5	
Penetration	3.9	0.3	8.4	0.6	1.8	0.5	1.3	0.3	0.7	0.3	
Sexual touching	7.1	0.3	13.4	0.6	6.1	0.9	2.7	0.5	0.9	0.4	

Table 4.1 Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence ofAffirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

	TGQN (n=46)									
Survey Item		graduate =39)	Graduate or Profession (n=7)							
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr						
Current school year										
Coercion	-	-	-	-						
Penetration	-	-	-	-						
Sexual touching	-	-	-	-						
Absence of affirmative consent	7.8	3.8	32.9	17.5						
Penetration	S	S	-	-						
Sexual touching	6.0	3.7	S	S						
Since entering college										
Coercion	-	-	-	-						
Penetration	-	-	-	-						
Sexual touching	-	-	-	-						
Absence of affirmative consent	21.1	5.4	42.9	18.1						
Penetration	7.1	3.3	S	S						
Sexual touching	16.6	5.1	42.9	18.1						

¹Per 100 students.

Table 4.2. Number of Times Students Experienced Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2}

				Female (n	=1,789)			Male (n=	duate Profe 4) (n=				
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,306)	Profes	iate or ssional 483)		raduate 914)	Graduate or Professional (n=384)				
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr			
Current school year													
Coercion													
Penetration													
0 times	99.9	0.0	99.8	0.1	100	0.0	100	0.0	100	0.0			
1 time	0.0	0.0	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-			
2 times	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
3 times	0.0	0.0	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-			
4 or more times	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Sexual Touching													
0 times	99.9	0.0	99.9	0.1	99.8	0.2	99.9	0.1	100	0.0			
1 time	0.1	0.0	S	S	s	S	S	S	-	-			
2 times	0.0	0.0	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-			
3 times	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
4 or more times	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Without affirmative con	sent												
Penetration													
0 times	98.3	0.2	96.2	0.4	99.7	0.2	99.3	0.2	99.8	0.2			
1 time	1.3	0.2	2.9	0.4	S	S	0.5	0.2	s	s			
2 times	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.1	-	-	S	S	-	-			
3 times	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-			
4 or more times	0.1	0.0	s	S	-	-	-	-	-	-			

Table 4.2. Number of Times Students Experienced Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=1,789)			Male (n=	1,298)	
Survey Item	т	otal	Undergraduate (n=1,306)		Profes	Graduate or Professional (n=483)		raduate 914)	Graduate or Professional (n=384)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Without affirmative con	sent									
Sexual Touching										
0 times	97.0	0.2	93.9	0.6	98.4	0.5	98.8	0.3	99.7	0.2
1 time	1.9	0.2	3.4	0.4	1.0	0.4	0.9	0.3	S	S
2 times	0.8	0.1	1.7	0.3	S	S	0.3	0.1	-	-
3 times	0.2	0.1	0.6	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 or more times	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.1	S	S	-	-	-	-
Since entering college								!		
Coercion										
Penetration										
0 times	99.9	0.1	99.8	0.1	100	0.0	99.9	0.1	100	0.0
1 time	0.0	0.0	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
2 times	0.0	0.0	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
3 times	0.1	0.0	S	s	-	-	S	s	-	-
4 or more times	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sexual Touching										
0 times	99.8	0.1	99.9	0.1	99.8	0.2	99.7	0.1	100	0.0
1 time	0.1	0.1	S	S	-	-	0.3	0.1	-	-
2 times	0.1	0.0	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-
3 times	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 or more times	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 4.2. Number of Times Students Experienced Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=1,789)			Male (n=1,298				
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,306)	Profes	raduate or rofessional Undergraduate (n=483) (n=914)		Profes	iate or ssional 384)			
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Without affirmative con	sent											
Penetration												
0 times	96.1	0.3	91.6	0.6	98.2	0.5	98.7	0.3	99.3	0.3		
1 time	2.4	0.2	5.3	0.5	0.9	0.3	0.8	0.2	S	s		
2 times	0.6	0.1	1.1	0.2	S	s	0.5	0.2	-	-		
3 times	0.5	0.1	1.2	0.2	S	s	-	-	S	s		
4 or more times	0.4	0.1	0.9	0.2	S	S	-	-	-	-		
Sexual Touching												
0 times	92.9	0.3	86.6	0.6	93.9	0.9	97.3	0.5	99.1	0.4		
1 time	3.6	0.2	6.6	0.5	3.2	0.6	1.6	0.3	S	S		
2 times	1.9	0.2	3.7	0.4	1.7	0.5	0.8	0.2	-	-		
3 times	0.8	0.1	1.8	0.3	S	S	S	S	S	s		
4 or more times	0.7	0.1	1.3	0.2	0.9	0.4	S	S	S	s		

¹Per 100 students.

Table 4.3. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence ofAffirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

				Female (n	=1,789)			Male (n=	1,298)	
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=1,306)		Graduate or Professional (n=483)		Undergraduate (n=914)		uate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Sexual orientation										
Heterosexual	7.7	0.4	15.5	0.7	6.4	0.9	2.5	0.5	1.0	0.4
Non-Heterosexual	15.8	1.3	27.4	2.3	10.5	3.4	8.3	1.7	S	s
Ethnicity										
Hispanic	9.8	1.4	18.5	2.5	10.7	4.8	3.3	2.1	-	-
Not Hispanic	9.1	0.4	17.7	0.8	6.8	0.8	3.6	0.5	1.6	0.5
Race										
American Indian or Alaska Native	11.4	3.2	16.7	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Asian	6.4	0.6	12.5	1.4	4.5	1.4	1.8	0.7	S	S
Black or African American	12.5	1.5	19.0	2.7	12.6	4.7	3.9	1.7	-	-
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	12.0	5.1	23.7	9.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
White	10.5	0.5	21.1	1.1	7.9	1.2	4.0	0.7	1.8	0.6
Disability										
Yes	20.3	2.2	31.1	3.6	22.6	7.8	S	S	S	S
No	8.5	0.4	16.5	0.8	6.4	0.9	3.7	0.5	1.2	0.4

Table 4.3. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence ofAffirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

				Female (n:	=1,789)			Male (n=:	1,298)	
Survey Item	т	otal	Undergraduate (n=1,306)		Profe	Graduate or Professional (n=483)		graduate 914)	Profe	uate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Never married	4.5	0.6	-	-	8.1	1.2	-	-	1.5	0.6
Not married but living with a partner	7.2	1.6	-	-	11.2	2.8	-	-	S	S
Married	0.6	0.5	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Divorced or separated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Current year Freshman	5.3	0.7	8.9	1.2	_	_	1.7	0.6	_	_
	5 3	0.7	8 9	1 2	_	_	17	0.6	_	
Sophomore	4.1	0.7	7.4	1.2	-	-	S	s	-	-
Junior	6.2	1.0	9.1	1.5	-	-	2.5	1.0	-	-
Senior	5.5	0.7	8.3	1.1	-	-	2.6	0.8	-	-
Since entering college	I					· · · ·			1	
Freshman	5.5	0.7	9.3	1.2	-	-	1.7	0.6	-	-
Sophomore	8.6	0.9	14.9	1.6	-	-	1.6	0.6	-	-
Junior	15.3	1.3	22.6	2.1	-	-	5.4	1.4	-	-
	14.9			1	-	1		1		

Table 4.3. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

				Female (n	=1,789)			Male (n=:	L,298)	
Survey Item		「otal		Undergraduate Prof		ate or sional 183)	Undergraduate (n=914)		Profe	uate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Graduate/Professional										
Current year										
1st	2.0	0.7	-	-	2.3	1.1	-	-	S	S
2nd	1.7	0.7	-	-	2.4	1.1	-	-	S	s
3rd	1.9	0.9	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
4th	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5th	0.8	0.6	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
6th year or more	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Since entering college										
1st	5.4	1.1	-	-	8.0	2.0	-	-	S	s
2nd	2.5	0.8	-	-	4.3	1.5	-	-	S	s
3rd	5.0	1.3	-	-	9.2	2.6	-	-	-	-
4th	3.8	1.5	-	-	S	S	-	-	S	S
5th	5.8	2.0	-	-	10.3	3.7	-	-	S	S
6th year or more	2.8	1.6	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-

¹Per 100 students.

