

PH206 Final Exam 2002 Solution

$$1. \quad \vec{\nabla}^2 \phi(r) = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[\left(\frac{e}{r} + \frac{e}{a_0} \right) e^{-2r/a_0} \right]$$

$$\text{Now } r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[\left(\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{a_0} \right) e^{-2r/a_0} \right] = - \left(1 + \frac{2r}{a_0} + \frac{2r^2}{a_0^2} \right) e^{-2r/a_0}$$

$$\text{So } \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[\left(1 + \frac{2r}{a_0} + \frac{2r^2}{a_0^2} \right) e^{-2r/a_0} \right] = \frac{1}{r^2} \left[\cancel{\frac{-2}{a_0}} + \cancel{\frac{2}{a_0}} - \frac{4r}{a_0^2} + \frac{4r}{a_0^2} - \frac{4}{a_0^2} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{\nabla}^2 \phi(r) = \frac{4e}{a_0^3} e^{-2r/a_0} = +4\pi e \left| \frac{e^{-r/a_0}}{\sqrt{\pi} a_0^{3/2}} \right|^2$$

$$= +4\pi e |\psi_{100}(r, \theta, \phi)|^2$$

↑ is ground state electron.

What happened to the proton? Observe:

$$1) \quad \vec{\nabla}^2 (1/r) \neq 0 \quad \text{due to singularity at } r=0.$$

$$2) \quad \int_V d^3r \nabla^2 (1/r) = \int_V d^3\vec{r} \cdot \underbrace{\vec{\nabla} (1/r)}_{-\frac{\hat{r}}{r^2}} = -4\pi$$

$$3) \quad \Rightarrow \vec{\nabla}^2 (1/r) = -4\pi \delta^3(\vec{r}).$$

4) $\phi(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ exponentially fast, because the hydrogen atom is neutral $\Rightarrow$ proton already included!

$$S_0, \quad \rho(\vec{r}) = e \left[\delta^3(\vec{r}) - \frac{e^{-2r/a_0}}{\pi a_0^3} \right] \quad \underline{\text{Correct}}$$

b) Lowest-order Born Scattering.

$$f(\theta, \phi) = -\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2} \int e^{i(\vec{k}' - \vec{k}) \cdot \vec{r}} V(\vec{r}) d^3r$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{low energy}} -\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2} \int V(\vec{r}) d^3r \quad \text{No angular dependence!}$$

In the low-energy limit, can approximate $(\vec{k}' - \vec{k}) \cdot \vec{r} \equiv \vec{q} \cdot \vec{r} = 0$
 Since $\vec{k}$ and $\vec{k}'$ are both tiny.

$$\Rightarrow f(\theta, \phi) = -\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2} \int \underbrace{-e\phi(r)}_{V(r) \text{ seen by } \uparrow \text{ electron}} d^3r$$

$$= \frac{me^2}{2\pi\hbar^2} \cdot 4\pi \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{a_0} \right) e^{-2r/a_0} r^2 dr$$

$$= \frac{2me^2}{\hbar^2} \int_0^\infty \left(r + r^2/a_0 \right) e^{-2r/a_0} dr$$

$$= \frac{2}{a_0} \left[\left(\frac{a_0}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{a_0} \left(\frac{a_0}{2} \right)^3 \cdot 2! \right] = \boxed{a_0}$$

$$\frac{me^2}{\hbar^2} = \frac{1}{a_0}$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = |f(\theta, \phi)|^2 = a_0^2 \quad \text{independent of angle.}$$

$$c) \quad \sigma = \int \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} d\Omega = 4\pi a_0^2$$

Same as low-energy scattering off a hard sphere of radius a_0 $\Rightarrow$ "Size" of hydrogen atom $= a_0$.

$$\text{Numerically, } \sigma = 4\pi (0.529 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m})^2 \approx 3.5 \times 10^{-20} \text{ m}^2$$

$[\sigma] = \text{Area, as it must!}$

2. a)
$$\left. \begin{aligned} \vec{E} &= 4\pi c \vec{\Pi} \\ \vec{B} &= \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} \end{aligned} \right\} \text{Energy in E+m field due to both } \vec{E}^2 \text{ and } \vec{B}^2.$$

b) The key to working through this algebra is to break it down into "bite-sized" chunks! (Those who read Shankar with an active, alert, mind did this already!)

i) First, let's look at the prefactors

$$|\vec{\Pi}|^2 \sim \frac{1}{8\pi} \cdot 16\pi^2 c^2 \cdot \left(\sqrt{\frac{\hbar \omega}{64\pi^2 c^2}} \right)^2 = \frac{\hbar \omega(\vec{k})}{4 \cdot (2\pi)^3}$$

$$|\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}|^2 \sim \frac{1}{8\pi} \cdot \left(\sqrt{\frac{\hbar c^2}{4\pi^2 \omega}} \right)^2 \cdot \vec{k}^2 = \frac{\hbar \omega(\vec{k})}{4 \cdot (2\pi)^3}$$

↑
due to $\vec{\nabla} \times$
(since $c^2 \vec{k}^2 = \omega^2$)

ii) Next, let's look at the operators that can appear together. Since we integrate over $\vec{r}$ in $\hat{H}$, most terms drop out, due to oscillating $e^{i(\vec{k}-\vec{k}') \cdot \vec{r}}$.

