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On the validity of the independence principle applied to the vortex-induced vibrations of a flexible cylinder inclined at 60°
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A B S T R A C T

The vortex-induced vibrations (VIV) of a flexible cylinder inclined at 60° are investigated by means of direct numerical simulation, at a Reynolds number equal to 500, based on the cylinder diameter and inflow velocity. The cylinder has a circular cross-section and a length to diameter aspect ratio equal to 50; it is modeled as a tension-dominated structure which is free to oscillate in the in-line and cross-flow directions. The behavior of the coupled fluid–structure system is examined for two values of the tension. Particular attention is paid to the validity of the independence principle (IP) which states that the inclined and normal-incidence body cases are comparable if the inflow velocity normal component is used to scale the physical quantities.

The flexible cylinder exhibits regular VIV for both values of the tension. In the high-tension configuration, where the in-line bending of the structure remains small, the IP is shown to be valid for the prediction of the cylinder responses and the fluid forces. In contrast, in the lower-tension configuration, the behavior of the fluid–structure system deviates from the IP. It is shown that this deviation is connected to the larger in-line bending of the structure which leads to considerably different profiles of the flow velocity locally perpendicular to the body in the inclined and normal cylinder cases. Since the system behavior appears to be mainly driven by this component of the flow, the profile modification induced by the larger in-line bending results in distinct responses: multi-frequency vibrations are observed in the inclined cylinder case whereas mono-frequency oscillations of larger amplitudes develop at normal incidence.

1. Introduction

Vortex-induced vibrations (VIV) of slender deformable bodies are encountered in a number of physical systems, especially in civil and offshore engineering where they result in increased fatigue damage of cables and risers exposed to wind and ocean currents. In practical applications, the flexible structures are often inclined with respect to the direction of the oncoming flow. The present work focuses on the VIV that may develop in such configurations.

VIV have been extensively studied through the canonical problem of a rigid circular cylinder forced or free to oscillate within a flow perpendicular to the body axis (Bishop and Hassan, 1964; Bearman, 1984, 2011; Mittal and Tezduyar, 1992; Jeon
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Self-excited, large-amplitude vibrations appear when the frequency of vortex formation and the frequency of body oscillation coincide; this condition of wake-body synchronization is referred to as lock-in. Previous works concerning flexibly mounted rigid cylinders have shown that vortex-induced excitation through lock-in may also occur when the body is inclined in the current (King, 1977; Franzini et al., 2009), even at angles larger than 45° (Lucor and Karniadakis, 2003; Jain and Modarres-Sadeghi, 2013). In the above-mentioned studies, the inclination angle (α) is defined as the angle between the oncoming flow velocity direction and the plane perpendicular to the rigid cylinder axis, i.e. α=0° corresponds to the normal flow configuration; this definition is adopted in the present work based on the position of the flexible cylinder in quiescent fluid.

The influence of the cylinder inclination on the flow has been well documented in the case of a stationary body (Van Atta, 1968; Ramberg, 1983; Lucor and Karniadakis, 2003; Thakur et al., 2004; Zhao et al., 2009; Willden and Guerbi, 2010). At large inclination angle, it was shown that the spanwise vortex rows forming downstream of the cylinder are not necessarily parallel to its axis. This phenomenon is illustrated in Fig. 1 for a stationary cylinder at α=60° and a Reynolds number (Re) equal to 500, based on the inflow velocity and cylinder diameter. Several studies have examined the possibility of likening the inclined body case to the normal incidence case through normalization of the physical quantities (e.g. vortex shedding frequency, fluid forces) by the component of the oncoming flow velocity perpendicular to the cylinder. This approach, referred to as cosine law or independence principle (IP), assumes that the flow dynamics is essentially driven by the inflow normal component and that the component aligned with the cylinder axis, the axial component, has a negligible impact. Although no consensus exists in the literature regarding the range of validity of the IP, due among others to the flow sensitivity to the cylinder end conditions, it was generally shown to provide accurate predictions of the flow physics for α<40° approximately. Discrepancies may occur at larger inclination angles when the influence of the axial flow component becomes significant; this is the case in the configuration presented in Fig. 1, where the vortex shedding frequency normalized by the cylinder diameter and the inflow normal component is 13% larger than in the absence of axial flow (normal incidence). Such deviations were also reported by Lucor and Karniadakis (2003), Thakur et al. (2004) and Willden and Guerbi (2010) for similar configurations. Ramberg (1983) emphasized the close connection between deviation from the IP and occurrence of oblique vortex shedding.