Table 4.4. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Behavior and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

				Penetra	ation		Sexual Touching				
Survey Item	т	otal	Under	raduate		uate or ssional	Underg	Undergraduate		uate or ssional	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Sexual orientation											
Heterosexual	12.9	0.6	7.3	0.6	1.7	0.5	11.5	0.7	5.8	0.9	
Non-Heterosexual	24.1	2.0	13.8	1.8	s	s	20.6	2.0	7.1	2.9	
Ethnicity					1				1		
Hispanic	17.2	2.1	7.6	1.9	s	s	14.0	2.2	10.7	4.8	
Not Hispanic	14.5	0.7	8.5	0.7	1.7	0.5	13.2	0.7	5.8	0.8	
Race											
American Indian or Alaska Native	15.0	4.7	10.2	4.3	-	-	13.1	4.7	-	-	
Asian	10.3	1.2	5.6	1.0	s	s	9.9	1.2	4.5	1.4	
Black or African American	17.8	2.4	9.0	2.1	-	-	14.0	2.3	12.6	4.7	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	23.7	9.3	-	-	-	-	23.7	9.3	-	-	
White	17.6	0.8	10.0	0.8	1.8	0.6	16.0	0.9	6.4	1.2	
Disability					1				1		
Yes	29.9	3.1	18.5	3.2	s	s	22.4	3.2	18.4	8.1	
No	13.6	0.7	7.3	0.6	1.7	0.5	12.5	0.6	5.6	0.9	
Marital status				1		1					
Never married	8.1	1.2	-	-	2.4	0.7	-	-	6.9	1.1	
Not married but living with a partner	11.2	2.8	-	-	S	s	-	-	10.3	2.7	
Married	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	s	s	
Divorced or separated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Table 4.4. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Behavior and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

				Penetra	ition			Sexual To	uching	ning		
Survey Item	т	otal	Underg	raduate		uate or ssional	Underg	raduate		uate or ssional		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Year in School and Ti	ming of	Incidents										
Undergraduate												
Current year												
Freshman	8.9	1.2	3.3	0.8	-	-	7.5	1.2	-	-		
Sophomore	7.4	1.2	2.3	0.6	-	-	5.9	1.0	-	-		
Junior	9.1	1.5	5.5	1.3	-	-	6.0	1.2	-	-		
Senior	8.3	1.1	4.2	0.8	-	-	5.0	0.8	-	-		
Since entering co	ollege											
Freshman	9.3	1.2	3.7	0.8	-	-	7.5	1.2	-	-		
Sophomore	14.9	1.6	6.1	1.0	-	-	11.6	1.3	-	-		
Junior	22.6	2.1	12.3	1.7	-	-	15.7	1.8	-	-		
Senior	24.0	1.8	11.1	1.4	-	-	18.3	1.4	-	-		
Graduate/Profess	ional											
Current year												
1st year	2.3	1.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.3	1.1		
2nd year	2.4	1.1	-	-	S	S	-	-	s	S		
3rd year	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	s	S		
4th year	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
5th year	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	s	S		
6th year	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

Table 4.4. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Behavior and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

				Penetra	ition			Sexual To	uching			
Survey Item	Total		Underg	Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional		raduate	Gradu Profes	ate or sional		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Since entering	college											
1st year	8.0	2.0	-	-	2.5	1.0	-	-	6.8	1.8		
2nd year	4.3	1.5	-	-	S	S	-	-	S	S		
3rd year	9.2	2.6	-	-	S	S	-	-	9.2	2.6		
4th year	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	S		
5th year	10.3	3.7	-	-	S	S	-	-	8.6	3.5		
6th year	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	S		

¹Per 100 students.

Table 4.5a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual TouchingInvolving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Offender Characteristics byBehavior and Tactic^{1, 2}

		Coer	cion		Absence of Affirmative Consent			
Survey Item	Pene	tration	Sexual Touching		Penetration			kual ching
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Offender characteristics								
Gender								
Male	s	S	S	S	99.2	0.7	96.5	1.5
Female	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.5	1.8
Other gender identity	-	-	-	-	S	S	S	s
Number of offenders	1						1	
1 offender	s	S	S	S	68.7	3.7	64.5	3.4
2 offenders	-	-	_	-	14.7	2.2	23.3	3.1
3 or more offenders	-	-	_	-	16.6	2.8	12.2	2.3
University affiliation								
Student	s	S	S	S	91.1	2.5	88.3	2.1
Faculty or instructor	-	-	S	S	-	-	S	s
Other staff or administrator	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	s
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	-	-	-	-	S	S	2.3	0.9
The person was not affiliated with university	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.9	1.6
Don't know association with university	s	s	-	-	14.4	3.1	13.8	2.3

Table 4.5a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Offender Characteristics by Behavior and Tactic^{1, 2} (continued)

		Coer	cion		Absence of Affirmative Consent			
Survey Item	Penetration		Sexual Touching		Penetration			kual ching
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Relationship to victim								
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	S	S	S	S	49.9	4.2	16.9	2.2
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	s	S	-	-	28.0	4.2	9.0	1.9
Teacher or advisor	s	S	S	s	-	-	S	s
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	s
Friend or acquaintance	-	-	-	-	44.2	3.8	56.5	3.5
Stranger	-	-	-	-	17.6	3.2	38.2	3.3
Other	-	-	-	-	S	S	S	S

¹Per 100 victims.

Table 4.5b. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Offender Characteristics by Behavior and Tactic^{1, 2}

	Coercion					Absence of Affirmative Consent			
Survey Item	Penetration		Sexual Touching		Penetration			kual ching	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Offender characteristics									
Gender									
Male	-	-	-	-	46.4	12.0	59.3	8.3	
Female	S	S	s	S	60.0	11.9	66.9	7.7	
Number of offenders									
1 offender	S	S	s	S	73.5	9.9	66.7	7.7	
2 offenders	-	-	-	-	17.4	7.7	25.5	7.3	
3 or more offenders	-	-	-	-	S	s	S	S	
University affiliation	I							1	
Student	s	S	s	S	77.3	10.2	93.3	3.7	
The person was not affiliated with university	-	-	-	-	-	-	s	S	
Don't know association with university	-	-	-	-	29.1	10.6	15.2	5.6	

Table 4.5b. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Offender Characteristics by Behavior and Tactic^{1, 2} (continued)

		Coer	cion		Absence of Affirmative Consent			
Survey Item	Penetration		Sexual Touching		Penetration			kual ching
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Relationship to victim								
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	-	-	-	-	42.2	12.0	18.1	6.0
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	s	S	_	-	23.5	10.6	S	S
Friend or acquaintance	-	-	s	s	34.8	10.7	45.7	8.5
Stranger	-	-	-	-	25.9	10.1	39.5	7.8
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	S
Don't Know	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	S

¹Per 100 victims.

Table 4.6. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation,Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent Since Enrolling at University by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item	т	otal	Female (n=1,789)		Male (n=1,298)		TGQN (n=46)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	12.5	0.4	19.5	0.6	5.1	0.5	20.3	5.5
Penetration	4.1	0.3	6.3	0.4	1.7	0.3	9.8	4.3
Sexual Touching	10.2	0.4	16.0	0.6	4.1	0.4	14.5	4.4
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	13.2	0.4	20.4	0.6	5.5	0.5	21.8	5.4
Penetration	5.3	0.3	8.2	0.5	2.1	0.3	11.2	4.3
Sexual Touching	10.2	0.4	16.0	0.6	4.1	0.4	14.5	4.4
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using physical force	13.3	0.4	20.5	0.7	5.6	0.5	21.8	5.4
Penetration	5.3	0.3	8.3	0.5	2.1	0.3	11.2	4.3
Sexual Touching	10.3	0.4	16.1	0.6	4.1	0.4	14.5	4.4
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using physical force	18.5	0.4	28.3	0.7	7.8	0.6	39.9	6.3
Penetration	8.1	0.4	12.8	0.7	3.0	0.3	18.8	4.9
Sexual Touching	14.8	0.4	23.2	0.6	5.8	0.5	30.3	5.8

