

EN221 - Fall2008 - HW # 4 Solutions

Prof. Vivek Shenoy

1). From Nanson's formula deduce that

$$(ds/dS)^2 = J^2 \mathbf{N} \cdot (\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{N})$$

For the simple shear deformation

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I} + \gamma e_1 \otimes e_2$$

Show that the inverse deformation is given by

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{I} - \gamma e_2 \otimes e_1$$

Hence find $\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B}$, and show that the maximum value of $(ds/dS)^2$ is

$$1 + \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2 + \frac{1}{2}\gamma(4 + \gamma^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and corresponds to N_3 and $N_1^2 - N_2^2 = -2\gamma N_1 N_2$. what is the minimum value of $(ds/dS)^2$?

Soln.

$$\begin{aligned} d\bar{s} &= J B d\bar{S} \\ \mathbf{n} ds &= J \mathbf{B} \mathbf{N} dS \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

on taking dot product with same

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n} (ds)^2 &= J^2 \mathbf{B} \mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{B} \mathbf{N} (dS)^2 \\ \left(\frac{ds}{dS} \right)^2 &= J^2 \mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{N} \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

consider the simple shear deformation

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I} + \gamma e_1 \otimes e_2 \tag{3}$$

$$J = \det \mathbf{A} = 1 \tag{4}$$

The inverse of the deformation gradient (using mathematica)

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \mathbf{I} - \gamma e_1 \otimes e_2 \tag{5}$$

Therefore

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}^{-\mathbf{T}} = \mathbf{I} - \gamma e_2 \otimes e_1 \quad (6)$$

$$\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \gamma^2 & -\gamma & 0 \\ -\gamma & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (7)$$

The eigenvalues of $\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B}$ are

$\lambda_1 = 1, \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}(2 + \gamma^2 - \gamma\sqrt{4 + \gamma^2}), \quad \lambda_3 = \frac{1}{2}(2 + \gamma^2 + \gamma\sqrt{4 + \gamma^2})$ the corresponding eigen-vectors

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right\}, \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2}(-\gamma + \sqrt{4 + \gamma^2}) \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right\}, \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2}(-\gamma - \sqrt{4 + \gamma^2}) \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right\} \quad (8)$$

writing N in eigen basis and $B^T B$ in eigen basis

$$\begin{aligned} N \cdot \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B} N &= (N'_i p_i) \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^3 \lambda_j p_j \otimes p_j \right) (N'_k p_k) \\ &= (N'_i p_i) \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^3 \lambda_j N'_j p_j \right) \\ &= \lambda_1 N'_1 + \lambda_2 N'_2 + \lambda_3 N'_3 \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

also; $N'_1 + N'_2 + N'_3 = 1$

now since $\lambda_i > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{ds}{dS} \right)^2 &= \lambda_1 N'_1 + \lambda_2 N'_2 + \lambda_3 N'_3 \geq \lambda_{min}(N'_1 + N'_2 + N'_3) \\ &= \lambda_{min} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(2 + \gamma^2 - \gamma\sqrt{4 + \gamma^2}) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{ds}{dS} \right)^2 &= \lambda_1 N'_1 + \lambda_2 N'_2 + \lambda_3 N'_3 \leq \lambda_{max}(N'_1 + N'_2 + N'_3) \\ &= \lambda_{max} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(2 + \gamma^2 + \gamma\sqrt{4 + \gamma^2}) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The maximum occurs

when $N'_1 = N'_2 = 0$ and $N'_3 = 1$

implies

$$\mathbf{N} = \frac{p_3}{|p_3|} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} N_1 \\ N_2 \\ N_3 \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{|p_3|} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2}(-\gamma - \sqrt{4 + \gamma^2}) \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right\} \quad (12)$$

where $|p_3| = \frac{\sqrt{4+(\gamma+\sqrt{4+\gamma^2})^2}}{2}$
and

$$\begin{aligned}
N_1^2 - N_2^2 &= \frac{1}{|p_3|^2} \left[\frac{1}{4}(-\gamma - \sqrt{4 + \gamma^2})^2 - 1 \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{|p_3|^2} \left[\frac{1}{4}(2\gamma^2 + 4 - 2\gamma\sqrt{4 + \gamma^2})^2 - 1 \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{|p_3|^2} \left[\frac{1}{2}\gamma(\gamma + \sqrt{4 + \gamma^2}) \right] \\
&= -\gamma \frac{1}{|p_3|} \frac{-(\gamma + \sqrt{4 + \gamma^2})}{|p_3|} \\
&= -\gamma N_1 N_2
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

2.) A body contains two families of inextensible line elements (or reinforcing fibers) aligned along the directions

$$\mathbf{M} = \cos \phi e_1 + \sin \phi e_2, \quad \mathbf{M}' = \cos \phi e_1 - \sin \phi e_2$$

($0 \leq \phi \leq \pi/2$) in the reference configuration, where the unit vectors e_1, e_2, e_3 form the fixed orthonormal basis.