Compared to the stationary body case, a transverse oscillation of the cylinder tends to force parallel shedding (Ramberg, 1983; Lucor and Karniadakis, 2003; Willden and Guerbi, 2010); this suggests that the IP could be valid over a wider range of inclination angles for a flexibly mounted rigid cylinder subjected to VIV. Jain and Modarres-Sadeghi (2013) and Franzini et al. (2013) have reported that the IP can predict the onset of the lock-in regime as a function of the reduced velocity (i.e. inverse of the oscillator natural frequency normalized by the cylinder diameter and the inflow velocity), for α≤65°, and provide a qualitative estimation of the lock-in range up to α=55°. They have also shown that the body inclination reduces the transverse response amplitude; hence, the application of the IP may lead to an overestimation of the rigid cylinder vibration amplitude.

Fig. 1. Instantaneous iso-surfaces of the spanwise vorticity downstream of a stationary cylinder inclined at 60° (ωz = ±0.6). Arrows represent the oncoming flow. Part of the computational domain is shown.
VIV of long flexible structures immersed in normal currents have been the object of several works which have reported some similarities with the flexibly mounted rigid cylinder case but also highlighted the increased complexity of the mechanisms of interaction between the flow and the deformable body (Brika and Laneville, 1993; Trim et al., 2005; Chaplin et al., 2005; Huera-Huarte and Bearman, 2009a; Modarres-Sadeghi et al., 2011; Bourguet et al., 2011a,b). The influence of a sheared cross-current on the fluid–structure system behavior has been analyzed in the previous studies concerning flexible bodies (Lie and Kaasen, 2006; Vandiver et al., 2009; Lucor et al., 2006; Modarres-Sadeghi et al., 2010; Bourguet et al., 2012, 2013a) but the impact of the structure inclination remains to be investigated. The possible application of the IP to flexible body configurations also needs to be clarified with a special attention paid to the effect of the in-line curvature of the structure induced by the current.

With the aim of shedding light on the VIV of slender deformable bodies inclined in flow and assessing the validity of the IP, a joint analysis of the structural responses, wake patterns and fluid forces is reported in this paper for a flexible circular cylinder of length to diameter aspect ratio 50, placed at 60° of inclination in a flow at Reynolds number 500. The flexible cylinder is modeled as a tension-dominated structure; a high and a lower value of the tension, leading first to a small and then to a larger in-line bending, are considered. The analysis is based on direct numerical simulation results. The inclination angle $\alpha = 60^\circ$ is selected because it is large enough to cause a deviation from the IP in the stationary body case but still allows VIV to appear, as shown in the previous studies concerning flexibly mounted rigid cylinders (e.g. Lucor and Karniadakis, 2003).

The paper is organized as follows. The physical model and the numerical method are described in Section 2. The high-tension configuration is analyzed in Section 3. The lower-tension case is examined in Section 4. The main findings of this work are summarized in Section 5.