Table 4.7a. Percent of Undergraduates Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force,Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent Since Enrolling at University by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item	г	otal	Female (n=1,306)		Male (n=914)		TGQN (n=39)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	15.5	0.5	23.9	0.7	6.2	0.6	24.0	6.4
Penetration	5.1	0.4	7.9	0.6	2.0	0.4	11.6	5.0
Sexual Touching	12.6	0.5	19.5	0.7	5.0	0.5	17.1	5.2
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	16.3	0.5	25.0	0.7	6.8	0.6	25.7	6.3
Penetration	6.6	0.4	10.1	0.6	2.7	0.4	13.2	5.0
Sexual Touching	12.6	0.5	19.5	0.7	5.0	0.5	17.1	5.2
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using physical force	16.5	0.5	25.2	0.8	6.9	0.6	25.7	6.3
Penetration	6.7	0.4	10.3	0.7	2.7	0.4	13.2	5.0
Sexual Touching	12.7	0.5	19.6	0.7	5.1	0.6	17.1	5.2
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using physical force	22.6	0.5	34.3	0.8	9.5	0.8	39.4	6.6
Penetration	10.3	0.5	16.2	0.8	3.7	0.5	20.3	5.6
Sexual Touching	18.0	0.5	27.7	0.7	7.3	0.7	28.0	5.9

 Table 4.7b. Percent of Graduate and Professional Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical

 Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent Since Enrolling at University by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item		Total	Female (n=483)		Male (n=384)			GQN n=7)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	5.1	0.6	7.8	0.9	2.7	0.6	-	-
Penetration	1.5	0.3	2.0	0.5	1.0	0.4	-	-
Sexual Touching	4.2	0.5	6.8	0.9	1.9	0.5	-	-
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	5.2	0.6	8.0	1.0	2.7	0.6	-	-
Penetration	1.8	0.4	2.8	0.5	1.0	0.4	-	-
Sexual Touching	4.2	0.5	6.8	0.9	1.9	0.5	-	-
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using physical force	5.2	0.6	8.0	1.0	2.7	0.6	-	-
Penetration	1.8	0.4	2.8	0.5	1.0	0.4	-	-
Sexual Touching	4.2	0.5	6.8	0.9	1.9	0.5	-	-
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using physical force	8.1	0.7	12.3	1.1	3.7	0.7	42.9	18.1
Penetration	2.6	0.4	3.6	0.6	1.5	0.5	s	S
Sexual Touching	6.8	0.6	11.0	1.1	2.4	0.5	42.9	18.1

Table 4.8. Percent of Seniors Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation,Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent Since Enrolling at University by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item	т	otal	Female (n=361)		Male (n=264)		TGQN (n=10)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	19.3	1.1	31.8	1.8	7.1	1.1	s	s
Penetration	6.5	0.8	9.8	1.3	2.8	1.0	S	S
Sexual Touching	16.2	1.1	26.7	1.8	5.9	1.1	S	s
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	20.5	1.0	33.0	1.7	8.0	1.2	24.3	10.7
Penetration	9.0	0.8	13.4	1.5	4.1	1.2	24.3	10.7
Sexual Touching	16.2	1.1	26.7	1.8	5.9	1.1	S	s
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using physical force	21.0	1.0	33.6	1.8	8.3	1.2	24.3	10.7
Penetration	9.3	0.9	14.0	1.6	4.1	1.2	24.3	10.7
Sexual Touching	16.5	1.1	27.0	1.9	6.2	1.0	S	s
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using physical force	29.0	1.3	45.8	2.2	12.0	1.5	34.8	12.4
Penetration	13.9	1.1	21.9	2.0	5.7	1.2	24.3	10.7
Sexual Touching	23.2	1.3	37.4	2.1	9.0	1.2	27.1	11.6

Table 4.9. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation,Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent for Current Year by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item	Total		Female (n=1,789)		Male (n=1,298)		TGQN (n=46)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	5.0	0.3	7.7	0.5	2.2	0.3	9.4	4.5
Penetration	1.0	0.2	1.4	0.2	0.4	0.2	S	s
Sexual Touching	4.4	0.3	6.8	0.4	1.9	0.3	6.7	3.1
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	5.1	0.3	7.8	0.5	2.3	0.3	9.4	4.5
Penetration	1.1	0.2	1.7	0.3	0.4	0.2	S	s
Sexual Touching	4.4	0.3	6.8	0.4	1.9	0.3	6.7	3.1
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	5.2	0.3	7.9	0.5	2.3	0.3	9.4	4.5
Penetration	1.2	0.2	1.9	0.3	0.4	0.2	S	S
Sexual Touching	4.5	0.3	6.9	0.4	2.0	0.3	6.7	3.1
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	8.2	0.4	12.5	0.6	3.4	0.4	21.0	5.6
Penetration	2.7	0.2	4.4	0.4	0.9	0.2	5.9	3.8
Sexual Touching	6.8	0.4	10.3	0.5	2.8	0.4	16.8	4.8

Table 4.10a. Percent of Undergraduates Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force,Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent for Current Year by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item	г	otal		emale 1,306)		Male 1=914)		GQN 1=39)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	6.6	0.4	9.7	0.6	3.1	0.4	11.1	5.3
Penetration	1.3	0.2	1.8	0.3	0.5	0.2	s	S
Sexual Touching	5.8	0.4	8.7	0.6	2.7	0.4	7.9	3.6
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	6.7	0.4	9.9	0.6	3.1	0.4	11.1	5.3
Penetration	1.5	0.2	2.2	0.4	0.6	0.3	S	s
Sexual Touching	5.8	0.4	8.7	0.6	2.7	0.4	7.9	3.6
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	6.8	0.4	10.1	0.6	3.2	0.5	11.1	5.3
Penetration	1.6	0.2	2.4	0.4	0.6	0.3	S	s
Sexual Touching	5.9	0.4	8.8	0.6	2.8	0.4	7.9	3.6
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	10.5	0.5	15.8	0.8	4.5	0.6	18.9	6.0
Penetration	3.6	0.3	5.8	0.5	1.2	0.3	7.0	4.5
Sexual Touching	8.7	0.5	13.1	0.7	3.8	0.6	13.9	4.8

¹TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

 Table 4.10b. Percent of Graduate and Professional Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving

 Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent for Current Year by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item		Total		emale =483)		Male 1=384)		GQN n=7)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	1.1	0.3	2.2	0.5	S	S	-	-
Penetration	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
Sexual Touching	0.9	0.2	1.8	0.5	S	S	-	-
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force		0.3	2.2	0.5	S	S	-	-
Penetration	s	S	S	s	-	-	-	-
Sexual Touching	0.9	0.2	1.8	0.5	S	S	-	-
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	1.1	0.3	2.2	0.5	S	S	-	-
Penetration	s	s	S	s	-	-	-	-
Sexual Touching	0.9	0.2	1.8	0.5	S	s	-	-
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	2.4	0.4	3.7	0.7	S	S	S	S
Penetration	0.4	0.2	0.6	0.3	S	s	-	-
Sexual Touching	2.0	0.4	3.1	0.7	S	s	S	s

¹TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.11. Percent of Seniors Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation,Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent for Current Year by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item		Total		emale =361)		Male 1=264)		GQN 1=10)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	5.5	0.7	7.5	1.3	3.4	1.0	s	S
Penetration	1.4	0.4	1.3	0.5	1.3	0.8	s	S
Sexual Touching	4.6	0.7	6.4	1.2	2.7	0.7	s	S
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	5.6	0.7	7.7	1.3	3.4	1.0	S	S
Penetration	1.9	0.5	2.2	0.7	1.3	0.8	S	s
Sexual Touching	4.6	0.7	6.4	1.2	2.7	0.7	s	S
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	5.8	0.8	8.0	1.3	3.4	1.0	S	S
Penetration	2.0	0.5	2.5	0.8	1.3	0.8	S	s
Sexual Touching	4.8	0.7	6.7	1.3	2.7	0.7	s	s
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	9.9	1.0	14.5	1.6	5.1	1.1	S	S
Penetration	4.1	0.7	6.1	1.1	1.9	0.9	s	s
Sexual Touching	7.3	0.9	10.7	1.4	3.8	0.9	s	S

