### **Theory of Ultrashort Laser Pulse Generation**



Reference: Hermann Haus, "Short pulse generation," in *Compact Sources of Ultrashort Pulses*, Irl N. Duling, ed. (Cambridge University Press, 1995).

## An actual quotation

"The Laser is numbered among the most miraculous gifts of nature and lends itself to a variety of applications."

- Pliny (the elder) Natural History XXII, 49 (1st Century AD)



"The number of uses of compounds made with laser is immeasurable," said Pliny. Among them were the following: diuretic, healing ointment for sores, antidote for wounds caused by poison-tipped weapons, snakebites, and scorpion stings, for shrinking corns and carbuncles, healing dog bites, soothing chilblains, alleviating coughing and wheezing, and as a cure for gout, cramps, pleurisy, and tetanus.

*silphium laciniatum*, a modern relative of laser.

## The burning question: gaussian or sech<sup>2</sup>?

After all this talk about Gaussian pulses... what does Ti:sapphire really produce?



## Mode-locking yields ultrashort pulses



Recall that many frequencies ("modes") oscillate simultaneously in a laser, and when their phases are locked, an ultrashort pulse results.

Locking the modes of a laser requires nonlinear optics. There are numerous strategies.

Sum of ten modes with the same relative phase

Sum of ten modes w/ random phase

# Two categories of Mode Locking

**Active Mode Locking** 

### modulator transmission $\cos(\omega_M t)$ time

We insert something into the laser cavity that sinusoidally modulates the amplitude of the pulse.

 $\Rightarrow$  mode competition couples each mode to modulation sidebands  $\Rightarrow$  eventually, all the modes are coupled and phase-locked

### **Passive Mode Locking**

We insert something into the laser cavity that favors high intensities.

 $\Rightarrow$  strong maxima will grow stronger at the expense of weaker ones  $\Rightarrow$  eventually, all of the energy is concentrated in one packet



## The Modulation Theorem: The Fourier Transform of E(t)cos(ω<sub>M</sub>t)

Multiplication by  $\cos(\omega_M t)$  introduces side-bands.

# One option for active mode-locking: the electro-optic modulator

Applying a voltage to certain crystalline materials changes the refractive indices and introduces birefringence.

A few kV can turn a crystal into a half- or quarter-wave plate.



Applying a sinusoidal voltage yields sinusoidal modulation to the beam's amplitude. Or, use it without a polarizer to simply introduce a phase modulation, which sinusoidally shifts the modes into and out of resonance with the actual cavity modes.

## 2<sup>nd</sup> option for active mode-locking: the acousto-optic modulator

Here, an acoustic wave induces sinusoidal density, and hence sinusoidal refractive-index, variations in a medium. This will diffract away some of a light wave's energy.



Such diffraction can be quite strong: ~70%. Sinusoidally modulating the acoustic wave amplitude yields sinusoidal modulation of the transmitted beam.

## Active modelocking



In the frequency domain, a modulator introduces side-bands of every laser mode (although, this diagram only shows the side bands for one of them).



For mode-locking, we adjust  $\omega_M$ so that  $\omega_M$  = mode spacing (which is not what is shown in this diagram).

 $\omega_M = 2\pi/\text{cavity round-trip time}$ =  $2\pi/(2L/c) = \pi c/L$ 

Under this condition, each mode competes for gain with adjacent modes. The most efficient operation is for phases to lock, resulting in global phase locking.

