



On the QCD Effective Locality property

11th Workshop on non-perturbative QCD, Paris, 6-10 June
2011

B. CANDELPERGER, T. GRANDOU

Laboratoire de Mathématiques Jacques Alexandre Dieudonné, UMR- CNRS 6621. Institut Non Linéaire de Nice Sophia Antipolis, UMR- CNRS 6618



QCD generating functional

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}[j, \eta, \bar{\eta}] &= e^{\frac{i}{2} \int j \mathbf{D}_c(\zeta) j} \mathcal{N} \int d[\chi] e^{\frac{i}{4} \int \chi^2} e^{\mathfrak{D}_A} e^{\frac{i}{2} \int \chi \mathbf{F} + \frac{i}{2} \int A \mathbf{D}_c^{-1}(\zeta) A} \\ &\quad \times e^{i \int \bar{\eta} \mathbf{G}_c[A] \eta} e^{\mathbf{L}[A]} \Big|_{A=\int \mathbf{D}_c(\zeta) j} \end{aligned}$$

whith '*Linkage Operator*'

$$\mathfrak{D}_A = -\frac{i}{2} \int \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu^a} \mathbf{D}_c^{(\zeta)} \Big|_{\mu\nu}^{ab} \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\nu^b}$$

and

$$\mathbf{D}_c^{(\zeta)} \Big|_{\mu\nu}^{ab} = \delta^{ab} (-\partial^2)^{-1} \left[g_{\mu\nu} - (1 - \zeta) \frac{\partial_\mu \partial_\nu}{\partial^2} \right]$$

In order to display Effective Locality ..

.. Schwinger-Fradkin's representations are used

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p | \mathbf{G}_c[A] | y \rangle &= e^{-ip \cdot y} i \int_0^\infty ds e^{-ism^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} Tr \ln(2h)} \\ &\times \int d[u] \{ m - i\gamma \cdot [p - gA(y - u(s))] \} e^{\frac{i}{4} \int_0^s ds' [u'(s')]^2} e^{ip \cdot u(s)} \\ &\times \boxed{\left(e^{g \int_0^s ds' \sigma \cdot F(y - u(s'))} e^{-ig \int_0^s ds' u'(s') \cdot A(y - u(s'))} \right)_+} \end{aligned}$$

with Fradkin's (classical) fields

$$u_\mu(s) = \int_0^s ds' v_\mu(s') , \quad u_\mu(0) = 0$$

As a typical and most important part of a 4-point fermionic function, one gets in an exponential the argument ..

$$\begin{aligned} & + \frac{i}{2} g \int d^4 w_1 \int_0^s ds_1 \int_0^{\bar{s}} ds_2 u'_\mu(s_1) \bar{u}'_\nu(s_2) \\ & \times \Omega^a(s_1) \bar{\Omega}^b(s_2) (f \cdot \chi(w_1))^{-1} |_{ab}^{\mu\nu} \\ & \times \delta^{(4)}(w_1 - y_1 + u(s_1)) \delta^{(4)}(w_1 - y_2 + \bar{u}(s_2)) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

as the generic structure.

But how to think of ..

$$\delta^{(4)}(w_1 - y_1 + u(s_1)) \delta^{(4)}(y_1 - y_2 + \bar{u}(s_2) - u(s_1)) \quad (2)$$

That is, basically, how should we interpret

$$\delta^{(4)}(\bar{u}(s_2) - u(s_1)) \quad ? \quad (3)$$

A heuristic manipulation suggests that ..

$$\delta(\bar{u}_0(s_2) - u_0(s_1))\delta(\bar{u}_L(s_2) - u_L(s_1)) = \frac{\delta(s_1)\delta(s_2)}{|u'_L(0)||\bar{u}'_0(0)|} \quad (4)$$

assuming $C^1([0, s], [0, \bar{s}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4)$ - u, \bar{u} Fradkin's fields, and leading to the remaining (out of $\delta^{(4)}(\bar{u}(s_2) - u(s_1))$)

$$\delta^{(2)}(\vec{y}_{1\perp} - \vec{y}_{2\perp}) = \delta^{(2)}(\vec{b})$$

where \vec{b} is the impact parameter, or transverse distance between the two scattering quarks.

A physical stake .. (H.M. Fried's talk)

the **necessary** change of $\delta^{(2)}(\vec{b})$ into a transverse
 ‘Levy-flight’ distribution for bound quarks

$$\delta^{(2)}(\vec{b}) \rightarrow \varphi(b) = \frac{\mu^2}{\pi} \frac{1 + \xi/2}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{1 + \xi/2})} e^{-(\mu b)^{2+\xi}}, \quad \xi \ll 1$$

.. so that .. starting from quark propagation as ordinarily (perturbatively) conceived, *à la* $G_c(x, y|A)$, in the non-perturbative bound context, one could be lead to think of quark propagation in terms of Levy-flights !

But what mathematicians say ? ..

- '*That it is crazy!*'
- **What do physicists reply?**
- '*That it works! The equation*

$$\delta(\bar{u}_0(s_2) - u_0(s_1))\delta(\bar{u}_L(s_2) - u_L(s_1)) = \frac{\delta(s_1)\delta(s_2)}{|u'_L(0)||\bar{u}'_0(0)|}$$

*complies with an Eikonal approximation' ($u(s_1) \rightarrow s_1 p_1$,
 $\bar{u}(s_2) \rightarrow s_2 p_2$, ..)*

And so mathematicians skip to the most achieved
realization of a functional space they master:

The Wiener functional space

Theorem

For all couple $(s_1, s_2) \in]0, s] \times]0, \bar{s}]$,

$$m \otimes m \left(\{(u, \bar{u}) \in C_0^{0,s} \times C_0^{0,\bar{s}} \mid u(s_1) = \bar{u}(s_2)\} \right) = 0$$

$$m \otimes m \left(\{(u, \bar{u}) \in C_0^{0,s} \times C_0^{0,\bar{s}} \mid u(0) = \bar{u}(0) = 0\} \right) = 1$$

$C_0^{0,s} = C([0, s], \mathbb{R}^1)$ space of continuous functions u, \bar{u} , from $[0, s]$ into $\mathbb{R}^1 / u(0) = 0$. (the c -functions u, \bar{u} , represent either of the 2 possibilities u_0 and u_L).

m = Wiener measure on $C_0^{0,s}$

$m \otimes m$ = Wiener measure on $C_0^{0,s} \times C_0^{0,\bar{s}}$ endowed with the topology product (u, \bar{u} independent).