2. Fluid–structure model and numerical method

A sketch of the physical configuration is presented in Fig. 2. The cylinder has a circular cross-section and a length ($L$) to diameter ($D$) aspect ratio $L/D = 50$; it is pinned at both ends and free to oscillate in the in-line ($x$-axis) and cross-flow

Fig. 2. Sketch of the physical configuration. Plain black arrows represent the oncoming flow velocity components. The position of the cylinder in quiescent fluid is indicated by a dashed gray line.
(y-axis) directions. The cylinder is inclined at $\alpha = 60^\circ$ within a uniform current of velocity magnitude $U$. The Reynolds number based on $U$ and $D$, $Re = \rho U D / \mu$, where $\rho$ and $\mu$ denote the fluid density and viscosity, respectively, is set equal to 500. The flow past the flexible cylinder is predicted using direct numerical simulation of the three-dimensional incompressible Navier–Stokes equations. Due to the current, the flexible cylinder tends to bend downstream; in the following, the axial and normal components of the oncoming flow are defined based on the position of the cylinder in quiescent fluid, similarly to the inclination angle $\alpha$. The inflow axial component refers to the component parallel to the cylinder in a fluid at rest (z-axis) and the inflow normal component designates the component aligned with the x-axis. The velocity magnitude of the inflow normal component is $U_x = U \cos(\alpha)$. The local angle between the z-axis (position in quiescent fluid) and the cylinder axis in its time-averaged position in flow is denoted by $\theta$. The part of the total inflow velocity locally perpendicular to the cylinder is equal to $U \cos(\alpha + \theta)$ and the part of the inflow normal component (parallel to the x-axis) locally perpendicular to the body axis is equal to $U_x \cos(\theta)$. For comparison purpose, a normal incidence case where the inflow axial component is removed is also considered; the Reynolds number is kept constant.

All the physical variables are non-dimensionalized by $\rho_c D$ and $U$. The cylinder mass ratio, defined as $m = \rho_c / \rho c D^2$, where $\rho_c$ is the cylinder mass per unit length, is set to 6. The constant tension and damping of the structure are designated by $\tau$ and $\eta$, respectively. The non-dimensional tension is defined as $T = \tau / \rho c D^2 U^2$ and the non-dimensional damping as $K = \eta / \rho c D U$. The non-dimensional displacements of the cylinder in the in-line and cross-flow directions are denoted by $\zeta_x$ and $\zeta_y$, respectively. The sectional in-line and cross-flow force coefficients are defined as $C_x = 2F_x / \rho c D U^2$ and $C_y = 2F_y / \rho c D U^2$, where $F_x$ and $F_y$ are the in-line and cross-flow dimensional sectional fluid forces, respectively. The structural dynamics are governed by forced vibrating string equations which can be expressed as follows in non-dimensional form \cite{Lucor et al., 2006}:

$$m \ddot{\zeta}_{x,y} - T \dot{\zeta}_{x,y} + K \zeta_{x,y} = C_{x,y},$$

where $\cdot$ denotes the time derivative and $\prime$ denotes the space derivatives, respectively. The string non-dimensional phase velocity is $\omega = \sqrt{T/m}$. Two values of $T$, 124 and 13.5, are considered; these values lead respectively to a small and to a much larger in-line bending of the cylinder, allow to study the potential impact of this bending on the IP validity. The structural damping is set equal to zero ($K = 0$) to allow maximum amplitude oscillations.

The parallelized code Nektar, based on the spectral/hp element method \cite{Karniadakis and Sherwin, 1999}, is used to solve the coupled fluid–structure system. The version of the code employs a Jacoby–Galerkin formulation in the (x, y) plane and a Fourier expansion in the spanwise (z) direction. A boundary-fitted coordinate formulation is used to take into account the cylinder unsteady deformation. Details concerning the numerical method and its validation have been reported in \cite{Newman and Karniadakis, 1997} and \cite{Evangelinos and Karniadakis, 1999} for similar configurations. The computational domain (50D downstream and 20D in front, above, and below the cylinder) and discretization (2175 elements with polynomial order $p=7$ in the (x, y) plane and 512 complex Fourier modes in the z direction) are the same as in \cite{Bourguet et al., 2011a}. The present analysis is based on time series of more than 300 time units, collected after convergence of the time-averaged in-line displacement of the cylinder.