In fact $\int e^{i(\vec{k}-\vec{k}') \cdot \vec{r}} d^3r = (2\pi)^3 \delta^3(\vec{k}-\vec{k}')$

↑ Ah! See (i) above!

Both $|\vec{\Pi}|^2$ and $|\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}|^2$ contain terms like:

$$\hat{a}(\vec{k}, \lambda) \hat{a}(-\vec{k}, \lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}, \lambda) \hat{a}^\dagger(-\vec{k}, \lambda)$$

as well as $\hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}, \lambda) \hat{a}(\vec{k}, \lambda)$ and $\hat{a}(\vec{k}, \lambda) \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}, \lambda)$.

iii) Note that since $\hat{\mathbf{E}}(\vec{k}, \lambda) \cdot \mathbf{E}(\vec{k}, \lambda') = \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}$,
there are no terms like $a^\dagger(\vec{k}, \lambda) a(\vec{k}, \lambda')$ with $\lambda \neq \lambda'$.

iv) Finally, what happens to the $\hat{\mathbf{a}}\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger\hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger$ terms?
When $|\vec{\Pi}|^2$ is added to $|\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}|^2$ these cancel out,
because they have opposite signs in each piece, due
to the $-\vec{k}^2$ appearing in $|\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}|^2$ (not $\vec{k}^2$).

v) Putting everything together:

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{\lambda} \int d^3k \frac{\hbar \omega(\vec{k})}{4} \left[2\hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}, \lambda) \hat{a}(\vec{k}, \lambda) + 2\hat{a}(\vec{k}, \lambda) \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}, \lambda) \right]$$

"Normal-order"

$$= \sum_{\lambda} \underbrace{\int d^3k \hbar \omega(\vec{k})}_{\text{Shankar Eq. 18.5, 60}} \left[\hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}, \lambda) \hat{a}(\vec{k}, \lambda) + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\substack{\text{zero-pt.} \\ \text{energies.}}} \right]$$

(Shankar Eq. 18.5, 60) Replace by $\sum_{\vec{k}}$
for modes between plates.

Using the canonical commutation relation:

$$[\hat{a}(\vec{k}', \lambda'), \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}, \lambda)] = \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} \delta^3(\vec{k} - \vec{k}').$$

c) Clearly $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\Pi} = 0$ because $\vec{k} \cdot \vec{E}(\vec{k}, \lambda) = 0$.

Acting with $\vec{\nabla}$ on $\vec{A}(\vec{r})$ just brings down $\pm \vec{k}$
from the $e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}}$ and $e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}}$ terms. Same for $\vec{\Pi}(\vec{r})$.

d) Casimir Effect :

Since zero-pt. energy $\sim \hbar \omega \sim \hbar c k$

and $[k] = \frac{1}{\text{length}}$, we reason that

$E_0 \sim \frac{\hbar c \cdot L^2}{a^3}$ which is proportional to Area of plates.

$\Rightarrow F \sim \frac{\partial E_0}{\partial a} \sim \boxed{\frac{\hbar c L^2}{a^4}}$

$[F] = \frac{J \cdot s \cdot \frac{m}{s} \cdot m^2}{m^4} = J/m = N$

The force is attractive (pulling plates together) because as the plates get closer there are fewer allowed modes of oscillation, so zero-pt. energy decreases.

Exact Answer : $F = -\frac{\pi^2}{240} \frac{\hbar c}{a^4} L^2 \approx \frac{-1.3 \times 10^{-7} N}{a^4(\mu m) \cdot cm^2}$

"factor of order unity."

$\rightarrow \boxed{= -1.3 \times 10^{-7} N.}$

See: Itzykson + Zuber, Quantum Field Theory,
Ch. 3-2-4 "Vacuum Fluctuations" pp. 138-141,
especially Eq. 3-150.

3. Free Dirac Eq. $\hat{H} = c \vec{\alpha} \cdot \hat{\vec{p}} + \beta mc^2$

a) $\hat{H}^2 = c^2 (\vec{\alpha} \cdot \hat{\vec{p}})^2 + \beta^2 m^2 c^4 + mc^2 (\vec{\alpha} \cdot \hat{\vec{p}} \beta + \beta \vec{\alpha} \cdot \hat{\vec{p}})$

Now $\alpha_i^2 = \beta^2 = I$

and $\{\alpha_i, \beta\} = 0$ and $\{\alpha_i, \alpha_j\} = 2\delta_{ij}$

So last term vanishes, and $(\vec{\alpha} \cdot \hat{\vec{p}})^2 = \hat{\vec{p}}^2$.

$\Rightarrow \hat{H}^2 = c^2 \hat{\vec{p}}^2 + m^2 c^4$ already diagonal in 4-spinor space!

$\Rightarrow \hat{H} |\psi\rangle = E |\psi\rangle \Rightarrow E = \pm \sqrt{c^2 p^2 + m^2 c^4}$

b) Directly solve for $a = \frac{cp}{E + mc^2} = \frac{E - mc^2}{cp}$

Note: $|a| < 1$ for $E > 0$ $\eta = 1 + a^2$
 $|a| > 1$ for $E < 0$.

$|\psi\rangle \leftrightarrow \frac{e^{ipz/\hbar}}{\eta^{1/2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ a \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

c) $\rho(\vec{x}, t) = e \psi^\dagger(\vec{x}, t) \psi(\vec{x}, t)$. Must have same sign everywhere!

$Q = \int d^3x \rho(\vec{x}, t) = e$ due to normalization of $|\psi\rangle$.