This is described by a system of N coupled equations:  $E_n \Leftrightarrow E_{n+1}$ ,  $E_{n-1}$ 

## Modeling laser modes and gain

Lasers have a mode spacing:

$$\omega_{M} = \frac{2\pi}{T_{R}} = \frac{\pi c}{L}$$



Let the zero<sup>th</sup> mode be at the center of the gain,  $\omega_0$ . The *n*<sup>th</sup> mode frequency is then:

$$\omega_n = \omega_0 + n \,\omega_M$$
 where  $n = ..., -1, 0, 1, ...$ 

Let  $a_n$  be the amplitude of the  $n^{th}$  mode and assume a Lorentzian gain profile, G(n). Then, the amplitude increases after one round trip according to:

$$a_n^{(k+1)} = G(n) a_n^{(k)} = \left[1 + \frac{g}{1 + (n\omega_M)^2 / \Omega_g^2}\right] a_n^{(k)} \text{ where } \Omega_g = \text{gain bandwidth}$$

and where the superscript (k) indicates the round trip number.

## Modeling an amplitude modulator

An amplitude modulator uses the electro-optic or acousto-optic effect to deliberately cause losses at the laser round-trip frequency,  $\omega_M$ .

A modulator multiplies the laser light (i.e., each mode) by  $M[1-\cos(\omega_M t)]$ 

$$M \left[ 1 - \cos(\omega_{M} t) \right] a_{n} e^{i(\omega_{0} + n\omega_{M})t} = M \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \exp(-i\omega_{M} t) + 1 - \frac{1}{2} \exp(i\omega_{M} t) \right] a_{n} e^{i(\omega_{0} + n\omega_{M})t}$$
$$= -M a_{n} e^{i\omega_{0}t} \left[ \frac{1}{2} e^{i(n-1)\omega_{M}t} - e^{in\omega_{M}t} + \frac{1}{2} e^{i(n+1)\omega_{M}t} \right]$$

Notice that this spreads the energy from the  $n^{th}$  to the  $(n+1)^{st}$  and  $(n-1)^{st}$  modes. Including the passive loss,  $\ell$ , we can write this as:

$$a_n^{(k+1)} = a_n^{(k)} + g\left(1 + \frac{\left(n\omega_M\right)^2}{\Omega_g^2}\right)^{-1} a_n^{(k)} - \ell a_n^{(k)} + \frac{M}{2}\left(a_{n+1}^{(k)} - 2a_n^{(k)} + a_{n-1}^{(k)}\right)$$

Reminder: the superscript indicates the  $k^{th}$  round trip. The subscript *n* is the mode index:  $\omega_n = \omega_0 + n \omega_M$ 

## Solve for the steady-state solution

Approximate: modes near the center of the Lorentzian gain, so  $n\omega_M \ll \Omega_g$ 

thus: 
$$\frac{g}{1+(n\omega_M)^2/\Omega_g^2} \approx g \left[ 1-\frac{(n\omega_M)^2}{\Omega_g^2} \right]$$

which results in:

$$a_n^{(k+1)} = a_n^{(k)} + g\left(1 - \frac{\left(n\omega_M\right)^2}{\Omega_g^2}\right) a_n^{(k)} - \ell a_n^{(k)} + \frac{M}{2}\left(a_{n+1}^{(k)} - 2a_n^{(k)} + a_{n-1}^{(k)}\right)$$

In steady state,  $a_n^{(k+1)} = a_n^{(k)}$ 

Also, the finite difference becomes a second derivative when the modes are many and closely spaced:

> where, in this  $a_{n+1}^{(k)} - 2a_n^{(k)} + a_{n-1}^{(k)} \rightarrow \omega_M^2 \frac{d^2}{d\omega^2} a(\omega)$ continuous limit,

$$a_n^{(k)} \to a(\omega)$$

where:  $\omega = n \omega_M$ 

## Solve for the steady-state solution

Thus we have:

$$0 = \left[g\left(1 - \frac{\omega^2}{\Omega_g^2}\right) - \ell + \frac{M\omega_M^2}{2}\frac{d^2}{d\omega^2}\right]a(\omega)$$

This differential equation has the solution:

$$a(\omega) = H_{\nu}(\omega\tau)e^{-\omega^2\tau^2/2}$$
 (Hermite Gaussians)

with the constraints:

$$\frac{1}{\tau^4} = \frac{M\omega_M^2 \Omega_g^2}{2g} \qquad g - \ell = M\omega_M^2 \tau^2 \left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

Focus on the lowestorder (v = 1) mode:  $a(\omega) = Ae^{-\omega^2 \tau^2/2}$ 

Active sinusoidal modulation of the laser modes at the round-trip frequency produces a Gaussian spectrum!