### 3. High tension

The behavior of the fluid–structure system is examined in this section for a high value of the non-dimensional tension ($T=124$). The IP validity is analyzed through comparison with the normal incidence case.

#### 3.1. Structural vibrations

The flexible cylinder inclined at $60^\circ$ exhibits regular vibrations, as illustrated in Fig. 3(a) and (b) where selected time series of the in-line displacement fluctuation and cross-flow displacement are plotted along the span; in the in-line direction, the time-averaged displacement of the cylinder has been subtracted from the structural response. In this figure and in the following, the fluctuations are denoted by a tilde ('). Due to the high value of the tension, the time-averaged in-line displacement of the cylinder remains lower than 0.7D leading to a small static curvature of the structure. A larger in-line bending will be envisaged in Section 4. The cylinder vibrations are characterized by standing-wave patterns. A single frequency dominates the response spectrum in each direction as can be observed in the span-averaged power spectral densities (PSD) of the displacements presented in Fig. 3(c) and (d). In these plots, the frequency is non-dimensionalized using the normal component of the inflow velocity ($U_n$), for comparison purpose with the normal incidence case. In the following, the physical quantities non-dimensionalized by $U_n$ are denoted by the subscript (n). As generally observed in this context \citep[e.g.][]{Bourguet et al., 2011a}, the in-line and cross-flow responses exhibit a frequency ratio of 2. The vibrations involve a single structural wavenumber in each direction; as suggested by the linear dispersion relation of a string in vacuum, the in-line and cross-flow vibration wavenumbers also present a ratio of 2: 0.04 and 0.02 in the in-line and cross-flow directions, respectively. These low structural wavenumbers correspond to the fourth and second sine Fourier modes, with the nth mode defined as $\sin(\pi n z D/L)$. The following dispersion relation may be used to estimate the natural frequency.
\[ f = \frac{k \omega}{\sqrt{m + \frac{\pi}{4} C_m}} \]  

where \( C_m \) is the added mass coefficient induced by the fluid forces in phase with the cylinder acceleration. The natural frequencies, normalized by \( U_n \), and associated with the in-line and cross-flow excited wavenumbers, for \( C_m = 1 \), are indicated by dashed-dotted red lines in Fig. 3(c) and (d). The actual peaks are close to the estimated natural frequencies. The spanwise evolutions of the root mean square (RMS) values of the displacements are presented in Fig. 3(e) and (f). These plots confirm the standing-wave nature of the structural responses. The ratio between the maxima of the cross-flow and in-line displacement RMS values, equal to 3.5 approximately, is comparable to the ratios reported in the previous studies concerning low-wavenumber VIV of flexible cylinders at normal incidence (Huera-Huarte and Bearman, 2009a).

The PSD and RMS values of the flexible cylinder responses observed when the axial component of the inflow is removed (i.e., normal incidence case) are superimposed to the inclined case results in Fig. 3(c)–(f). The vibrations occurring in the inclined and normal cylinder cases are almost identical in terms of spectral contents and oscillation amplitudes.

To further investigate the structural responses, the synchronization of the in-line and cross-flow vibrations is analyzed in the following. The phase difference between the in-line and cross-flow displacements determines the shape and orientation of the cylinder trajectories in the plane perpendicular to the span. The phase difference between responses occurring with a frequency ratio of 2 can be defined as \( \Phi_{xy} = [\phi_x - 2\phi_y, \text{mod } 360^\circ] \). The instantaneous phases of the in-line and cross-flow
responses ($\phi_x$ and $\phi_y$ respectively) are determined by means of the Hilbert transform. Values of $\Phi_{xy}$ in the range $0^\circ$–$180^\circ$ ($180^\circ$–$360^\circ$ respectively) correspond to ‘figure eight’ orbits where the body moves upstream (downstream) when reaching the cross-flow oscillation maxima; these two types of trajectories are referred to as ‘counter-clockwise’ and ‘clockwise’, respectively (Dahl et al., 2007). For $\Phi_{xy} = 0^\circ$ and $\Phi_{xy} = 180^\circ$, the cylinder exhibits crescent-shaped orbits. The spanwise evolution of the histogram of $\Phi_{xy}$ in the inclined body case is plotted in Fig. 4(a). As shown in a previous work concerning flexible cylinders at normal incidence (Bourguet et al., 2013b), the standing-wave nature of the vibrations induces a discontinuous spanwise pattern of the phase difference. The structural responses are dominated by two synchronization states which correspond to trajectories close to crescent-shaped orbits; the two typical trajectories of the inclined cylinder are presented in Fig. 4(b). As shown in Fig. 4(c), the normal incidence case exhibits a very similar synchronization pattern along the span.

