Self-Confirming Racial Stereotypes in

A Simple Investment Hiring Game

(1) Players

- One employer decides whether to hire
- Many workers decides whether to invest
- (2) Assume employer cannot observe workers investment, but can see "test" which is correlated with worker investment
- (3) Investment costly to worker; getting hired always benefits to workers, but only benefits employer if worker has invested.

Thus,

Payoff matrix

		Employer	
		A=0	A=1
Worker	I=0	0,0	1,-2
	I=1	-c,0	1-c,1

c is distributed as uniform [0,1]

Payoff=(worker, employer)

These numbers are chosen for convenience

When will the worker invest?

• Let q₁ ∈ (0,1) be the probability of getting hired in worker's mind, if he invests.

q₀= probability hired if not invest.
Then :
q₁*1-c= expected net benefit if I=1
q₀*1-0 = expected net benefit if I=0

 \Rightarrow I=1 if and only if (q₁-q₀) \ge c

When will the employer hire ?

- Let s∈(0,1) be the probability that worker has invested, in employer's mind.
- Then

0= benefit to employer if A=0 s*1+(1-s)*(-2) = expected benefit if A=1

so,

A=1 if and only if

 $s \ge 2/3$

What do workers and employers believe?

• Suppose test has 3 outcomes: Pass, fail, unclear

If worker passes, then employer knows I=1

If worker fails, then employer knows I=0

• Suppose

```
Probability [unclear/I=0]=1/3
```

Probability [unclear/I=1]=2/3

(numbers chosen for convenience)

• Let the employer think the fraction $\Pi \in (0,1)$ of worker population has invested.

then

 $\Pi^{*}(2/3)$

s =

 $\Pi^{*}(2/3) + (1-\Pi)^{*}(1/3)$

, if test unclear.

s=0, if fail

s=1, if pass

• For worker, beliefs depend on whether employer gives benefit of the doubt.

If he does:

 $q_1 = 1$ and $q_0 = 1/3$

If he does not:

 $q_1 = 1/3$ and $q_0 = 0$

Game Tree



- (1) I=1 \Rightarrow worker invests
- $=0 \Rightarrow$ worker does not invest.
- c= cost to worker of investing
- (2)Test has 3 possible outcomes: pass, fail, unclear

No investor can fail; no non-investor can pass.

p(0) = Probability [unclear/I=0] ;p(1)= Probability [unclear/I=1]

- (3) A=1 \Rightarrow employer hires
- $A=0 \Rightarrow$ employer does not hire

<u>Equilibrium</u>

Seek Π^* a fraction of workers investing such that if employers believe this is the fraction investing, then they will act in such a way that exactly this fraction of the workers find it desirable to invest

Notice that if workers expect benefit of doubt, then

 $q_1 - q_0 = 2/3$

so $\Pi_{\rm H} = 2/3$ will invest.

If they do not expect benefit of doubt , then

 $q_1-q_0 = 1/3$

so $\Pi_L = 1/3$ will invest.

Notice: Employer gives benefit of doubt if and only if :

 $(2*\Pi)/(1+\Pi) \ge 2/3 \Leftrightarrow \Pi \ge \frac{1}{2}$

<u>Thus:</u>

Main Result:

Both: $\Pi^* = \Pi_L = 1/3$, and

 $\Pi^* = \Pi_{\rm H} = 2/3$

are self-confirming equilibrium beliefs.

Implications:

(1) This is a theory of stereotypes – or, of rational statistical discrimination

(2) The equilibrium $\Pi^* = \Pi_L$ is less efficient then the equilibrium $\Pi^* = \Pi_H$.

- (3) Group identity permits the existence of different stereotypes simultaneously.
- (4) In $\Pi^* = \Pi_L$ equilibrium only 1/9 of workers get hired. In $\Pi^* = \Pi_H$ equilibrum , fully 7/9 of workers get hired.