## AM mode locking: pulse duration

The solution: 
$$a(\omega) = H_{\nu}(\omega\tau)e^{-\omega^2\tau^2/2}$$

with the constraints:

$$\frac{1}{\tau^4} = \frac{M\omega_M^2 \Omega_g^2}{2g} \qquad g - \ell = M\omega_M^2 \tau^2 \left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

The parameter  $\tau$  determines the spectral bandwidth, which in turn determines the shortest possible pulse duration:

$$\tau = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega_g}} \cdot \sqrt[4]{\frac{2g}{M\omega_M^2}}$$

In other words, the spectral bandwidth of the mode-locked pulse varies as the square root of the gain bandwidth.

AM mode-locking does not exploit the full bandwidth of an inhomogeneous medium!

## Fourier transforming to the time domain

Recalling that multiplication by  $-\omega^2$  in the frequency domain is just a second derivative in the time domain (and vice versa).

So this: 
$$a_n^{(k+1)} - a_n^{(k)} = \left[g\left(1 - \frac{\omega^2}{\Omega_g^2}\right) - \ell + \frac{M\omega_M^2}{2}\frac{d^2}{d\omega^2}\right]a(\omega)$$
  
becomes this:  $a^{(k+1)}(t) - a^{(k)}(t) = \left[g\left(1 + \frac{1}{\Omega_g^2}\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\right) - \ell - \frac{M}{2}\omega_M^2t^2\right]a^{(k)}(t)$ 

which (in the continuous steady-state limit) has the solution:

$$a(t) = \frac{(i)^{\nu}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} H_{\nu}\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right) e^{-t^2/2\tau^2}$$

This makes sense because Hermite-Gaussians are their own Fourier transforms.

The time-domain will prove to be better for modeling passive mode-locking.

## **Other active mode-locking techniques**

#### FM mode-locking

produce a phase shift per round trip implementation: electro-optic modulator similar results in terms of steady-state pulse duration

#### Synchronous pumping

gain medium is pumped with a pulsed laser, at a rate of 1 pulse per round trip requires an actively mode-locked laser to pump your laser (\$\$) requires the two cavity lengths to be accurately matched useful for converting long AM pulses into short AM pulses (e.g., 150 psec argon-ion pulses ⇒ sub-psec dye laser pulses)

#### Additive-pulse or coupled-cavity mode-locking

external cavity that feeds pulses back into main cavity synchronously requires two cavity lengths to be matched can be used to form sub-100-fsec pulses

## **Passive mode-locking**

Saturable absorption:

- absorption saturates during the passage of the pulse
- leading edge is selectively eroded

Saturable gain:

- gain saturates during the passage of the pulse
- leading edge is selectively amplified



## Kerr lensing is a type of saturable absorber

If a pulse experiences additional focusing due to the Kerr lens nonlinearity, and we align the laser for this extra focusing, then a high-intensity beam will have better overlap with the gain medium.



Mirror

Additional focusing optics can arrange for perfect overlap of the high-intensity beam back in the Ti:Sapphire crystal.

But not the lowintensity beam!

This is a type of saturable loss, with the same saturation behavior we've seen before:



## Saturable-absorber mode-locking

Neglect gain saturation, and model a fast saturable absorber:

$$\alpha \left( \left| a\left( t \right) \right|^{2} \right) = \frac{\alpha_{0}}{1 + \frac{\left| a\left( t \right) \right|^{2}}{I_{sat}}} \qquad \text{Intensity}$$

. .