The above observations highlight the absence of significant effect of the axial flow component on the structural dynamics, in the present configuration. The next subsection focuses on the flow downstream of the vibrating cylinder.

3.2. Wake patterns

The frequency of vortex shedding is determined from the PSD of the cross-flow component of the flow velocity in the wake. In both the inclined and normal body cases, the vortex shedding frequency and the cross-flow oscillation frequency coincide at each point of the cylinder length: the lock-in condition is thus established along the entire span.

An overview of the wake patterns downstream of the freely oscillating flexible cylinder inclined in the current is presented in Fig. 5(a) by means of instantaneous iso-surfaces of the spanwise vorticity; the vorticity is non-dimensionalized using $U_n$. The wake is notably more disordered than in the stationary cylinder case, at the same inclination angle (Fig. 1). As reported in the previous works (Lucor and Karniadakis, 2003; Willden and Guerbi, 2010) and also illustrated in Fig. 1, the wake of the stationary cylinder exhibits a clear oblique vortex shedding pattern. In contrast, the spanwise vortex rows forming downstream of the vibrating flexible body appear to remain essentially parallel to the cylinder axis in the near wake. Such transition from oblique to parallel shedding under body oscillation was also noted in the above-mentioned studies, for rigid cylinders at similar inclination angles.

A visualization of the wake patterns in the normal incidence case is presented in Fig. 5(b); for comparison purpose, the same levels of spanwise vorticity as in the inclined body case are selected to plot the instantaneous iso-surfaces. The wake patterns are analogous to those observed downstream of the inclined cylinder and no substantial influence of the axial flow component is revealed by these visualizations. The fluid forces acting on the oscillating body are addressed in the following.

3.3. Fluid forces

The spanwise evolutions of the time-averaged (designated by an overline (-)) in-line force coefficient and of the RMS values of the in-line and cross-flow force coefficients are plotted in Fig. 6, for the inclined and normal body cases. In these plots, the fluid forces are non-dimensionalized by $U_n$. As also reported in the previous studies concerning rigid and flexible cylinders in normal flow (e.g. Khalak and Williamson, 1999; Huera-Huarte and Bearman, 2009b), the body oscillations are...
accompanied by an amplification of the time-averaged in-line force coefficient and of the force coefficient fluctuations; the span-averaged value of $C_{\text{xn}}$ is equal to 2.1 and 2 in the inclined and normal vibrating cylinder cases, respectively, versus 1.35 and 1.15 in the inclined and normal stationary body cases. In spite of some differences, as for instance in the peak RMS values of $C_{\text{yn}}$ which are larger at normal incidence, the inclined and normal body cases globally exhibit close spanwise trends of the force coefficients, with comparable magnitudes.