¹TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

				Female (n	=1,789)		Male (n=1,298)				
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=1,306)		Graduate or Professional (n=483)		Undergraduate (n=914)		Profes	uate or ssional 384)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Percent of Students Reporting Harassment	55.8	0.6	71.0	1.1	48.2	2.0	52.1	1.4	30.6	1.8	
University-associated individual:											
made sexual remarks, or insulting/offensive jokes or stories	39.1	0.6	54.2	1.1	37.1	2.0	30.2	1.1	19.8	1.6	
made inappropriate comments regarding body, appearance, or sexual activity	44.3	0.8	57.9	1.2	35.7	1.9	41.7	1.3	21.3	1.6	
said crude or gross sexual things or tried to engage in sexual conversation	18.9	0.6	25.3	1.0	14.1	1.2	16.8	0.9	9.2	1.1	
transmitted offensive sexual remarks, stories, jokes, pictures, videos	7.4	0.4	11.1	0.6	3.6	0.7	6.8	0.7	2.4	0.6	
asked to go out, get dinner, drinks, or have sex, despite refusal	12.2	0.4	22.2	0.8	10.7	1.2	5.3	0.5	3.8	0.7	
Percent of Victims of Harassment					1				1		
Number of offenders											
1 person	26.3	0.9	22.0	1.2	39.8	2.6	26.9	1.6	33.2	3.8	
2 persons	31.3	1.1	33.1	1.4	27.9	2.5	29.1	1.8	38.1	4.3	
3 or more persons	42.4	0.9	44.9	1.5	32.3	2.7	44.0	1.8	28.7	3.0	

				Female (n	=1,789)			Male (n=	1,298)	
Survey Item	т	otal		Undergraduate or (n=1,306) Graduate or (n=483)		ssional		raduate 914)	Profe	uate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term										
0 times	21.6	0.7	19.2	1.0	31.3	2.4	19.5	1.7	31.7	4.3
1 time	21.8	0.8	20.3	0.9	27.6	2.0	21.4	1.6	23.3	3.5
2 times	23.6	0.8	24.9	1.2	19.7	2.2	24.3	1.6	20.3	3.3
3-5 times	24.1	0.8	26.0	1.1	16.8	2.1	24.4	1.6	20.3	3.4
6-9 times	5.1	0.5	6.2	0.7	4.3	1.2	4.5	0.8	s	s
10 or more times	3.8	0.4	3.4	0.5	s	s	6.0	0.8	2.5	1.1
Association with university										
Student	94.1	0.4	96.7	0.4	80.0	2.0	98.4	0.5	81.4	2.9
Faculty	9.2	0.5	4.9	0.6	28.3	2.4	2.9	0.7	28.9	3.2
Coach, religious leader, or other non-academic advisor	0.9	0.2	1.1	0.3	-	-	1.3	0.4	-	-
Other staff or administrator	3.2	0.3	3.2	0.4	4.5	1.1	2.6	0.6	2.8	1.1
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	1.6	0.2	1.5	0.3	3.6	0.9	0.8	0.3	S	S
The person was not affiliated with [University]	5.1	0.4	5.1	0.7	6.5	1.3	3.3	0.7	8.3	2.1
Don't know association with [University]	7.2	0.5	9.0	0.8	5.5	1.2	5.2	0.8	4.9	1.5

				Female (n	=1,789)			Male (n=	1,298)	
Survey Item		Total		Undergraduate (n=1,306)		iate or ssional 483)	Undergraduate (n=914)		Profe	uate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Relationship to victim										
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	6.9	0.5	10.1	0.8	3.2	0.9	4.5	0.9	s	S
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	7.7	0.5	11.8	0.9	4.8	1.2	4.2	0.8	-	-
Teacher or advisor	7.2	0.5	4.8	0.6	22.6	2.2	2.2	0.6	15.7	3.1
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	5.3	0.4	2.6	0.4	17.0	2.0	2.1	0.5	16.5	2.5
Friend or acquaintance	79.0	0.8	78.2	1.1	66.5	2.3	85.8	1.3	72.1	4.2
Stranger	39.6	0.8	49.2	1.3	24.2	2.2	33.2	1.7	28.1	3.4
Other	5.5	0.6	4.6	0.6	8.4	1.5	4.8	1.1	8.0	1.8
Don't Know	2.5	0.3	1.4	0.3	2.2	0.7	3.6	0.8	4.0	2.2

		TGQN (r	n=46)		
Survey Item		dergraduate Graduate (n=39) (n=7)			
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Percent of Students Reporting Harassment	89.9	4.5	70.9	16.8	
University-associated individual:			-		
made sexual remarks, or insulting/offensive jokes or stories	83.6	4.8	70.9	16.8	
made inappropriate comments regarding body, appearance, or sexual activity	83.8	5.2	44.4	18.2	
said crude or gross sexual things or tried to engage in sexual conversation	57.6	6.4	S	s	
transmitted offensive sexual remarks, stories, jokes, pictures, videos	14.2	4.4	S	s	
asked to go out, get dinner, drinks, or have sex, despite refusal	25.8	6.1	s	s	
Percent of Victims of Harassment					
Number of offenders					
1 person	10.3	4.7	S	S	
2 persons	21.9	6.3	-	-	
3 or more persons	67.8	6.9	62.6	22.7	

		TGQN	(n=46)	Graduate or Professional (n=7) % StdErr 5 S 5 S							
Survey Item		graduate =39)	Profe	ssional							
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr							
Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term											
0 times	10.5	4.1	S	S							
1 time	21.2	6.1	S	S							
2 times	22.1	6.0	-	-							
3-5 times	32.5	6.6	S	S							
6-9 times	7.6	3.6	-	-							
10 or more times	S	S	-	-							
Association with university											
Student	100	0.0	85.9	12.6							
Faculty	14.4	4.3	S	S							
Coach, religious leader, or other non-academic advisor	-	-	-	-							
Other staff or administrator	S	S	S	S							
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	S	S	-	-							
The person was not affiliated with [University]	14.4	4.7	-	-							
Don't know association with [University]	15.2	5.1	-	-							

		TGQN (n	=46)	
Survey Item		graduate =39)	Profe	uate or ssional =7)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Relationship to victim				
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	17.3	7.2	-	-
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	14.5	4.4	-	-
Teacher or advisor	12.2	4.2	S	S
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	S	S	S	S
Friend or acquaintance	92.3	3.7	64.9	20.8
Stranger	58.9	6.8	S	S
Other	11.5	4.6	-	-
Don't Know	S	S	-	-

¹Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

				Female (n:	=1,789)		Male (n=	1,298)			
Survey Item	т	otal	Undergraduate (n=1,306)		Graduate or Professional (n=483)		Undergraduate (n=914)		Profe	uate or ssional 384)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Reporting to Others											
Friend	60.1	0.8	72.7	1.1	74.3	2.3	37.6	1.5	48.6	4.3	
Family member	12.3	0.6	14.5	0.9	24.0	2.3	4.0	0.6	11.9	2.3	
Faculty	3.6	0.3	4.1	0.5	8.4	1.4	0.7	0.3	s	s	
Someone else	6.2	0.4	6.0	0.7	10.3	2.0	4.0	0.9	5.2	1.7	
I didn't tell anyone else	36.2	0.9	24.9	1.1	17.9	2.1	58.9	1.6	44.8	3.9	

				Female (n:	=1,789)		Male (n=1,298)					
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=1,306)		Graduate or Professional (n=483)		Undergraduate (n=914)		Profe	uate or ssional 384)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Reporting to a Program				,	1				1			
Contacted at least one program in university list	10.7	0.6	14.7	0.8	11.4	1.7	5.0	0.8	s	S		
					1				1			
Contacted Sexual Assault Response Line	1.3	0.6	S	s	S	s	-	-	-	-		
Most recent contact					1				1			
Fall of 2014 - present	s	S	-	-	S	s	-	-	-	-		
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	s	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Prior to Fall of 2012	s	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear											
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
A little	s	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Somewhat	s	S	S	s	s	S	-	-	-	-		
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

