



## **Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) Directive 10.0: Rodent Genotyping**

### **1.0 Directive Purpose**

The purpose of this directive is to provide information and guidance to the research community regarding genotyping techniques in rodents.

### **2.0 To Whom the Directive Applies**

This directive applies to all individuals using rodent genotyping procedures covered under an IACUC-approved animal use protocol at Brown University.

### **3.0 Directive Statement**

Only the least amount of tissue necessary to perform genotyping should be removed.

It is important to realize that all genotyped animals must be uniquely identified to allow the results to be matched to the animal. If animal identification is being performed through the removal of a piece of tissue (e.g., ear punching, toe clipping), that sample of removed tissue should be used for genotyping purposes. Methods that do not permanently alter the animal or produce slight momentary pain should be prioritized, when scientifically applicable. If multiple animals are to be genotyped in a single session, instruments should be disinfected (e.g., wiped with chlorhexidine or 70% ethanol) between animals to prevent DNA contamination. Alternatively, hot bead sterilizers or newly sterilized equipment for each animal can be used. Scissors should be sharpened or replaced at appropriate intervals (based on use). Blades should be discarded after each session (discarded at least each day).

#### **3.1 Genotypic Monitoring**

The “The Guide for The Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (the Guide)” provides guidance as to “best practice” with respect to genotypic monitoring and screening of Genetically Modified Animals (GMAs). Specifically, the following are indicated for inbred strains:

- It is important to periodically monitor genetic authenticity of the line.
- Appropriate management systems should be designed to minimize genetic contamination resulting from mutation and mismatching.
- Each GMA line represents a unique resource and thus care should be taken to preserve the line through standard colony management programs. Cryopreservation of lines should be considered as a safeguard against the loss of lines, and as a protection against genetic drift over time. Cryopreservation of lines should also be a part of each lab's disaster planning efforts to protect against the loss of valuable animal resources (see p. 35 of the *Guide*).
- Carefully designed breeding strategies and accurate genotype assessment should be ensured to minimize the generation of animals with unwanted phenotypes.

#### **3.2 Tail Biopsy**

Sharp scissors, a razor blade, or a scalpel can be used to cut the tip of the tail perpendicular to the long axis to obtain a sample. Limit the amount of tail to be amputated to 2-5 mm; 2 mm would be preferable and will minimize cutting bone. If re-sampling for repeat genotyping from the same mouse, no more than 5mm cumulative of the distal tail should be harvested. In this situation, other tissue sources (listed below) are strongly recommended. Biopsy of tail tissue can be performed without general anesthesia in mice prior to weaning age, because this is prior to completion of mineralization and is therefore associated with minimal pain. However, it is recommended to use ice-cold ethanol for topical anesthesia in mice 7-15 days old. General anesthesia and scientific justification are required when tail biopsy is performed on animals older than 21 days of age.

Hemostasis must be achieved following the biopsy. If less than 2 mm is taken, hemostasis can usually be achieved by direct manual pressure with clean paper towel or gauze on the end of the tail. If direct pressure does not stop the bleeding, the use of hemostatic agents (e.g., styptic powder (Kwik-Stop®)) is recommended and should be readily available as a precautionary measure. Animals may not be left with actively bleeding collection sites. If general anesthesia has been administered, the mouse must be observed until it regains consciousness.

### **3.3 Toe Clipping**

Sharp scissors can be used to remove the distal phalanx in neonatal rodents. The aim is to remove only the complete distal phalanx, if possible. This method can only be performed in rats 5-7 days old and mice 7-10 days old. The primary use of this procedure is for identification purposes; however, the sample should serve a dual-purpose if genotyping is to also be performed. Front toes should never be clipped if animals may subsequently be used in grip testing. This method does not require anesthesia. For additional education and training on this procedure, please contact [CARE@Brown.edu](mailto:CARE@Brown.edu).

### **3.4 Ear Punching**

A sharp commercial punch device can be used to remove a 2 mm diameter piece of tissue from the middle of the pinna. This method can be performed on animals 14 days old or older. Care should be taken to not accidentally lose track of the small piece of tissue following the punch. This method does not require anesthesia. Ear punching should be performed on mice close to weaning age or older to ensure that the pinnae are large enough for the punch size.

### **3.5 Hair**

Tufts of hair (2 tufts per mouse) can be plucked from the animal using tweezers or hemostats. Samples can be collected at the neck line between the shoulder blades. Animals should not have exposed patches of skin following sampling, as only small tufts are needed. This method does not require anesthesia. Care should be taken to avoid contamination with fomites and with hair from cage mates of the animal to be assessed.

### **3.6 Fecal Pellets**

Samples of feces (3 pellets) can be collected directly from the animal at the time of defecation, or from the cage floor of individually housed animals within 24 hours of defecation. Epithelial cells shed in the feces are the target tissue type for processing and analysis. This method does not require anesthesia.