The effective in-line and cross-flow added mass coefficients due to the fluid forces in phase with the body acceleration are determined as follows:

$$C_{\text{mx}} = \frac{2 C_{\text{xn}}}{\nu \bar{\zeta}_x}, \quad C_{\text{my}} = \frac{2 C_{\text{yn}}}{\nu \bar{\zeta}_y}. \quad (3)$$

As can be observed in Fig. 7, $C_{\text{mx}}$ and $C_{\text{my}}$ present large spanwise modulations with similar behaviors in the inclined and normal cylinder cases. It appears that the variability of the effective added mass coefficients and their departure from the
potential flow value of 1, do not induce significant differences between the excited frequencies and the natural frequencies determined through the dispersion relation (2). A trend can be noted in the evolution of \( C_{my} \), in relation with the shape of the cylinder trajectory: values close to 1 are reached in the regions of the span dominated by crescent-shaped orbits bent downstream, while much smaller, and even negative values of \( C_{my} \) are observed in zones associated with crescent-shaped orbits bent upstream. Previous works have highlighted close connections between the orbit shape/orientation and the fluid forcing (Dahl et al., 2007; Bourguet et al., 2011b, 2013a). The link observed between the value of the effective added mass coefficient and the trajectory shape may be attributed to the specific distance between the cylinder and the recently shed vortices for each type of orbit; a closer proximity when the orbit is bent upstream may result in a stronger interaction between the body and the vortices, and especially in a larger influence of the vortex suction forces, which could explain the substantial deviation of \( C_{my} \) from the potential flow value. No clear trend can be identified in the in-line direction.

The analysis reported in this section shows that the fluid–structure system exhibits a similar behavior in the inclined and normal body cases, as long as the inflow normal component is used to normalize the physical quantities. The IP is thus valid in the present configuration and it can be used to predict accurately the structural responses and fluid forces in the inclined body case, based on the normal incidence case results. The question arises whether this conclusion can be extended to lower-tension configurations characterized by a larger in-line bending; this question is investigated in the next section.

4. Lower tension

The behavior of the coupled fluid–structure system is studied in this section for a lower value of the non-dimensional tension \( T = 13.5 \). The objective here is not to repeat the extensive analysis presented for a high-tension case in Section 3 but to assess the validity range of the IP and pinpoint some possible limitations related to the flexible nature of the structure.

4.1. Fluid–structure system behavior

Under lower tension, the inclined flexible cylinder still exhibits regular in-line and cross-flow VIV. However, contrary to the high-tension configuration, the structural responses observed in the inclined cylinder case substantially deviate from those noted in the normal body case. It is recalled that the axial component of the inflow velocity, which is removed in the normal body case, refers to the component aligned with the cylinder axis in quiescent fluid, and the remaining normal component \( (U_n) \) is parallel to the x-axis; the influence of the inflow component locally perpendicular to the cylinder once it bends in the current will be examined in the next subsection. The differences in the structural vibrations, between the inclined and normal cylinders, are illustrated in Fig. 8(a), which represents the spanwise evolution of the RMS value of the cross-flow displacement, for both cases. As expected from the dispersion relation (2), due to the reduction of the tension, the vibrations involve higher structural wavenumbers than in the previous configuration. In the present lower-tension configuration, the inclined cylinder exhibits globally smaller amplitudes of vibration than the normal one; the span-averaged value of the RMS cross-flow displacement is equal to 0.29 in the inclined case versus 0.35 in the normal case, and the peak RMS values along the span differ by more than 45%. As shown in Fig. 8(b), the predominant vibration frequencies, normalized by \( U_n \), are comparable in the inclined and normal cylinder cases, and remain close to the natural frequency estimated through the dispersion relation (2). A difference can however be noted in the response spectral contents: in the inclined cylinder case, the vibration spectrum exhibits two secondary contributions, which do not exist in the normal body

![Fig. 7. Effective added mass coefficient in the (a) in-line and (b) cross-flow directions, along the span. The potential flow value of 1 is indicated by a dashed-dotted red line.](image-url)
case. As a result, despite the moderate magnitudes of these secondary spectral contributions, the structural response of the inclined cylinder appears to be multi-frequency whereas the vibration remains mono-frequency in the normal incidence case. The fluid force coefficients, normalized by \( U_n \), also present significant differences in the inclined and normal body cases, as shown in Fig. 8(c) where the RMS value of \( C_{yn} \) is plotted along the span. The above analysis focuses on the system behavior in the cross-flow direction but similar observations can be made in the in-line direction.