Proof ..

Let A be the set $\{(u, \bar{u}) \in C_0^{0,s} \times C_0^{0,\bar{s}} \mid u(s_1) = \bar{u}(s_2)\}$.

One has $A = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$, where

$$A_n = \{(u, \bar{u}) \in C_0^{0,s} \times C_0^{0,\bar{s}} \mid -\frac{1}{n} \leq u(s_1) - \bar{u}(s_2) \leq +\frac{1}{n}\}$$

Because of the obvious inclusion,

$$\forall n, \quad A_{n+1} \subset A_n$$

one can write,

$$m \otimes m(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m \otimes m(A_n)$$

Proof ..

Now, $X_n \equiv m \otimes m(A_n)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} X_n &= m \otimes m\{(u, \bar{u}) \in C_0^{0,s} \times C_0^{0,\bar{s}} \mid u(s_1) - \frac{1}{n} \leq \bar{u}(s_2) \leq u(s_1) + \frac{1}{n}\} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{2\pi s_1}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2s_1}} \int_{x-\frac{1}{n}}^{x+\frac{1}{n}} \frac{dy}{\sqrt{2\pi s_2}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2s_2}} \\ &\equiv \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{2\pi s_1}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2s_1}} f_n(x) \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi s_1}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2s_1}} f_n(x) \right| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi s_1}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2s_1}}$$

one gets $X_\infty = 0$, by the *Dominated convergence theorem*.

Equivalences

A long known abelian equivalence (\sim Wick's theorem underlying structure):

$$e^{-\frac{i}{2} \int \frac{\delta}{\delta A} D_c \frac{\delta}{\delta A}} \mathcal{F}(A)|_{A=0} = N \int d[A] e^{\frac{i}{2} \int A D_c^{-1} A} \mathcal{F}(A)$$

First: can be proven to extend to the non-abelian case with '*some consequences*' for non-perturbative QCD:

- **EL.**
- Itself, **EL**, confirming the intrinsic perturbative character of the QCD **BRST** generating functional .. as advocated by B ! <http://www.scholarpedia.org/article/Becchi-Rouet-Stora-Tyutin-symmetry>.
- Shedding new light on the Gribov-Singer copies problem (alternative? Work in progress ..)

Another non abelian simplification!

EL allows one to define:

The infinite dimensional functional integrations over

Halpern's field $\chi_{\mu\nu}^a$ configuration space:

$$\int d[\chi] = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{M}} \prod_{a=1}^{N^2-1} \prod_{0=\mu < \nu}^3 \int d[\chi_{\mu\nu}^a](w_i) \quad (5)$$

reducing it to:

Ordinary Lebesgue integrations over finite-dimensional \mathbb{R}^n spaces.

Such a reduction is not possible in general:

Here a consequence of non-perturbative **EL**.

Proof

$w_i \rightarrow w_0 \equiv O$, a chosen origin of \mathcal{M} .

Intuitively clear, a proof can be given in Wiener space making use of an adapted form of ‘Wiener’s integration formula’:

$$\int_{\mathcal{W}} f(x(s_1), \dots, x(s_n)) dm(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(u_1, \dots, u_n) e^{-\sum_1^n \frac{(u_j - u_{j-1})^2}{2(s_j - s_{j-1})}}}{\sqrt{s_1 \dots (s_n - s_{n-1})}} d\vec{U}_n$$

a consequence of the ‘measure image theorem’.

Further on, the $\chi_{\mu\nu}^a$ can be $SU(3)$ -Lie-algebra valued:

$$\chi_{\mu\nu}^a \rightarrow \sum_{a=1}^{N^2-1} \chi_{\mu\nu}^a T^a$$

the full power of ‘Random Matrix’ can be used, yielding ..

A short sequence of fruitful equalities ..

$$\begin{aligned}
 e^{-\frac{i}{2} \int \frac{\delta}{\delta A} D_C \frac{\delta}{\delta A}} \mathcal{F}(A)_{|A=0} &\stackrel{A \rightarrow NA}{=} N \int d[A] e^{\frac{i}{2} \int A D_C^{-1} A} \mathcal{F}(A) \\
 &\stackrel{EL}{=} N' \int_{\mathcal{W}^n} \prod d[\chi_{\mu\nu}^a(w_0)] e^{\frac{i}{4} \sum (\chi_{\mu\nu}^a(w_0))^2} \mathcal{G}(\chi) \\
 &= N'' \int_{\mathcal{M}_{n'}(\mathbb{C})} d[H] \delta(Tr H) e^{\frac{i}{4C_A} Tr(H)^2} \mathcal{G}(H)
 \end{aligned}$$

$H \in \mathcal{M}_{n'}(\mathbb{C})$, algebra of hermitian $n' \times n'$ traceless random matrices

$$\begin{aligned}
 d[H] = d\Theta_1 \dots d\Theta_{n'} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n'} (\Theta_i - \Theta_j)^2 \\
 \times f(p) dp_1 \dots dp_l
 \end{aligned}$$