The transmission through a fast saturable absorber of length  $L_a$ : 

$$e^{-\alpha L_a} \approx 1 - \alpha L_a \approx 1 - \alpha_0 L_a \left( 1 - \frac{|a(t)|^2}{I_{sat}} \right) = 1 - \alpha_0 L_a + \gamma |a|^2$$
  
where:  $\gamma = \frac{\alpha_0 L_a}{I_{sat}}$ 

Including saturable absorption in the mode-amplitude equation (and removing the active mode-locking term proportional to M):

$$a^{(k+1)} - a^{(k)} = \left\{ g \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\Omega_g^2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \right) - \ell + \gamma \left| a^{(k)} \right|^2 \right\} a^{(k)} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Here, we} \\ \text{lumped} \\ \text{the constant} \\ \text{loss into } \ell \end{array} \right\}$$

19

Ł

## The sech pulse shape

In steady state, this equation has the solution:

$$a(t) = A_0 \operatorname{sech}\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right)$$

where the conditions on  $\tau$  and  $A_0$  are:





$$g - \ell + \frac{g}{\Omega_g^2 \tau^2} = 0$$

Note: the pulse duration is now proportional to the inverse of the gain bandwidth. Passive mode locking produces shorter pulses!

FWHM = 2.62  $\tau$ 

## **The Master Equation: including GVD**

Expand *k* to second order in  $\omega$ :  $k(\omega) = k(\omega_0) + k'\Delta\omega + \frac{1}{2}k''\Delta\omega^2$ 

After propagating a distance  $L_d$ , the amplitude becomes:

$$a(L_{d},\omega) = \exp\left\{-i\left[k(\omega_{o}) + k'\Delta\omega + \frac{1}{2}k''\Delta\omega^{2}\right]L_{d}\right\}a(0,\omega)$$

Ignore the constant phase and  $v_g$ , and approximate the 2<sup>nd</sup>-order phase:

$$\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}ik''\Delta\omega^{2}L_{d}\right\}a(0,\omega)\approx\left(1-\frac{1}{2}ik''\Delta\omega^{2}L_{d}\right)a(0,\omega)$$

Inverse-Fourier-transforming:

$$a(L_{d},t) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}ik''L_{d}\frac{d^{2}}{dt^{2}}\right)a(0,t) \equiv \left(1 + iD\frac{d^{2}}{dt^{2}}\right)a(0,t)$$
  
where:  $D \equiv \frac{1}{2}k''L_{d}$ <sup>21</sup>

## The Master Equation (continued): including the Kerr effect

The Kerr Effect:  $n = n_0 + n_2 I$  so:  $\Phi = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} n_2 L_k |a(t)|^2 \equiv \delta |a(t)|^2$ where  $\delta \propto n_2$ 

The master equation (assuming small effects) becomes:

$$a^{(k+1)} - a^{(k)} = \left\{ g \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\Omega_g^2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \right) + iD \frac{d^2}{dt^2} - \ell + (\gamma - i\delta) \left| a^{(k)} \right|^2 \right\} a^{(k)}$$

In steady state:  $a^{(k+1)} - a^{(k)} = i\psi a(t)$  where  $\psi$  is the phase shift per round trip.

$$\left\{-i\psi + \left(g - \ell\right) + \left(\frac{g}{\Omega_g^2} + iD\right)\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \left(\gamma - i\delta\right)\left|a\right|^2\right\}a\left(t\right) = 0$$

This important equation is called the Landau-Ginzberg Equation.

## **Solution to the Master Equation**

It has an analytic solution:

$$a(t) = A_0 \left[\operatorname{sech}\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right)\right]^{(1+i\beta)}$$

where:

$$-i\psi + g - \ell + \frac{\left(1 + i\beta\right)}{\tau^2} \left(\frac{g}{\Omega_g^2} + iD\right) = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{\tau^2} \left( \frac{g}{\Omega_g^2} + iD \right) \left( 2 + 3i\beta - \beta^2 \right) = \left( \gamma - i\delta \right) A_0^2$$

The complex exponent yields chirp.