				Female (n=	=1,789)			Male (n=1,298)			
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=1,306)		Graduate or Professional (n=483)		Undergraduate (n=914)		Profes (n=	iate or ssional 384)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Contacted Coordinator of Sexual Assault Prevention and Advocacy	13.4	2.0	15.1	2.4	12.2	4.9	S	S	-	-	
Most recent contact											
Fall of 2014 - present	42.1	7.8	47.8	10.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	45.8	8.6	39.3	10.2	S	S	S	S	-	-	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	9.0	4.0	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear										
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
A little	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Somewhat	22.2	7.1	19.9	7.9	S	S	S	s	-	-	
Very	34.0	9.2	26.9	8.4	S	S	S	s	-	-	
Extremely	33.8	7.8	39.3	8.6	S	S	-	-	-	-	

				Female (n:	=1,789)		Male (n=1,298)				
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate Total (n=1,306)		Graduate or Professional (n=483)		Undergraduate (n=914)		Profes	iate or ssional 384)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Contacted Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS)	48.1	2.5	53.7	3.7	25.2	7.8	48.9	8.9	S	S	
Most recent contact											
Fall of 2014 - present	64.7	3.9	64.3	4.4	53.8	19.5	72.4	12.0	-	-	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	23.2	3.8	23.4	4.4	-	-	27.6	12.0	S	S	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	7.9	2.1	9.8	2.8	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Prior to Fall of 2012	4.2	1.7	S	s	35.4	19.7	-	-	-	-	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear										
Not at all	8.2	2.8	7.9	3.5	-	-	S	s	-	-	
A little	21.1	3.8	24.1	4.1	S	s	S	s	-	-	
Somewhat	42.3	4.4	37.9	4.7	37.0	18.9	57.9	13.2	S	S	
Very	20.7	3.4	23.2	3.9	S	S	S	s	-	-	
Extremely	7.8	2.1	7.0	2.4	S	S	S	S	-	-	

				Female (n=	=1,789)			1,298)		
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=1,306)		ate or ssional 483)	Undergraduate (n=914)		Profe	uate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Department of Public Safety	15.4	2.0	17.9	3.0	S	S	17.1	6.8	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	65.8	6.2	57.4	7.4	S	S	100	0.0	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	13.5	4.6	18.1	6.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	15.5	4.9	20.8	6.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	Year		1							
Not at all	20.3	5.6	20.0	6.7	S	S	-	-	-	-
A little	25.3	6.8	16.7	6.4	-	-	77.0	21.3	-	-
Somewhat	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	33.9	7.3	45.6	9.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	9.9	4.6	S	s	-	-	S	s	-	-

				Female (n	=1,789)		Male (n=1,298)			
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=1,306)		iate or ssional 483)	Undergraduate (n=914)		Profe	uate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Health Services	28.5	2.8	31.2	3.1	15.6	6.0	31.3	9.2	s	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	56.0	7.8	58.3	6.9	S	s	62.3	20.8	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	25.0	4.4	24.3	5.3	s	S	S	S	S	S
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	12.7	4.9	10.3	4.0	S	S	S	S	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	6.2	2.4	7.1	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	Year		1							
Not at all	15.7	5.5	15.3	5.1	-	-	S	S	-	-
A little	8.6	2.9	12.3	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	29.4	6.4	26.9	6.3	s	S	43.4	19.6	-	-
Very	37.3	5.6	37.5	6.1	-	-	S	s	s	S
Extremely	9.0	3.4	7.9	3.6	s	s	-	-	-	-

				Female (n	=1,789)		Male (n=1,298)				
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate otal (n=1,306)		Graduate or Professional (n=483)		Undergraduate (n=914)		Profe	uate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Contacted Office of Student Life	24.8	2.6	28.9	3.0	19.5	6.3	15.1	6.8	-	-	
Most recent contact											
Fall of 2014 - present	60.7	5.7	64.1	6.2	61.9	21.6	S	S	-	-	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	16.1	4.6	21.8	5.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	8.7	3.6	7.9	3.7	-	-	S	s	-	-	
Prior to Fall of 2012	14.5	3.9	6.3	2.9	S	S	S	s	-	-	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear				1				1		
Not at all	8.0	3.2	7.7	3.6	S	S	-	-	-	-	
A little	20.9	5.2	22.5	6.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Somewhat	25.7	4.8	25.1	5.6	S	S	s	s	-	-	
Very	28.2	5.8	32.8	6.3	S	S	s	s	-	-	
Extremely	17.2	4.5	11.9	4.0	S	S	S	s	-	-	

				Female (n	=1,789)					
Survey Item	Т	otal	Undergraduate (n=1,306)		Graduate or Professional (n=483)		Undergraduate (n=914)		Profe	uate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Providence Police	1.9	0.8	3.0	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact	'									
Fall of 2014 - present	49.9	28.3	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	22.3	21.5	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	27.8	25.3	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 Schoo	Year				1				1	
Not at all	27.8	25.3	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	19.2	17.8	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	53.0	26.5	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

				Female (n:	=1,789)			Male (n=:	=1,298)	
Survey Item	т	otal	Undergraduate (n=1,306)		Graduate or Professional (n=483)		Undergraduate (n=914)		Profe	iate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Title IX officer (graduate school, medical school, Institutional Diversity)	3.1	0.9	S	S	11.2	5.4	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	68.1	17.5	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear									
Not at all	s	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	s	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-

				Female (n:	=1,789)			Male (n=	1,298)	
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=1,306)		iate or ssional 483)	Undergraduate (n=914)		Profe	iate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Other Brown resource not listed above	31.3	2.2	30.3	3.1	39.3	7.3	21.2	6.4	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	71.3	4.2	73.9	5.2	28.4	13.3	100	0.0	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	11.6	3.0	14.2	4.7	S	S	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	10.2	2.7	7.9	3.5	33.9	11.6	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	7.0	2.6	S	S	28.7	11.8	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear				1					
Not at all	12.2	3.0	9.9	4.1	30.1	11.4	-	-	-	-
A little	14.4	3.7	10.4	4.4	39.2	11.6	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	26.2	4.4	26.0	5.6	s	S	s	s	-	-
Very	37.1	5.5	40.5	7.4	s	s	65.9	19.8	-	-
Extremely	10.1	3.1	13.2	4.4	s	S	-	-	-	-

				Female (n:	=1,789)		Male (n=1,298)			
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate Total (n=1,306)		Graduate or Professional (n=483)		Undergraduate (n=914)		Profes	iate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Non-Brown community resource not listed above	13.8	2.1	15.7	3.0	15.7	6.0	S	S	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	68.0	7.1	62.7	8.0	100	0.0	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	15.1	6.3	13.8	6.8	-	-	S	s	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	13.1	5.4	18.1	7.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear				1					
Not at all	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	15.4	5.1	14.4	5.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	33.8	6.7	46.8	9.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	39.8	8.0	23.6	7.0	100	0.0	S	s	-	-

				Female (n	=1,789)			Male (n=	1,298)	
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=1,306)		Graduate or Professional (n=483)		Undergraduate (n=914)		Profe	uate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Did not contact any programs										
Reason										
Did not know where to go or who to tell	5.2	0.5	5.8	0.6	7.9	1.6	3.7	0.7	4.3	1.5
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	3.8	0.4	5.4	0.7	3.3	0.9	2.3	0.5	S	S
I did not think anyone would believe me	1.7	0.2	2.4	0.5	2.1	0.8	0.6	0.3	2.8	1.3
I did not think it was serious enough to report	82.0	0.8	82.1	1.1	82.8	1.6	81.9	1.6	80.9	3.0
l did not want the person to get into trouble	11.2	0.8	11.0	1.1	16.7	2.2	8.3	1.1	14.1	2.6
I feared negative social consequences	9.7	0.5	9.0	0.9	18.3	2.3	7.9	1.0	10.1	2.1
I did not think anything would be done	17.6	0.7	20.1	1.0	27.1	2.5	11.6	1.1	15.5	2.4
I feared it would not be kept confidential	5.0	0.4	4.6	0.6	10.8	1.6	2.9	0.5	7.5	2.0
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	5.1	0.6	5.9	0.9	9.6	1.9	2.2	0.5	7.3	2.1
Incident did not occur while attending school	1.8	0.3	1.5	0.4	2.2	0.9	1.5	0.4	3.3	1.2
Other	13.4	0.6	12.8	0.9	9.9	1.5	14.7	1.4	12.7	2.7