If the body is subjected to the pure strain

$$\mathbf{A} = \lambda^{-1/2} \mu e_1 \otimes e_1 + \lambda^{-1/2} \mu^{-1} e_2 \otimes e_2 + \lambda e_3 \otimes e_3$$

show that the in-extensibility constraints lead to

$$\mu^2 = \{\lambda \pm (\lambda^2 - \sin^2 2\phi)^{1/2}\} 2 \cos^2 \phi.$$

Prove that

- (a) if $\phi = \frac{1}{4}\pi$ then no contraction along e_3 is possible
- (b) if $\lambda > \sin 2\phi$ then two deformed configurations are possible provided $\lambda \neq 1$,
- (c) the maximum contraction in the e_3 direction is achieved when $\mu^2 = \tan \phi$ which correspond to the two families of fibers being mutually orthogonal in the current configuration.

Soln.

$$\mathbf{M} = \cos \phi e_1 + \sin \phi e_2 \tag{14}$$

$$\mathbf{M}' = \cos \phi e_1 - \sin \phi e_2 \tag{15}$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \lambda^{-1/2} \mu e_1 \otimes e_1 + \lambda^{-1/2} \mu^{-1} e_2 \otimes e_2 + \lambda e_3 \otimes e_3 \tag{16}$$

Stretch along vector \mathbf{M} is

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_M &= \sqrt{\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{M}} \\ &= \left[(\lambda^{-1/2} \mu \cos \phi e_1 + \lambda^{-1/2} \mu^{-1} \sin \phi e_2) \cdot (\lambda^{-1/2} \mu \cos \phi e_1 + \lambda^{-1/2} \mu^{-1} \sin \phi e_2) \right]^{1/2} \\ &= \left[\lambda^{-1} \mu^2 \cos^2 \phi + \frac{\lambda^{-1}}{\mu^2} \sin^2 \phi \right]^{1/2} \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

similarly,

$$\lambda_{M'} = \left[\lambda^{-1} \mu^2 \cos^2 \phi + \frac{\lambda^{-1}}{\mu^2} \sin^2 \phi \right]^{1/2} \tag{18}$$

The condition of in-extensibility

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_M &= 1 \\ \left[\lambda^{-1} \mu^2 \cos^2 \phi + \frac{\lambda^{-1}}{\mu^2} \sin^2 \phi \right]^{1/2} &= 1 \\ \lambda^{-1} \mu^2 \cos^2 \phi + \frac{\lambda^{-1}}{\mu^2} \sin^2 \phi &= 1 \\ \mu^4 \cos^2 \phi + \sin^2 \phi - \lambda \mu^2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

solving the quadratic equation in μ^2

$$\mu^2 = \frac{\lambda \pm \sqrt{\lambda^2 - \sin^2(2\phi)}}{2 \cos^2 \phi} \quad (19)$$

(a) if $\phi = \pi/4$

$$\mu^2 = \lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1} \quad (20)$$

extension along e_3 is λ

For μ^2 to have a real solution

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^2 - 1 &\geq 0 \\ \Rightarrow \lambda^2 &\geq 1 \\ \Rightarrow \lambda &\geq 1 \quad (\lambda \geq 0) \\ \text{for contraction } \lambda &< 1 \\ \Rightarrow \text{no contraction along } e_3 \text{ possible} \end{aligned}$$

(b) If $\lambda > \sin 2\phi$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^2 - \sin 2\phi &> 0 \\ \Rightarrow \mu^2 &= \frac{\lambda \pm \sqrt{\lambda^2 - \sin^2(2\phi)}}{2 \cos^2 \phi} \end{aligned}$$

has two solutions and

If $\lambda \neq 1$ then there is some deformation along e_3 direction, and two different values of μ ensures two different deformations

If $\lambda = 1$

$$\mu^2 = \frac{\lambda \pm \sqrt{\lambda^2 - \sin^2(2\phi)}}{2 \cos^2 \phi} = \frac{1 \pm \cos 2\phi}{2 \cos^2 \phi} = \begin{cases} \tan \phi \\ 1 \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

if $\mu = 1$ and $\lambda = 1$, no deformation Thus, only one type of deformation is possible.

$$\lambda - \sin^2 2\phi \geq 0, \text{ for real solutions to exist} \quad (22)$$

(c) λ is lowest for highest contraction
when

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^2 &= \sin^2 2\phi \\ \lambda &= \pm \sin 2\phi \\ \text{so; } \mu^2 &= \pm \frac{\sin 2\phi}{2 \cos^2 \phi} = \pm \tan \phi \\ \text{since } \mu^2 &> 0 \quad \mu^2 = \tan \phi \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

now; angle between \mathbf{M} and \mathbf{M}' in current configuration is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{m}' &= \mathbf{A} \mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{A} \mathbf{M}' \\
 &= \lambda^{-1} \mu^2 \cos^2 \phi - \frac{\lambda^{-1}}{\mu^2} \sin^2 \phi \\
 &= \lambda^{-1} \left(\frac{\sin \phi}{\cos \phi} \cos^2 \phi - \frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \phi} \sin^2 \phi \right) \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