## The pulse length and chirp parameter



Figure 1.7. The plots of pulsewidth  $\tau$  and chirp parameter  $\beta$  as functions of dispersion, with the SPM coefficient as parameter.  $\beta$ , chirp parameter;  $D_n$ , normalized GVD parameter;  $\gamma_n$ , normalized equivalent fast saturable absorber,  $\gamma_n = \gamma \frac{W}{2g} \Omega_g$ ;  $\delta_n$ , normalized self-phase modulation parameter,  $\delta_n = \delta \frac{W}{2g} \Omega_g$ ;  $\tau_n$  normalized pulse width  $\tau_n = \Omega_g \tau$ .

24

## The spectral width

The spectral width vs. dispersion for various SPM values.



A broader spectrum is possible if some positive chirp is acceptable.

## **The Nonlinear Schrodinger Equation**

Recall the master equation:

$$a^{(k+1)} - a^{(k)} = \left\{ g \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\Omega_g^2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \right) + iD \frac{d^2}{dt^2} - \ell + (\gamma - i\delta) \left| a^{(k)} \right|^2 \right\} a^{(k)}$$

If you were interested in light pulses *not* propagating inside a laser cavity, but in a medium outside the laser, then you would change this to a continuous differential equation (rather than a difference equation with a discrete round-trip index k).

You would also ignore gain and loss (both linear loss and saturable loss).

Then you would have the Nonlinear Schrodinger Equation:

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial z} = \left( iD \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - i\delta \left| a \right|^2 \right) a$$

D - GVD parameter  $\delta$  – Kerr nonlinearity

## The Nonlinear Schrodinger Equation (NLSE)

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial z} = \left( iD \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - i\delta \left| a \right|^2 \right) a$$

The solution to the nonlinear Shrodinger equation is:

$$a(z,t) = A_0 \operatorname{sech}\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right) \exp\left[-i\frac{\delta|A_0|^2}{2}z\right]$$
  
where:  $\frac{1}{\tau^2} = -\frac{\delta|A_0|^2}{2D}$ 

Note that  $\delta/D$ , must be negative, or no solution exists.

But note that, despite dispersion, the pulse length and shape do not vary with distance: this predicts solitons

## **Evolution of a soliton from a square wave**



## So then do all mode-locked lasers produce sech(t) pulses?

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial z} = \left(iD\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - i\delta|a|^2\right)a(z)$$

The Master Equation assumed that the dispersion is uniform throughout the laser cavity, so that the pulse is always experiencing a certain (constant) GVD as it propagates through one full round-trip.





So the dispersion D should depend on position within the laser cavity, D = D(z). In principle, so should the Kerr nonlinearity,  $\delta = \delta(z)$  since this nonlinearity only exists inside the gain medium.

## **Perturbed nonlinear Schrodinger equation**

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial z} = \left( iD(z)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - i\delta(z)|a|^2 \right) a(z)$$

 $\delta(z)$  = zero except inside the Ti:sapphire crystal D(z) = cavity dispersion map (positive inside the crystal, negative between the prisms) The pulse experiences these perturbations periodically, once per cavity round-trip.

Solution: requires numerical integration except in the asymptotic limit (infinite |D|)

Result: the pulse shape is not invariant! It varies during the round trip. But it is still stable at any particular location in the laser.



"Dispersion-managed solitons"

## In real lasers, the pulse shape is complicated

Dispersion management can produce many different pulse shapes.

- small dispersion swing: sech pulses
- moderate dispersion: Gaussian pulses
- large dispersion: neither; the result depends sensitively on gain filtering

#### <u>Also:</u>

The perturbed NLSE neglects gain and saturable loss, both of which are required for mode-locked operation.

In principle, both would also need to be included in a distributed way:

g = g(z) and  $\gamma = \gamma(z)$ 



Bottom line: it's complicated.