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 5.2a. Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Experiencing Intimate Partner Violence by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1, 2,3}

				Female (n	=1,789)			Male (n=	1,298)	
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=1,306)		uate or ssional 483)		graduate 914)	Profe	uate or ssional :384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Reporting Intimate Partner Violence	7.8	0.4	10.5	0.8	7.2	1.0	6.0	0.8	4.0	0.8
Partner controlled or tried to control	4.7	0.4	6.8	0.7	4.6	0.8	3.4	0.6	1.9	0.6
Partner threatened to harm student, family, or themselves	3.1	0.3	4.0	0.6	2.8	0.6	2.6	0.5	1.6	0.6
Partner used physical force	2.6	0.3	3.4	0.5	1.5	0.4	2.1	0.4	1.5	0.6
Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence										
Number of offenders										
1 person	92.3	1.8	92.9	2.6	96.6	2.7	98.0	1.6	78.5	10.0
2 persons	7.7	1.8	7.1	2.6	S	s	S	S	21.5	10.0
Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term	I						1		1	
0 times	37.7	2.7	33.2	3.8	51.2	8.2	38.9	6.7	38.4	12.5
1 time	24.0	2.4	29.4	3.6	16.9	5.8	23.8	5.7	-	-
2 times	12.4	2.0	13.5	3.1	13.2	4.8	11.5	5.1	S	S
3-5 times	14.2	2.0	12.4	2.5	13.2	5.0	16.1	4.9	S	S
6-9 times	1.8	0.9	3.0	1.4	s	s	-	-	-	-
10 or more times	9.8	1.8	8.4	2.2	s	s	9.7	3.7	30.9	13.2

Table 5.2a. Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Experiencing Intimate Partner Violence by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment (continued)^{1, 2,3}

		TGQN	l (n=46)		
Survey Item		graduate =39)	Graduate or Profess (n=7)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Reporting Intimate Partner Violence	30.3	7.4	S	S	
Partner controlled or tried to control	15.2	5.6	S	S	
Partner threatened to harm student, family, or themselves	12.4	4.7	S	S	
Partner used physical force	19.4	6.8	S	S	
Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence	'				
Number of offenders					
1 person	75.2	21.1	-	-	
2 persons	S	S	S	S	
Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term					
0 times	29.0	14.3	S	S	
1 time	31.2	11.9	-	-	
2 times	-	-	-	-	
3-5 times	S	S	-	-	
6-9 times	-	-	-	-	
10 or more times	S	S	-	-	

¹Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

²Percent of students who reported being in a partnered relationship since entering college (question A13 on questionnaire).

³TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

				Female (n	=1,789)		Male (n=1,298)					
Survey Itom	Survey Item		Undergraduate (n=1,306)		Graduate or Professional (n=483)		Undergraduate (n=914)		Profe	uate or ssional 384)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Reporting to Others												
Friend	71.8	2.8	76.1	3.4	78.2	5.8	57.3	7.0	77.9	9.5		
Family member	26.7	2.4	25.9	3.5	41.0	7.2	19.1	4.6	37.7	13.5		
Faculty	7.1	1.8	7.2	2.0	S	S	6.6	2.9	S	S		
Someone else	10.2	2.0	10.1	2.5	S	S	6.4	2.8	31.1	12.8		
I didn't tell anyone else	22.9	2.3	18.8	3.2	18.5	5.5	38.2	6.1	S	S		

				Female (n:	=1,789)			Male (n=	1,298)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,306)	Profe	uate or ssional 483)	Undergraduate (n=914)		Graduate or Professional (n=384)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to a Program		'		,						
Contacted at least one program in university list	26.4	2.6	23.0	3.4	36.2	7.6	21.8	5.1	36.8	13.3
			1							
Contacted Sexual Assault Response Line	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear		1							
Not at all	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

				Female (n:	=1,789)			Male (n=	1,298)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,306)	Profe	uate or ssional 483)		raduate 914)	Profes	uate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Coordinator of Sexual Assault Prevention and Advocacy	s	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	100	_	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear									
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	35.4	34.1	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	64.6	34.1	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-

				Female (n=	=1,789)			Male (n=	1,298)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,306)	Profes	ate or ssional 483)		raduate 914)	Profe	uate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS)	71.1	5.0	81.7	5.9	54.6	11.9	68.3	12.4	82.8	16.7
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	47.4	6.5	47.2	8.3	41.0	18.4	52.9	20.0	S	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	30.4	6.8	28.6	8.4	-	-	47.1	20.0	S	S
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	12.5	4.5	14.0	6.6	S	s	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	9.6	3.8	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear									
Not at all	19.1	5.2	18.4	5.9	S	s	S	s	-	-
A little	17.5	5.0	15.9	6.5	S	S	S	s	-	-
Somewhat	44.7	6.3	57.5	7.7	S	S	S	s	S	s
Very	9.5	4.5	-	-	S	S	-	-	S	s
Extremely	9.3	3.8	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-

				Female (n:	=1,789)			Male (n=:	1,298)	
Survey Item	Т	otal		raduate ,306)	Profes	iate or ssional 483)		raduate 914)	Profe	uate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Department of Public Safety	11.2	3.7	-	-	-	-	S	S	S	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	61.1	23.1	-	-	-	-	S	S	S	S
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	Year		1			•			1	
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	s	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	S	S
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	s	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	S	s

				Female (n	=1,789)			Male (n=	1,298)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,306)	Profe	uate or ssional 483)		raduate 914)	Profe	uate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Health Services	10.9	3.4	13.3	5.8	s	S	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	S	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	-	-	s	s	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 Scho	ool Year		1							
Not at all	S	S	S	s	s	S	-	-	-	-
A little	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	s	S	-	-	s	S	-	-	-	-
Very	s	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

				Female (n	=1,789)			Male (n=	1,298)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,306)	Profe	iate or ssional 483)		raduate 914)	Profe	uate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Office of Student Life	20.3	5.5	11.8	5.1	S	S	S	S	s	S
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	59.4	13.1	S	S	-	-	S	S	s	S
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	S
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 Scho	ool Year				1					
Not at all	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	s	S
Very	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	45.3	13.0	S	S	S	s	S	S	S	S

				Female (n:	=1,789)			Male (n=:	1,298)	
Survey Item	1	otal		raduate ,306)	Profe	uate or ssional 483)		raduate 914)	Profes	uate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Providence Police	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 Schoo	ol Year	•	1		1				1	
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

				Female (n	=1,789)			Male (n=	1,298)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,306)	Profes	ate or ssional 483)		raduate 914)	Profe	uate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Title IX officer (graduate school, medical school, Institutional Diversity)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear									
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

				Female (n:	=1,789)			Male (n=	1,298)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate .,306)	Profe	uate or ssional 483)		raduate 914)	Profe	uate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Other Brown resource not listed above	9.7	3.6	17.1	6.8	-	-	S	s	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	59.5	23.1	80.3	18.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S	S	s	-	-	S	s	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School \	'ear		1				1			
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	63.0	22.1	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-
Very	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

				Female (n	=1,789)			Male (n=	1,298)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,306)	Profe	iate or ssional 483)		raduate 914)	Profe	uate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Non-Brown community resource not listed above	20.9	4.4	22.6	6.1	34.9	11.5	-	-	S	S
Most recent contact						·				
Fall of 2014 - present	44.8	10.5	48.0	19.2	S	s	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	23.0	11.0	S	s	S	s	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	'ear				1					
Not at all	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	S
A little	s	S	S	s	S	S	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	33.6	10.0	53.5	19.2	S	S	-	-	-	-
Very	28.7	12.3	S	s	S	S	-	-	-	-
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