As a consequence, for the present lower-tension configuration, the behavior of the fluid–structure system in the inclined cylinder case cannot be predicted on the basis of the normal incidence case results. Application of the IP would lead, among others, to an overestimation of the vibration amplitudes and would not predict the multi-frequency nature of the responses. This contrasts with the previous conclusion concerning the validity of the IP in the high-tension configuration. In the following, the limitations of the IP are studied in light of the larger in-line bending of the cylinder, due to the lower tension.

### 4.2. Influence of the cylinder in-line bending

The time-averaged in-line displacement of the cylinder is plotted along the span in Fig. 9(a), for the inclined and normal cylinder cases. The in-line bending slightly differs between the two cases; the body inclination induces an asymmetric curvature of the cylinder. The part of the inflow velocity normal component (parallel to the x-axis) that is locally perpendicular to the cylinder axis \( U_n \cos(\theta) \) is plotted in Fig. 9(b), for both cases. In this plot and in the following, the velocity profiles are non-dimensionalized by \( U \). The difference in the in-line bending causes a slight modification of the locally perpendicular velocity profile between the two cases. Previous works have shown that moderate changes in the velocity profile may considerably impact the system behavior, and for instance cause transition from mono-frequency to multi-frequency responses (e.g. Bourguet et al., 2012). In order to quantitatively characterize the profile modification, the inflow velocity in the normal incidence case is altered so that the velocity locally perpendicular to the cylinder matches the profile of \( U_n \cos(\theta) \) in the inclined body case; the new inflow velocity profile is referred to as profile A. The Fourier expansion used in the \( z \) direction implies spanwise periodicity of the inflow velocity profile; this constraint is taken into account in the design of the new profile. The resulting profile of velocity locally normal to the cylinder is plotted in Fig. 9(b) (dotted line); it is very close to the profile observed in the inclined body case. As can be noted in Fig. 8, where the results obtained for the velocity profile A are plotted in dotted lines, the behavior of the system is not significantly modified by this new inflow velocity profile, compared to the uniform profile case (dashed lines). As a consequence, it appears that the modification of the locally perpendicular part of \( U_n \) due to the alteration of the in-line bending has a very limited influence on the system responses and does not explain the differences noted between the inclined and normal body cases.

Because of the cylinder time-averaged in-line displacement, part of the inflow axial component (parallel to the z-axis) is locally perpendicular to the cylinder axis. As shown in Fig. 9(c), the profile of the total inflow velocity locally perpendicular to the cylinder \( (U \cos(\alpha + \theta)) \) in the inclined cylinder case considerably differs from the normal body case profile (dashed line in Fig. 9(b)). The body in-line bending results in a large shear of the locally perpendicular velocity profile along the span in the inclined cylinder case, whereas the profile remains close to uniform in the normal incidence case; such shear may be responsible for the occurrence of multi-frequency vibrations in the former case. To clarify this point, the inflow velocity in the normal incidence case is modified in order to emulate the locally perpendicular velocity observed in the inclined cylinder case. The new inflow profile, referred to as profile B, leads to the locally normal velocity profile represented by a dashed-dotted line in Fig. 9(c); it matches the inclined body profile, except near the ends due to the spanwise periodicity.