### **3.7 Buccal Swabs/Saliva**

Salivary samples to harvest epithelial cells from the mouth can be performed on rodents once they are a few days old. Individual sterile mini-cotton swabs (rubbed against both inner cheeks per swab) should be used to sample cells. Care should be taken within the mouths of animals to ensure gentle swabbing and prevent biting/breakage of the swab. This method does not require anesthesia.

#### **4.0 Definitions: N/A**

#### **5.0 Responsibilities**

All individuals to whom this directive applies are responsible for becoming familiar with it and following this. Animal research program stakeholders (IACUC, CARE, ARC) are responsible for promoting the understanding of this document and for taking appropriate steps to help ensure adherence to it.

#### **6.0 Consequences for Violating this Document**

Violation of this document may be considered a serious event of noncompliance that is reportable to the IACUC, funding and accrediting agencies, as well as other regulatory agencies. Violations of this document are a serious matter that may adversely affect both the ability to perform animal work and acquire funding sources.

#### **7.0 Related Information**

Brown University is a community in which employees are encouraged to share workplace concerns with University leadership. Additionally, [Brown's Anonymous Reporting Hotline](#) allows anonymous and confidential reporting on matters of concern online or by phone (877-318-9184).

The following information complements and supplements this document. The information is intended to help explain this document and is not an all-inclusive list of policies, procedures, laws and requirements.

##### **7.1 Related Policies/Directives/SOPs: N/A**

##### **7.2 Related Procedures: N/A**

##### **7.3 Related Forms:**

##### **7.4 Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs): N/A**

##### **7.5 Other Related Information: References:**

- Arras, M., A. Rettich, B. Seifert, H.P. Käsermann, and T. Rüllicke. Should laboratory mice be anaesthetized for tail biopsy? *Lab Anim* 41.1 (2007): 30-45.
- Bonaparte, D., P. Cinelli, E. Douni, Y. Hérault, M. Maas, P. Pakarinen, M. Poutanen, M.S. Lafuente, F. Scavizzi. FELASA guidelines for the refinement of methods for genotyping genetically-modified rodents: a report of the Federation of European Laboratory Animal Science Associations Working Group. *Lab Anim* 47.3 (2013): 134-45.
- Broome, R. L., L. Feng, Q. Zhou, A. Smith, N. Hahn, S. M. Matsui, and M. B. Omary. Non-invasive transgenic mouse genotyping using stool analysis. *FEBS Lett* 462.1-2 (1999): 159-60.
- Dudley, E.S., R.A. Johnson, D.C. French, and G.P. Boivin. Effects of topical anesthetics on behavior, plasma corticosterone, and blood glucose levels after tail biopsy of C57BL/6NHS mice (*Mus musculus*). *JAALAS* 55.4 (2016): 443-50.

- Hankenson, F.C., G. Braden-Weiss, and J.A. Blendy. Behavioral and activity assessment of laboratory mice (*Mus musculus*) after tail biopsy under isoflurane anesthesia. *JAALAS* 50.5 (2011): 686-94.
- Irwin, M. H., R. J. Moffatt, and C. A. Pinkert. Identification of transgenic mice by PCR analysis of saliva. *Nat Biotechnol* 14.9 (1996): 1146-8.
- National Research Council. *Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals*. 8th ed. Washington, D.C.: The National Academies Press. (2011).
- Paluch, L.R., C.C. Lieggii, M. Dumont, S. Monette, E. Riedel, and N.S. Lipman. Developmental and behavioral effects of toe clipping on neonatal and preweanling mice with and without vapocoolant anesthesia. *JAALAS* 53.2 (2014): 132-40.
- Ren, S., M. Li, H. Cai, S. Hudgins, and P. A. Furth. A simplified method to prepare PCR template DNA for screening of transgenic and knockout mice. *Contemp Top Lab Anim Sci* 40.2 (2001): 27-30.
- Schmitteckert, E. M., C. M. Prokop, and H. J. Hedrich. DNA detection in hair of transgenic mice—a simple technique minimizing the distress on the animals. *Lab Anim* 33.4 (1999): 385-9

## 8.0 Document Owner and Contact

**8.1 Owner:** IACUC

**8.2 Approved by:** IACUC

**8.3 Subject Matter Contact:** Brown University Animal Research Compliance (ARC)

- Telephone: 401-863-3050
- Email: IACUC@Brown.edu

## 9.0 Document History

**9.1 Effective Date:** February 3, 2017

**9.2 Last Reviewed:** February 3, 2023

**9.3 Update/Review Summary:** This document is not new; it was pulled out of the University Compliance SOP format and converted to a Directive – Feb 2023.

- Converted into University Compliance SOP format and re-reviewed and approved by the committee June 4, 2021.
- Revised June 1, 2018