				Female (n	=1,789)			Male (n=	1,298)	
Survey Item	т	otal		graduate 1,306)	Profe	uate or ssional 483)	Undergraduate (n=914)		Profe	uate or essional =384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Did not contact any programs									'	
Reason										
Did not know where to go or who to tell	8.0	1.6	10.2	2.5	S	S	S	S	S	S
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	19.5	2.9	26.7	4.7	16.9	7.6	10.0	4.5	-	-
I did not think anyone would believe me	5.6	1.7	7.6	2.8	S	S	-	-	S	S
I did not think it was serious enough to report	67.6	3.6	69.5	4.8	47.9	9.4	68.7	6.6	76.3	13.1
I did not want the person to get into trouble	36.2	3.4	42.0	4.7	20.8	8.3	34.1	7.7	S	S
I feared negative social consequences	14.2	2.8	11.7	2.8	27.9	9.4	13.4	5.5	S	S
I did not think anything would be done	20.6	3.3	22.2	4.8	27.4	9.4	11.3	5.1	S	S
I feared it would not be kept confidential	12.0	2.7	13.0	3.4	18.1	8.4	S	S	S	S
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	19.7	2.8	21.1	4.0	32.5	9.8	7.9	3.5	35.5	15.8
Incident did not occur while attending school	6.8	1.9	7.9	2.8	S	S	S	S	S	S
Other	17.1	3.0	16.2	3.8	27.6	8.0	12.5	4.8	S	S

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Statusand Characteristics of Stalking^{1,2}

				Female (n	=1,789)			Male (n=	1,298)	
Survey Item	т	otal		graduate 1,306)	Profe	uate or ssional 483)		raduate 914)	Profe	uate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students Reporting Stalking	4.2	0.3	6.3	0.6	4.5	0.7	2.4	0.4	1.5	0.4
Unwanted calls, emails, messages, pictures, video on social networking that caused fear for personal safety	2.1	0.2	3.3	0.5	2.5	0.6	0.8	0.2	0.7	0.3
Showed up somewhere or waited for student in manner that caused fear for personal safety	1.8	0.2	3.0	0.4	2.3	0.5	0.9	0.3	0.7	0.3
Spied on, watched, or followed in manner that caused fear for personal safety	1.1	0.2	1.8	0.3	0.9	0.3	0.8	0.2	0.8	0.4
Percent of Victims of Stalking		:		i				1		i
Number of incidents since beginning	of 201	4 term								
0 times	31.6	3.1	23.2	3.7	61.7	7.1	43.0	10.0	-	-
1 time	12.0	2.1	13.8	2.9	s	s	S	S	s	s
2 times	19.4	2.7	23.4	3.7	s	S	29.1	8.3	-	-
3-5 times	25.1	2.8	26.7	3.9	14.7	5.3	18.8	6.5	52.5	19.6
6-9 times	5.0	1.5	6.4	2.2	s	s	-	-	-	-
10 or more times	7.0	1.7	6.6	2.2	s	s	S	s	s	s
Association with university							1			
Student	70.6	3.2	80.8	3.5	48.3	9.2	59.7	9.1	58.6	22.8
Faculty	S	S	-	-	s	s	-	-	-	-
Other staff or administrator	2.2	1.0	-	-	s	s	-	-	S	s
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	3.4	1.5	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-
The person was not affiliated with university	5.6	1.6	4.8	1.8	S	S	S	S	-	-
Don't know association with university	26.6	3.4	24.5	3.8	40.8	9.8	16.7	8.3	S	S

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Stalking^{1,2} (continued)

				Female (n	=1,789)			Male (n=	1,298)	
Survey Item	Т	Ur Total		Undergraduate (n=1,306)		Graduate or Professional (n=483)		Undergraduate (n=914)		iate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Relationship to victim										
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	11.4	2.4	12.2	2.8	S	S	S	S	-	-
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	18.4	2.8	20.9	3.6	28.8	10.6	S	S	-	-
Teacher or advisor	s	s	-	-	S	s	-	-	-	-
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	3.6	1.4	-	-	17.1	7.4	-	-	S	s
Friend or acquaintance	48.8	2.9	53.7	4.1	38.5	8.8	37.2	8.6	57.0	22.4
Stranger	27.1	3.2	28.3	4.0	18.3	6.9	33.7	10.5	S	S
Other	8.6	2.1	8.7	2.6	-	-	15.5	6.7	-	-
Don't Know	2.1	1.0	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Statusand Characteristics of Stalking^{1,2} (continued)

		TGQN (n=46)	
Survey Item		raduate 39)	Profe	uate or ssional =7)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students Reporting Stalking	13.0	4.6	S	s
Unwanted calls, emails, messages, pictures, video on social networking that caused fear for personal safety	11.1	4.4	S	s
Showed up somewhere or waited for student in manner that caused fear for personal safety	s	s	-	-
Spied on, watched, or followed in manner that caused fear for personal safety	-	-	-	-
Percent of Victims of Stalking				
Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term				
0 times	S	s	S	s
1 time	s	s	S	s
2 times	-	-	-	-
3-5 times	S	s	-	-
6-9 times	s	S	-	-
10 or more times	-	-	-	-
Association with university				
Student	83.3	15.8	-	-
Faculty	-	-	-	-
Other staff or administrator	-	-	-	-
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	-	-	-	-
The person was not affiliated with university	-	-	-	-
Don't know association with university	s	s	s	s

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Stalking^{1,2} (continued)

		TGQN (n	=46)	
Survey Item		raduate 39)	Profe	uate or ssional =7)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Relationship to victim				
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	S	s	-	-
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	S	S	-	-
Teacher or advisor	-	-	-	-
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	-	-	-	-
Friend or acquaintance	69.3	20.3	-	-
Stranger	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	S	S
Don't Know	-	-	-	-

¹Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

				Female (n	=1,789)		Male (n=1,298)					
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=1,306)		Graduate or Professional (n=483)		Undergraduate (n=914)		uate or ssional 384)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Reporting to Others												
Friend	87.7	2.2	92.7	2.5	94.1	4.8	74.9	9.0	s	s		
Family member	41.5	3.6	48.8	4.8	60.5	9.3	S	s	S	s		
Faculty	11.8	2.3	13.2	3.2	19.6	7.3	S	s	-	-		
Someone else	16.2	2.3	13.7	2.8	24.9	8.0	18.6	7.7	S	s		
I didn't tell anyone else	8.6	2.1	4.5	2.1	S	s	17.7	8.4	S	s		

				Female (n	=1,789)		Male (n=1,298)				
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,306)	Graduate or Professional (n=483)		Undergraduate (n=914)		Graduate or Professional (n=384)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Reporting to a Program		'							1		
Contacted at least one program in university list	38.1	3.6	37.5	4.9	45.3	11.1	37.4	10.7	-	-	
					1				1		
Contacted Sexual Assault Response Line	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Most recent contact					1				1		
Fall of 2014 - present	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	'ear							1		,	
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

				Female (n=	=1,789)			Male (n=	1,298)	
Survey Item	т	Total		raduate ,306)	Profes	iate or ssional 483)	Undergraduate (n=914)		Profes	iate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Coordinator of Sexual Assault Prevention and Advocacy	13.1	3.9	15.8	5.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	86.7	11.8	80.4	17.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	13.3	11.8	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear								1	I
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	13.3	11.8	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	62.5	17.5	61.1	21.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	24.2	14.3	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-

				Female (n:	=1,789)			Male (n=	1,298)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,306)	Graduate or Professional (n=483)		Undergraduate (n=914)		Profes	iate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS)	42.6	5.7	44.0	7.7	45.2	13.3	45.9	15.7	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	68.9	8.1	77.2	10.6	s	s	100	0.0	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	16.1	5.8	S	s	S	S	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	'ear								1	
Not at all	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	s	-	-
A little	17.2	6.5	S	S	s	S	S	S	-	-
Somewhat	38.2	8.6	37.4	10.1	s	S	S	s	-	-
Very	23.5	7.0	40.1	10.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	14.3	6.9	S	s	s	s	-	-	-	-

				Female (n=	=1,789)			Male (n=:	L,298)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,306)	Graduate or Professional (n=483)		Undergraduate (n=914)		Profes	iate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Department of Public Safety	20.3	4.5	23.5	5.8	S	s	S	S	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	65.8	14.4	100	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	s	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear			1		ı		1		,
Not at all	22.6	10.3	S	s	S	S	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	37.0	14.4	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
Very	40.3	13.9	43.0	17.4	S	S	-	-	-	-
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

