2019-20 Honors Guidelines for Students
Please review our honors procedures, deadlines and content directives below:

Thesis Advisor & Second Reader
Typically the faculty member serving as a student’s thesis advisor is an Institute Fellow of IBES, however additional Brown faculty (such as Affiliate Fellows or non-affiliated Professors) can also serve as a thesis advisor with permission from the student's concentration advisor. Students pursing honors must also arrange for a 'second reader' who can provide additional input on the direction of the work and will help evaluate the quality of the final product. The second reader is often a Brown faculty member, but can also be an environmental practitioner or professor based outside of Brown, who has significant expertise in the student’s area of research. For students conducting an engaged project with a community partner, their supervisor from the organization will be recognized as their ‘second reader’.

Please note: If the student’s thesis advisor is not an Institute Fellow of IBES, they must arrange for a core faculty member to be their second reader, so that someone familiar with our policies is providing guidance.

Honors Committee
To avoid confusion, the student’s reader and thesis advisor should not be referred to as their committee. The Honors Committee is instead, a group of professors who will review every two semester project that’s pursuing honors and indicate if the material meets honors academic standards.

Thesis Defense
Whether or not an honors candidate is obliged to defend is at the discretion of their thesis advisor. Students who are required to defend should communicate with their thesis advisor and second reader early in their 8th semester, to determine expectations and format. Please let Jeanne Loewenstein know your defense date and time, and if you are interested in reserving a room in the UEL. The presentation part of a student's defense can be open to the public, and even include a Q&A session. After the public presentation, the student will then meet privately with their thesis advisor and second reader.

Thesis Review
The initial review of candidate materials will be by the student’s thesis advisor and second reader. If they deem the material worthy of honors, the thesis advisor will then forward the work to the Honor’s Committee, who will meet to pass a yes or no vote.

Criteria for Engaged Honors Theses:
Note: this is not for all engaged theses, just those submitted to the honors committee
An engaged thesis may include independent research and/or collaboration with a community-based organization, such as those sponsored by the Voss, Starr, or Royce Fellowship programs. Note that the definition of “community” is diverse, depending upon the nature of the capstone project and the community partners engaged in the work. Some students may collaborate with partners in schools, civic organizations, and environmental nonprofits in Providence; others may engage with international non-governmental organizations, state, or national level government partners, or even groups from the private sector.

The student’s work must draw on critical evidence and be framed within a set of literature (does not have to be disciplinary, but must be academically rigorous).
If the community partner wants a descriptive piece, working paper, website, case study analysis, pamphlet, GIS map, etc.... this is fine. However, the student must do research above and beyond a partner’s “pet project” if that undertaking does not ask for critical evaluation or in-depth examination.

Some projects may demonstrate academic rigor with thorough methodologies such as:
- Original data analysis
- In depth archival research and coding
- Ethnography/qualitative analysis with well-thought out and vetted interview questions, transcriptions, and coding of transcriptions to measure themes.

Student could select to work with a partner for one semester on a non-academically rigorous project, and then follow up with an academic piece to supplement the first semester endeavor.

Ideally the final product will include a measurement of outcome for the project (or explanation of why there is not a measurement of outcome).

**Timetable**

1. Last Friday in March (27th) - Complete draft due to thesis advisor and second reader
2. Second Friday in April (10th) - Recommended deadline to defend thesis (if advisor requires a defense).
3. Third Friday in April (17th) - Final draft (which addresses feedback) due to thesis advisor and second reader. Format should be either a pdf or Word file.
4. Last Friday in April (24th) - Deadline for thesis advisor to email Honors’ Committee an attachment of student’s final product and a statement as to why they think the work is worthy of honors. Make sure to cc Academic Program Manager Jeanne Loewenstein (jeannel@brown.edu). *Also indicate if you want to nominate your senior for Brown University's Distinguished Senior Thesis Prize*
5. Tuesday, April 28th – Honors’ Committee convenes to decide which theses should receive honors
6. Thursday, April 30th - Honors’ Committee informs applicant thesis advisors and Jeanne Loewenstein, which theses have been approved for honors. Also, an email must be sent to Jeanne that provides feedback from applicants’ concentration advisors about their overall performance in the concentration.