---

**Fig. 8.** (a) Spanwise evolution of the RMS value of the cross-flow displacement. (b) Span-averaged PSD of the cross-flow displacement; the natural frequency associated with the predominant excited wavenumber is indicated by a dashed-dotted red line. (c) Spanwise evolution of the RMS value of the cross-flow force coefficient.
condition. When exposed to the inflow profile B, the cylinder exhibits multi-frequency structural vibrations comparable to those noted in the inclined body case, as can be observed in Fig. 8 (a) and (b) (dashed-dotted lines). The fluid forces also tend to be closer to those noted in the inclined cylinder case (Fig. 8(c)). The small discrepancies observed in the system responses between the two cases may be attributed to the differences in the locally normal velocity near the cylinder ends (Fig. 9(c)) and to the effect of the flow locally parallel to the body, which differs in both cases. However, the global proximity of the responses in the inclined and normal body cases under analogous locally perpendicular velocity profiles indicates that the system behavior is mainly determined by this component of the inflow. Hence, the differences highlighted in Section 4.1 between the inclined and normal body cases in uniform current, especially the transition from mono-frequency to multi-frequency vibrations, appear as a consequence of the cylinder in-line bending which causes a substantial alteration of the locally perpendicular velocity component.

The central role of the locally perpendicular velocity and the limited impact of the flow locally parallel to the body could be regarded as a local extension of the IP. Nonetheless, such local extension cannot be used to predict the behavior of the inclined body from the normal incidence case results in uniform current; among other reasons because this approach requires an a priori knowledge of the in-line bending in the inclined case and because the scaling of the normal case vibration frequencies using the variable, locally perpendicular flow velocity leads to continuous spectra which do not allow to identify which frequencies will emerge in the inclined cylinder responses. When the standard definition of the IP is applied, i.e. matching the inclined and normal case responses in the same current through normalization by \( U_n \), the contribution of the inflow axial component locally perpendicular to the bent cylinder is ignored. However, this part of the inflow may modify the shape of the total current locally perpendicular to the body and thus directly impact the system responses. Therefore, the IP which was shown to be valid in Section 3 for a configuration where the in-line time-averaged displacement was small can fail once the in-line bending of the cylinder becomes significant, as reported in the present section.

5. Conclusions

The vortex-induced vibrations of a flexible cylinder inclined at 60° have been investigated by means of direct numerical simulation, at a Reynolds number equal to 500. In order to examine the validity of the IP in this context, a normal incidence case where the inflow component aligned with the cylinder axis in quiescent fluid (axial component) was removed was also considered. The cylinder was modeled as a tension-dominated structure and two values of the non-dimensional tension were selected to study the possible influence of the cylinder time-averaged in-line bending on the IP validity.

For both values of the non-dimensional tension, the inclined cylinder exhibits regular VIV in the in-line and cross-flow directions. In the high-tension configuration, where the in-line bending of the cylinder remains small, a joint analysis of the cylinder vibrations, wake patterns and fluid forces shows that the IP is valid: the coupled fluid–structure system exhibits similar behaviors in the inclined and normal body cases, once \( U_n \), the inflow component normal to the cylinder axis in quiescent fluid, is used to normalize the physical quantities. In this configuration, the IP can thus be applied to predict the structural responses and the forces exerted by the flow in the inclined body case, based on the normal incidence case results.

In contrast, in the lower-tension configuration, the behavior of the fluid–structure system clearly deviates from the IP: the physical quantities in the inclined and normal body cases do not match after normalization by \( U_n \). Additional simulations...
performed with modified inflow velocity profiles indicate that the system behavior is principally driven by the flow velocity locally normal to the cylinder. Due to the larger in-line bending of the structure, induced by the lower tension, a significant part of the inflow axial component is locally perpendicular to the cylinder; the total inflow locally perpendicular to the body thus considerably differs between the inclined and normal body cases, resulting in distinct responses of the fluid–structure system. The large shear of the locally perpendicular velocity profile in the inclined cylinder case causes multi-frequency vibrations whereas the normal incidence case, for which the profile is almost uniform, is characterized by mono-frequency oscillations. Application of the IP to predict the system responses in the inclined cylinder case would lead to an overestimation of the vibration amplitudes and would not capture the transition from mono-frequency to multi-frequency responses. These results show that the validity of the IP may be substantially impacted by the in-line bending of the flexible structure.
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