				Female (n	=1,789)			Male (n=:	1,298)	
Survey Item	т	otal		Undergraduate (n=1,306)		iate or ssional 483)	Undergraduate (n=914)		Profe	uate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Health Services	7.4	2.8	13.0	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact	<u> </u>									
Fall of 2014 - present	100	_	100	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 Scho	ool Year		1							
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	23.0	21.0	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	50.9	26.9	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	26.0	23.8	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-

				Female (n	=1,789)		Male (n=1,298)			
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,306)	Graduate or Professional (n=483)		Undergraduate (n=914)		Profes	ate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Office of Student Life	48.5	5.3	53.0	7.6	38.7	15.6	41.1	14.9	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	57.9	8.9	66.4	11.2	-	-	S	s	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	s	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	23.8	7.0	S	S	S	s	S	s	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	'ear				1				1	
Not at all	15.1	5.7	16.8	7.2	S	S	-	-	-	-
A little	s	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	23.5	8.0	28.7	10.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	39.4	8.5	42.0	9.9	S	S	S	s	-	-
Extremely	19.0	7.4	S	s	s	S	S	s	-	-

				Female (n:	=1,789)			Male (n=:	1,298)	
Survey Item	1	otal		Undergraduate (n=1,306)		Graduate or Professional (n=483)		raduate 914)	Profes	uate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Providence Police	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact			<u></u>							
Fall of 2014 - present	100	_	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	Year		1			-				
Not at all	100	_	-	-	S	s	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

				Female (n=	=1,789)		Male (n=1,298)			
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,306)	Graduate or Professional (n=483)		Undergraduate (n=914)		Profes	iate or ssional 384)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Title IX officer (graduate school, medical school, Institutional Diversity)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear									
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

		Female (n=1,789)						Male (n=1,298)					
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=1,306)		Graduate or Professional (n=483)		Undergraduate (n=914)		Graduate or Professional (n=384)				
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr			
Contacted Other Brown resource not listed above	30.1	4.9	33.2	7.0	-	-	43.1	15.3	-	-			
Most recent contact			·										
Fall of 2014 - present	84.5	7.0	75.3	10.9	-	-	100	0.0	-	-			
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	15.5	7.0	24.7	10.9	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	'ear				1				1	I			
Not at all	23.2	8.7	36.9	12.7	-	-	-	-	-	-			
A little	s	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Somewhat	S	S	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Very	29.9	9.7	35.1	12.5	-	-	S	s	-	-			
Extremely	28.4	10.2	S	S	-	-	S	s	-	-			

				Female (n	=1,789)		Male (n=1,298)				
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=1,306)		Graduate or Professional (n=483)		Undergraduate (n=914)		Profe	iate or ssional 384)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Contacted Non-Brown community resource not listed above	15.8	4.3	10.8	5.0	54.8	13.3	-	-	-	-	
Most recent contact			<u></u>								
Fall of 2014 - present	47.4	17.0	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	s	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	s	S	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear				1				1	1	
Not at all	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-	
A little	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Very	52.0	16.9	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Extremely	s	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-	

				Female (n	=1,789)		Male (n=1,298)				
<i>Survey Item</i> Response	Total		Undergraduate (n=1,306)		Graduate or Professional (n=483)		Undergraduate (n=914)		Graduate or Professional (n=384)		
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Did not contact any programs											
Reason											
Did not know where to go or who to tell	18.4	3.9	17.1	4.8	S	S	S	S	S	s	
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	14.6	3.1	14.5	4.1	S	S	S	S	S	S	
I did not think anyone would believe me	15.6	3.1	20.5	4.3	S	S	S	S	S	s	
l did not think it was serious enough to report	65.9	4.9	62.0	6.3	68.7	11.1	84.1	9.3	58.7	22.3	
I did not want the person to get into trouble	22.4	4.5	13.2	3.9	24.3	11.6	49.2	15.9	S	S	
I feared negative social consequences	18.0	3.0	20.1	4.4	S	s	S	s	S	s	
I did not think anything would be done	45.6	4.6	42.8	5.4	22.4	9.5	66.6	11.4	60.6	21.7	
I feared it would not be kept confidential	10.7	2.5	9.7	3.0	S	s	S	s	-	-	
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	16.4	3.7	7.5	3.5	42.7	12.5	21.7	10.7	S	S	
Incident did not occur while attending school	S	S	S	S	-	-	S	s	-	-	
Other	12.4	3.1	11.7	3.4	S	S	-	-	S	s	

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 5.4. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment, Intimate Partner Violence or Stalking by Type of Incident, Gender and Enrollment Status of Victim^{1, 2}

				Female (1,789)		Male (1,298)				
	Total		Undergraduate (1,306)		Graduate or Professional (483)		Undergraduate (914)		Graduate or Professional (384)		
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Harassment											
Sexual orientation											
Heterosexual	52.1	0.8	68.1	1.3	44.8	2.1	48.9	1.6	27.7	1.9	
Non-Heterosexual	71.9	1.6	82.1	2.2	73.4	4.5	66.4	3.3	46.4	4.8	
Ethnicity											
Hispanic	57.7	2.2	73.7	2.4	46.6	8.6	48.7	4.9	36.9	7.5	
Not Hispanic	55.5	0.7	70.6	1.1	48.2	2.1	52.5	1.4	30.0	1.7	
Race						1					
American Indian or Alaska Native	62.4	5.5	78.3	6.0	-	-	52.2	13.1	-	-	
Asian	48.2	1.3	65.0	2.1	38.6	3.3	45.3	2.9	20.4	2.7	
Black or African American	69.5	2.2	79.6	2.4	62.9	7.1	58.8	4.4	56.5	15.1	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	62.2	8.6	72.2	11.1	-	-	57.8	14.4	-	-	
White	58.1	0.7	73.3	1.2	53.0	2.2	52.3	1.7	35.9	2.4	
Intimate partner violence Sexual orientation			·								
Heterosexual	7.0	0.4	9.4	0.9	5.3	0.9	6.1	0.9	4.6	1.0	
Non-Heterosexual	11.1	1.2	15.2	2.2	18.1	5.1	5.2	1.6	-	-	
Ethnicity											
Hispanic	10.7	1.5	18.7	3.0	s	S	5.3	2.0	s	s	
Not Hispanic	7.5	0.5	9.4	0.8	7.5	1.0	6.1	0.8	3.9	0.9	
Race											
American Indian or Alaska Native	13.2	4.0	20.2	6.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Asian	7.4	0.9	9.7	1.5	5.3	1.9	7.2	1.8	s	s	
Black or African American	7.1	1.5	8.2	2.0	11.5	5.4	S	s	-	-	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	
White	7.8	0.5	10.3	0.7	8.2	1.2	5.6	1.0	4.7	1.0	

Table 5.4. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment, Intimate Partner Violence orStalking by Type of Incident, Gender and Enrollment Status of Victim^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (1,789)		Male (1,298)					
		otal	Undergraduate (1,306)		Graduate or Professional (483)		Undergraduate (914)		Profe	uate or ssional 84)		
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Stalking												
Sexual orientation												
Heterosexual	3.6	0.3	5.8	0.7	3.6	0.7	2.2	0.5	1.3	0.5		
Non-Heterosexual	6.3	0.8	8.4	1.8	7.2	2.8	3.1	1.1	s	s		
Ethnicity	I				1							
Hispanic	6.0	1.1	11.5	2.2	s	S	S	S	-	-		
Not Hispanic	3.9	0.3	5.6	0.6	4.0	0.7	2.6	0.5	1.7	0.5		
Race	I				1					-		
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	S	S	S	_	-	-	-	-	-		
Asian	2.7	0.5	3.6	0.8	2.6	1.2	2.3	0.8	s	S		
Black or African American	6.1	1.1	7.7	1.7	s	S	S	s	-	-		
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	s	S	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-		
White	4.5	0.4	7.1	0.7	5.6	1.0	2.2	0.5	1.0	0.5		

¹Per 100 students.

²Estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.