**Nominating Your Senior for Brown University's Distinguished Senior Thesis Prize**

Brown University Distinguished Senior Thesis Prize recognizes exceptional research and writing produced in any concentration by graduating seniors. Brown aims to select winning theses just before Commencement so that concentrations may announce the honor at their graduation ceremonies.

If you want to nominate your advisee’s thesis, you must provide a memo to the Honor’s committee by April 24th, that describes the work’s merits and addresses the following:
- The scope and significance of the research question(s) and argument;
- Degree of nuance in the research design;
- The degree of originality and the scholarly contribution of the work, noting evidence of intellectual risk-taking
The clarity, cohesion, and control demonstrated in the student's command of language. Theses should not only illustrate rigorous evidence-based research, but should constitute a pleasurable read for specialized and non-specialized readers alike.

Elements of an Environmental Studies & Sciences Honors Thesis

If you want to reference a previous concentrator’s work that focuses on a similar topic as your research, you may ask Academic Program Manager, Jeanne Loewenstein (jeannel@brown.edu), to provide you with a digital copy for review.

1. **Title page:** Include title of the thesis, state you are the author, name your thesis advisor & second reader, and indicate date of completion. Also provide a short statement noting that the thesis has been submitted in partial fulfillment of Environmental Studies & Sciences A.B or Sc.B degree requirements.

2. **Acknowledgments:** A personal statement by the author acknowledging professors, labs, agencies and/or fellow researchers that contributed to the final product; including a brief description of their influence upon the work.

3. **Table of Contents and List of Figures:** It may also be appropriate to include a list of abbreviations.

4. **Abstract:** A single spaced page containing a summary of the thesis question, method(s) used to address that question, the results and a conclusion. The abstract is quite important, as a digital copy of it will be archived for future reference. It should present the most significant things you have learned, not merely say that you have learned them; e.g. say "I determined that humidity was unacceptably high in the houses I tested, thus justifying remedial ventilation", not "Chapter 4 of my thesis gives my findings on indoor air quality in the houses I tested". Part of its purpose is enabling others to decide whether they would like to read further by requesting a digital copy of your thesis.

5. **Body of the thesis:** Your thesis advisor may require the format of your final product be intended for a certain audience thus necessitating, for instance, that it take the form of a journal article or policy report. If this is the case, you must also produce a traditionally formatted document, for ease of review by the Honors’ Committee. When your advisor submits their honors recommendation, please have them attach both types of papers.

The main body of the document must be double spaced with a 12pt font size.

Appropriate font styles to use are Ariel, Verdana, Cambria or Times New Roman.

The body of the thesis should not exceed 100 pages.

6. **Content** - In your paper remember to include the motivation for your research, what your overall questions were, methods you used to obtain answers, your results plus their significance, and suggestions for moving forward.
a. Introduction/Summary (motivation & main questions)
b. Research/Project Objective (how you planned to obtain answers)
c. Methods (your process)
d. Results (what came of your efforts)
e. Conclusion (what you learned and where to go from here)

Overall content should convey:
- Understanding of the complexity and interdisciplinary nature of environmental issues
- Critical thinking and evidence of independent thought
- Research and relevant analytical skills
- Ability to problem solve and adapt
- Demonstration that most current methods and data were utilized
- Aptitude in writing and communication

7. **Bibliography**: Alphabetized list of all published information referred to in the body of the thesis

8. **References and Footnotes**: Research skills are a particularly important aspect of the thesis writing process. Therefore, special attention should be paid to references and footnotes

*The purpose of providing references is to 1) attribute cited information, ideas and quotations properly to the original author, and 2) enable readers to easily locate the cited information. Footnotes also enable the author to expand upon ideas or data presented in the body of the thesis, which are supplemental or secondary to the text.*

Brown supports a number of citation tools, such as EndNote, EndNote Online, Mendeley and Zotero. These programs:
- Store and organize information
- Present a database of the material you’re collecting
- Can plug into your word processor and format footnotes, endnotes, and bibliographies

Each has its own benefits, so if you need help deciding which one works best for your needs, take a look at the MIT Citation Managers comparison guide. Students should consult with their advisor on which reference style to use.