3). A body contains two families of fibers whose orientations \mathbf{L} and \mathbf{M} are preserved during deformation, i.e. there are positive scalars λ and μ such that

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{L} = \lambda\mathbf{L}, \quad \mathbf{A}\mathbf{M} = \mu\mathbf{M}$$

In the reference configuration a line element is parallel to $\mathbf{L} + \alpha\mathbf{M}$. Show that its length increases in the ratio

$$[\lambda^2 + 2\lambda\mu\alpha(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{M}) + \mu^2\alpha^2]^{1/2} [1 + 2\alpha(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{M}) + \alpha^2]^{1/2}$$

and that this value is stationary provided α satisfies

$$\lambda(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{M}) + (\lambda + \mu)\alpha + \mu\alpha^2(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{M})$$

$$(\lambda \neq \mu)$$

If the two Solutions of this equation are denoted by α_1 and α_2 show that $\mathbf{L} + \alpha_1\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{L} + \alpha_2\mathbf{M}$ respectively have the orientations $\alpha_2\mathbf{L} + \mathbf{M}$ and $\alpha_1\mathbf{L} + \mathbf{M}$ in the current configuration. Deduce that the angles of rotation of line elements along $\mathbf{L} + \alpha_1\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{L} + \alpha_2\mathbf{M}$ in the reference configurations are equal.

Soln.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}\mathbf{L} &= \lambda\mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{M} &= \mu\mathbf{M} \\ \mathbf{v} &= \frac{\mathbf{L} + \alpha\mathbf{M}}{[(\mathbf{L} + \alpha\mathbf{M}) \cdot (\mathbf{L} + \alpha\mathbf{M})]^{1/2}} \\ &= \frac{\mathbf{L} + \alpha\mathbf{M}}{1 + \alpha^2 + 2\alpha(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{M})} \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

the stretch along v is

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_v &= \sqrt{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{L} + \alpha\mathbf{M}) \cdot \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{L} + \alpha\mathbf{M})}}{[1 + \alpha^2 + 2\alpha(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{M})]^{1/2}} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{(\lambda\mathbf{L} + \alpha\mu\mathbf{M}) \cdot (\lambda\mathbf{L} + \alpha\mu\mathbf{M})}}{[1 + \alpha^2 + 2\alpha(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{M})]^{1/2}} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{[\lambda^2 + \mu^2 + 2\alpha\lambda\mu\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{M}]}}{[1 + \alpha^2 + 2\alpha(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{M})]^{1/2}} \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

the stationary value for λ_v implies $\frac{d\lambda_v}{d\alpha} = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\lambda_v}{d\alpha} &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{2(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{M})\lambda\mu + 2\alpha\mu^2}{2\sqrt{[\lambda^2 + \mu^2 + 2\alpha\lambda\mu\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{M}]}\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2 + 2\alpha(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{M})}} - \frac{(2(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{M}) + 2\alpha)\sqrt{\lambda^2 + \mu^2 + 2\alpha\lambda\mu\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{M}}}{2[1 + \alpha^2 + 2\alpha(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{M})]^{3/2}} &= 0 \\ -2(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{M})\lambda^2 - 2\alpha\lambda^2 + 2(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{M})\lambda\mu - 2(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{M})\alpha^2\lambda\mu + 2\alpha\mu^2 + 2(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{M})\alpha^2\mu^2 &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

if $\mu \neq \lambda$ the equation reduces to

$$\lambda(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{M}) + (\lambda + \mu)\alpha + \mu\alpha^2(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{M}) = 0 \quad (28)$$

the two solutions are given by α_1 and α_2
but from the quadratic equation for α

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_1\alpha_2 &= \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \\ \alpha_2 &= \frac{\lambda}{\alpha_1\mu} \\ \alpha_1 &= \frac{\lambda}{\alpha_2\mu}\end{aligned}$$

$\mathbf{L} + \alpha_1\mathbf{M}$ gets mapped to

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{L} + \alpha_1\mathbf{M}) = \lambda\mathbf{L} + \alpha_1\mu\mathbf{M} = \lambda\mathbf{L} + \frac{\lambda}{\alpha_2\mu}\mu\mathbf{M} = \frac{\lambda}{\alpha_2}(\alpha_2\mathbf{L} + \mathbf{M}) \quad (29)$$

$\mathbf{L} + \alpha_1\mathbf{M}$ gets mapped along $\alpha_2\mathbf{L} + \mathbf{M}$

and $\mathbf{L} + \alpha_2\mathbf{M}$ gets mapped to

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{L} + \alpha_2\mathbf{M}) = \lambda\mathbf{L} + \alpha_2\mu\mathbf{M} = \lambda\mathbf{L} + \frac{\lambda}{\alpha_1\mu}\mu\mathbf{M} = \frac{\lambda}{\alpha_1}(\alpha_1\mathbf{L} + \mathbf{M}) \quad (30)$$

$\mathbf{L} + \alpha_2\mathbf{M}$ gets mapped along $\alpha_1\mathbf{L} + \mathbf{M}$